App. F. IX-4

1939.

File No. 19

Serial Nos. 1

Previous References

Later References:

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 21

Part B for June 1940.

SUBJECT.

Revision of the Scale of expenditure for the Supreme State Activities at Tirupattur

G. O. No. 1. 2188-9 dt 16-4-1940.

The Secretary to Commissioner

Sanctions the revised Scale of expenditure

WD 0510.—4PB—22,500—12-9-39

G R S APP F. III—11 1939

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Mysore

NOTES.

File No. 199-39

FILE HEADING.

Revision of the scale of Expenditure for the Mysore Stone Crushers at Tirupathi.

FROM

The Mysore Commissioner in Madras

No. 320/38-9 Dated 31.12.1939 Received 1.2.1940.

Diary No. 1103	Enclos.	Spare copies	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits for sanction proposals for revising the Scale of Establishment of the Crushers at Tirupathi.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The Mysore Commissioner in Madras explains the position of the crushers and the main features of the proposed revision of the existing and previous Scale.

NOTES—First Sheet

Q1663—GPB—5,000—3-5-29

Serial No.

of Expenditure is also lumpy. The revision with scale enclosed is for...

BR

6.2.40 The revised scale may be sanctioned

Sd/-

6.2.40

Secy

3 C.W with my D.O. No. 6938 dt. 6.2.40

Sd/-

4) may be sanctioned. Though on the basis of the average expenditure, the revised provision made under certain heads can be reduced - if this is done, the total grant can be correspondingly reduced

2nd Member through file

Sd/- 13/2

5 The total sanctioned cost may be fixed at Rs. 19,500, reducing the provision for "Reserve" from Rs. 624 to Rs. 124. The present scale does not provide for any reserve.

6) As regards the provision for the non-Brahmin Choultry, that provision is required for one year and therefore the total annual provision has to be reduced correspondingly and not treated as additional Reserve.

Sd/- 21.2.40

Sd/- 27.2

Sd/- 27.2

NOTE-Contn. Sheet.

5 FEB. 1940 G938

23/0

THE F COUNCIL. 1940 6964

Revision of the Scale of Expenditure Charges of 1939

File No. 199

Serial No. 638

(8) S-W

Drawing

9

13-3-40

10

D.F.N. is submitted

15/3

11

16.3

Typing Section

16/3

Typed draft is putup

12 For favour of approval

18/3

18/3/40

Con'g)

2nd Member)

14

5771

15/3

15/3

20.3.40

16

Signed. Note recorded below

21.3.40.

TYPING SECTION 18 MAR 1940 GEN. & M.T. SECTT.

OFFICE SECOND MEMBER 19 MAR 19 No. 5771

Expenditure for the Mysore State Chamber at Trivandrum.

Secret nue

File No. 199 of 1939 [App. F. III-2

Serial No.	
	(17) S. N. is putup
	A/15 25.3.40 SS 25/3
	Dewan
	25/3

6656

Final Note by the Second Member of Council.

Subject.— Revision of the scale of expenditure in the Mysore State Charities at Tirupathi.

The present scale of expenditure of the Mysore State Charities at Tirupathi was sanctioned in Government Order No. 1135-6/Muz. 214-28-2, dated 11th December 1928. The Muzrai Commissioner has reported that since the date of this Government Order, conditions have vastly changed, necessitating the reduction of expenditure owing to the reduced prices of food stuffs and other articles and a slight enhancement under a few items to suit the altered circumstances. He has accordingly submitted proposals for the revision of the existing scale of expenditure of the charities according to which, while the total amount of expenditure will be kept at Rs 20,000/-, the amount for services in the Sri Venkataramanaswamy Temple on the hills and Sri Mahalakshmi Temple at Tiruchanur will be reduced. The provision under establishment will be increased, and a reserve of Rs 624/- has been provided for, which will be increased to Rs 2,124/- from 1941-42 onwards.

The Financial Secretary to Government has suggested that the total sanctioned cost may be fixed at Rs 19,500/-, reducing the provision for reserve from Rs 624/- to Rs 124/- and that from 1941-42 onward, with the disappearance of the need to continue Rs 1,500/- towards the cost of the Non-Brahmin - Choultry, the total expenditure may be reduced to Rs 18,000/-.

I agree with the Financial Secretary and solicit His Highness' gracious sanction to the revision of the scale proposed by the Muzrai Commissioner subject to the above modifications.

M. V. Ananta Rao

Bangalore,
21st March 1940.

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

Submitted to His Highness.

21) *I submit herewith a Final Note by the* Second *Member of Council on the subject of* Revision of the scale of expenditure in the Mysore State Charities at Tirupathi,

for His Highness' gracious approval.

Mirza M. Ismail

Dewan.

25: 3 - 40

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Revision of the scale of expenditure in the Mysore State Charities at Tirupathi.

No. 636

Order.

22) ~~KRW~~
29/3/40

3.4.40

N.S.289 11C'3

Revenue
Secretariat.

SUBJECT:—

Revision of the Scale of Expenditure on the Upper Class Chambers at Trichy

31 All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness' orders may, in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary, be ordinarily circulated to the Members of Council for perusal after the issue of orders.

33. The Secretaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Members.

34 In all other cases the files will first be circulated to the Members of Council at Headquarters and then sent to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders.

23) Circulated to Members of Council for perusal of His Highness' orders before/after issue of orders. (*Vide* *Rules 31, 33 and 34 of the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure.)

Chief Secretary to Government.

Through the Secretary to Government,

24) General Department.

3/4/40

9161
4.4.40

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

25) 4/4/40

8635
4 4 40

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL

T.C. 4. 4. 40

26)

Gen. Secy. with compliments.

27)

5.4.40

NOTE.—Contn. sheet

WD 04642—GPB—500—23-10-39

the Scale of Expenditure of the Nepal Pratinidhi Sabha, 1953.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Reg. File No. 197 of 1957 [App. F. III-4

Serial No.

28) Draft G.O. subs.

29/June 1957

6/4/60 SS 9/4

[illegible] is according to financial sec's opinion. - Vide paras 5 & 6. Draft G.O. subs.

15/4 17/4

17/4

- G.O. No. 2188-9 D, 15/4/60

To the Hon. Chief Minister,

Secretariat

[illegible]

15/4/60

3/[illegible]

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Secret 199.39-1.

C. 13]

No. C. C.1320/38-9
Encl: Statement & note.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 24th January 1940

FROM

RUKN-UL-MULK
S. ABDUL WAJID, B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,



To

J. Appaji Gowda, Esq., B.A. (Hon.),
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.
general *Department.*



SIR,

Mr. BR 1/2

Proposals for the revision of the Scale of Expenditure for the Mysore State Charities at Tirupati.

Adverting to G.O.No.1135-6/Muz.214-38-8 dated 11-12-1938 sanctioning a revised scale of expenditure in respect of the above, I have the honor to enclose herein, a statement showing the proposals for the revision of the scale of expenditure for the institution together with an explanatory Note giving reasons for the variation in expenditure under individual items. I wish to add that, since the date of last sanction of the scale, conditions have vastly changed necessitating the reduction of expenditure owing to the reduced prices of foodstuffs and other articles and a slight enhancement under a few items, to suit altered circumstances.

Provision has been made in the proposed scale for the payment of Rs 1,000 towards percentage contribution to Muzrai Establishment Fund and to the adjustment of Rs 1,500 towards contribution for the construction of the non-Brahmin Choultry on the hills. It may, however, to be stated that this sum is required for use only during next year, in view of the fact that a sum of Rs 12,000 has been ear-marked from the savings in the scale of expenditure for meeting the cost of Rs 12,000 towards the estimated expenditure of the Choultry. This sum will also be added on to the sanctioned scale of Rs 624 now provided in the scale from 1941-42.

The scale of expenditure now drawn up, meets all the present requirements; and I request that approval of Government may be kindly obtained to the same.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner

12

Scale of Expenditure - Mysore State Charities - Tirupati (contd.).

A B S T R A C T.

No.	Particulars.	Existing. Rs. a. p.	Proposed. Rs. a. p.
I.	For Services in the Sri Venkateswara Temple on the Hills.	6,143 0 0	4,863 0 0
II.	For Services in the Sri Mahalakshmi Temple at Tiruchanur.	620 0 0	540 0 0
III.	For feeding in the Chatiram on the Hills. ..	6,040 0 0	5,200 0 0
IV.	Allowances payable to Pathis. ..	54- 0 0	344 0 0
V.	Establishments:-		
	(a) Temple establishment on the Hills. ..	375 0 0	375 0 0
	(b) Chatiram establishment on the Hills. ..	720 0 0	960 0 0
	(c) -do- at Tirupati.	60 0 0	72 0 0
	(d) Chatiram and Temple establishment at Tiruchanur. ..	108 0 0	246 0 0
	(e) Office Establishment. ..	2,272 0 0	2,300 0 0
VI.	MISCELLANEOUS:-including percentage contribution to Dispensary establishment and Corporation for the construction of Sri Venkateswara Choultry. ..	5,028 0 0	3,740 0 0
	Reserve.	...	624 0 0
	GRAND TOTAL:-	20,000 0 0	20,000 0 0

Chairman.

Finance Commissioner.

Bm/28-1-38.

Revised & returned by 15-7-38

NOTE.

The existing scale of expenditure of the Charities was sanctioned in G.O. No.113-6/Mun.214-37-2 dated 11th December 1928 limiting the cost to Rs.17,500/- and making a reservation of Rs.1,000/- for provincial contribution towards Mukrai Establishment Fund and Rs.1,500/- towards Contribution for the construction of Non-Brahmin Hostel on the Hills.

Small increases of pay to certain members of the menial staff of the Charities to an extra cost of Rs.142/- were sanctioned in G.O. No.1026-27/ Mu.173-30-2 dated 30th January 1930 and G.O. No.957-8/Mu. 163-38-2, dated 13th December 1938.

A new Peshkar was appointed in July 1938 with an increased duty allowance, vide G.O. No.2117-19/Mu.37-38-3 dated 7th June 1938). Stationery contribution payable to Municipality viz., Rs.70 - now fixed & increased.

On account of enhanced Municipal Tax on the Buildings due to revision on the present rental value and the monthly Electric Lighting charges, for Electric Lights were installed on the Main Gate, Mill and Board of Water Supply on the Hills and the Assistant's Office Building, there has been some increase in expenditure under "Miscellaneous" head also.

Slight increase in the cost of establishment is also due to the revision of pay of the Building Peons, proposed to meet the present rise in prices.

The present expenditure and the proposed scale is approximately as follows:-

I. Pay & allowances of the Hill Municipal Staff ... Rs.

II. Pensions to Hill Municipal Staff including Widows Municipal Staff and Widows ... Rs.

III.	...evis in the Tiruchanur Temple.	Rs.	620- 0- 0
IV.	Contributions to Mutts.	..	544- 0- 0
V.	Establishment including the enhanced cost in the pay of Menials sanctioned in the Government Orders of 1936 and 1938 and the additional pensionary contribution consequent on the appointment of the New Seshmar from July 1939.	..	4,033- 6- 0
VI.	Miscellaneous including enhanced Municipal Tax on Monthly Electric Lighting Charges.	..	785-14- 0
			13161- 2- 0

The ... Rs. ...-4-0 minus Rs.17,000/- of ... over the above Estimated Scale of Rs. 17,000 ... under heading ...

... Establishment ... since ... consequent on the reduction ... other articles ... Contingent ... now sub-... Contingent ...

... Variations ... are:-

Variations:-

... terranean- ... .1, 0/- ever after ... the Contingent Provision ...

... and 1 Peon at ... . Rs. 80/-

... in the Shettr on the Hills. Rs.840/-

Total:- Rs. 1,200/-

... Rs. ... in the cost of ...

... tablishment

I. Establishment.

1) Increase in the pay of the Cook [illegible] Hill Choultry by [illegible] As [illegible] it is reported [illegible] present [illegible] have long been in Chatram Service have not [illegible] the services of competent [illegible] will not be available on a smaller pay. In place [illegible] the Cook from Rs.14/- to Rs.1[illegible] from Rs.10/- to Rs.12/- is proposed resulting in an increase by Rs.6/- per month or Rs.7[illegible]/- per annum.

2) One additional peon for the Hill Choultry on [illegible] of Rs.[illegible]/- per mensem is proposed, to take care of the [illegible] and other properties of the Choultry and to attend to other miscellaneous work as the two persons [illegible] have other duties [illegible] resulting in an increased cost by Rs.[illegible]/- per annum. XXXXXXX

3) [illegible] in [illegible] Tirucherapur from [illegible] to Rs.[illegible]/- or Rs.[illegible]/- per annum.

4) [illegible] in the pay of the peon from Rs.[illegible] to Rs.[illegible] attached to the Choultry at [illegible] [illegible] given [illegible] increment [illegible] [illegible] overlooking orders of [illegible]-1-1[illegible] and [illegible]-1-19[illegible]. [illegible] pay

5) Increments already sanctioned [illegible] the above [illegible] to the menials of the Choultry [illegible]
Rs.[illegible]/- per annum.

6) Increase [illegible] allowance [illegible] as sanctioned in the Government order of [illegible]

7) Increase in [illegible] to [illegible] 1/4 pay of the present [illegible] at Rs.20/- per mensem [illegible] the existing provision of Rs.1[illegible]0/-. - Rs.1[illegible]0/- per annum.

8) Increase in the pay [illegible]

[illegible] Clerk [illegible]

... attached to ... Chaultry as it is
... both of ... are doing very good work continuous
in th...
... be given a time scale pay as an incentive
... good work. It is proposed to fix the pay of the ... clerk who is at present getting Rs.25/- p.m. at Rs. .../- - ... Rs.30-1-40, as he is to be placed in charge of the ... clerk's duties when the latter is on leave and that of the junior ... on Rs.20/- at Rs.25/- in grade 20--1-30 ... consideration of their good work and of the cost of living in a place like Tirupati. Both these clerks, ... Chaultry are Mysoreans.

Xxxx the above proposals if sanctioned would ... an increase by Rs.280/- per annum ~~as per working s~~ ~~statement.~~

... holy allowance to clerks for changing from ... Chaultry to Sri Parvetti and vice-versa once in 6 months ... Rs.1/- per annum.

Total increase under this head. Rs.276/- . .

II. Miscellaneous.

... Municipal ... from Rs.5/- to Rs.1 ... Rest House, the Tirupati Municipality have ... the increase on the account of fire, building ... Rs.4/- ... based on the rental value of the ... The increase is Rs.4-1-0.

... ... the Savings Bank ... Treasury, ... Savings Bank having been recently ... ... under contingent ... provision is to be under ~~sale~~ miscellaneous:- Rs.360/- p.m.

... provision for repairs to buil... ... from Rs.200/- to Rs.500/- is propo... ... provision both found inadequate, as there ... will have to be maintained in addition ... Chaultry now under construction:- Rs.300/- . .

... increase under ... to Vessels-tinning,

"inning, etc.," from Rs.40/- to Rs.50/- is proposed as there is a large stock of new vessels in the Moultries:-

Rs.10/- p.a.

Totals of (a) to (d) .. Rs.700/-.

The increased expenditure under I and II works out at (Rs.870/- + Rs.700/-) Rs.1,570/-.

The net savings that could be expected in the above proposals are sanctioned [illegible] Rs.1,200/- - Rs.1,570/- Rs.634/- which is to be kept in reserve to meet the [illegible] expenses. In the existing [illegible] in 1951, there was no provision for a reserve.

The proposed [illegible] provision of Rs.1,200/- [illegible] Rs.1,200/- towards [illegible] in addition to [illegible]

Yours faithfully,

M. V. Anantharaman

[illegible]

R. S. Adm. F. VI—G. 2]

SUBJECT ..... b copies .....

Diary No. of letter replied to ..... 11.5.3 .....

Section. Misc

File No. 190 36

Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 15-4-1940

Signed by ..... Asst. S. K. V.

Read— G. O. No. 1135-6 Misc 214-28-2 Dy 11/12/1928 sanctioning a revised scale of expenditure in respect of the Mysore State Charities at Tirupathur.

2) Letter No. C. 1320/389 Dy 31/1/40 from the Secretary, Mysore Representative, in the circumstances explained therein, forwarding a revised scale of expenditure of the ~~above mentioned institution~~ Mysore State Charities at Tirupathur.

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Order thereon—No

Code No. 2188-9.

The Hon. Colonel Secretary

The Hon. Commissioner has the honour to report that, since the date of the G.O. read above, conditions have vastly changed necessitating the revision of expenditure owing to the revised prices of food stuffs & other articles & a slight enhancement under a few items to suit the altered circumstances. He has therefore the honour to submit proposals for the revision of the existing Scale of Expenditure of the charities according to which, while the total expenditure will be kept at Rs 60,000/=, the amount for Services in the Sri Venkatesvara Swamy Temple on the Hills & Sri Kanakadurgai Temple at Tirumchanur will be increased. The provision under Establishment will be increased by a reserve of Rs 624/= has been provided for, which will be

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

increased to Rs 2,124/= from 1941-42 onwards

In the circumstances explained, Govt are pleased to direct that the total sanctioned Cost may be fixed at Rs 19,500/=, reducing the provision for rescue from Rs 624/= to Rs 124/= & that, from 1941-42 onward, with the disappearance of the need to continue Rs 1500/= towards the Cost of the Iron Bridge in Chowtry, the total expenditure may be reduced to Rs 18,000/=.

The revised scale of expenditure as approved is appended to this Govt.

By Order
Asst. Secy to Govt

To The Chief Engr.
The Comptroller
The

WD 0282—GPB- 22,500—14-3-38

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. 2188-9—Muz. 199-39-2, dated 15th April 1940

Revised Scale of Expenditure

Sanctions a —— in respect of the Mysore State Charities at Tirupati.

READ—

Government Order No. 1135-6—Muz. 214-28-2, dated 11th December 1928, sanctioning a revised scale of expenditure in respect of the Mysore State Charities at Tirupati.

2. Letter No. C 1320—38-39, dated 31st January 1940, from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, requesting, in the circumstances explained therein, sanction to a revised scale of expenditure of the Mysore State Charities at Tirupati.

ORDER No. 2188-9—MUZ 199-39-2, DATED BANGALORE, THE 15TH APRIL 1940.

The Muzrai Commissioner reports that, since the date of the Government Order read above, conditions have vastly changed necessitating the reduction of expenditure owing to the reduced prices of foodstuffs and other articles and a slight enhancement under a few items to suit the altered circumstances. He has, therefore, submitted proposals for the revision of the existing scale of expenditure of the Charities according to which, while the total expenditure will be kept at Rs. 20,000, the amount for services in the Sri Venkataramaswamy Temple on the Hills and Sri Mahalakshmi Temple at Tiruchanur will be reduced. The provision under establishment has been increased and a reserve of Rs. 624 has been provided for, which will be increased to Rs 2,124 from 1941-42 onwards.

2. In the circumstances explained, Government are pleased to direct that the total sanctioned cost may be fixed at Rs. 19,500 reducing the provision for reserve from Rs. 624 to Rs. 124 and that from 1941-42 onward, with the disappearance of the need to continue Rs. 1,500 towards the cost of the Non-Brahmin Choultry, the total expenditure may be reduced to Rs. 18,000.

3. The revised scale of expenditure as approved is appended to this order.

J. APPAJI GOWDA,
Secretary to Government,
General Department.

To—The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore
The Comptroller to Government through the Financial Secretariat.

Exd.—B G S

WD 3690—GPB—130—20 5 40

No. 2188-9—Muz. 199-39-2, dated 15th April 1940.

ANNEXURE.

Statement showing the existing and the proposed scale of expenditure in the Mysore State Charities at Tirupati.

Particulars	Existing scale sanctioned in G. O. No. 1135-6—Muz. 214-28-2, dated 11th December 1928.	Sanctioned scale according to the present requirements.	Remarks
I—For Services in the Sri Venkataramanaswamy on the Hills.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
(a) *Monthly Charges.*—			
1. Akhanda	4,300 0 0	3,300 0 0	
2. Arati	35 0 0	25 0 0	
3. Alankhara and Dadhyodhana ...	1,501 0 0	1,200 0 0	This includes the remuneration payable to Gannikars (cook mirasdars.
4. His Highness' Janma Nakshatra Utsava.	90 0 0	120 0 0	
5. Payasa Nivedana on Fridays ...	120 0 0	120 0 0	
(b) *Annual Charges.*			
1. Utlotsava	18 0 0	18 0 0	
2. Pallavotsava	80 0 0	80 0 0	
Total ...	6,143 0 0	4,863 0 0	
II—For Services in the Sri Mahalakshmi Temple at Tiruchanur.			
1. Dadhyodhana, payasa on Friday and Kunkumarchana daily.	620 0 0	540 0 0	
Total ...	620 0 0	540 0 0	
III—For Feeding in His Highness the Maharaja's Chattram on the Hills.			
1. Nityakatle Santharpane ...	4,240 0 0	3,600 0 0	
2. Santharpane during Brahmothsavam ...	1,400 0 0	1,200 0 0	
3. Do Mukkoti ..	400 0 0	400 0 0	
Total ...	6,040 0 0	5,200 0 0	
IV—Amounts Payable to Mathas.			
(*a*) Dodda Jeeyangar's Mutt ...	144 0 0	144 0 0	
(*b*) Chikka Jeeyangar's Mutt ...	96 0 0	96 0 0	
(*c*) Mahant's Mutt	250 0 0	250 0 0	
(*d*) Dodda Jeeyangar's Cook .	54 0 0	54 0 0	
Total ...	544 0 0	544 0 0	
V—Establishment.			
(a) *Temple Establishment on the Hills.*—			
1 Archak	60 0 0	60 0 0	
2 Ekangis	30 0 0	30 0 0	
3 Bokkasa	12 0 0	12 0 0	
Carried over ...	102 0 0	102 0 0	

No. 2188-9—Muz. 199-39-2, dated 15th April 1940.

Scale of expenditure—Mysore State Charities—Tirupati—(*contd*).

Particulars	Existing scale sanctioned in G. O. No. 1135-6—Muz. 214-28-2, dated 11th December 1928	Sanctioned scale according to the present requirements.	Remarks
	Rs. a. p	Rs. a p.	
Brought over ...	102 0 0	102 0 0	
4. Nakshatra Arati	102 0 0	102 0 0	
5. Sahasranama	24 0 0	24 0 0	
6. Tomala	21 0 0	21 0 0	
7. Swarna Chatri	42 0 0	42 0 0	
8. Chamara Seva	36 0 0	36 0 0	
9. Morchal	24 0 0	24 0 0	
10. Do	24 0 0	24 0 0	
Total ...	375 0 0	375 0 0	
(b) *Chattram Establishment on the Hills.*—			
1. Cook	168 0 0	216 0 0	
2. Paricharak	120 0 0	144 0 0	
3. Alankara Viniyoga	66 0 0	66 0 0	
4. Devatarchana and Serving ...	66 0 0	66 0 0	
5. Gardener and Watchman ...	84 0 0	96 0 0	Enhanced pay to these was sanctioned in G. O. No. 1026-27—Muz. 173-35-2, dated 30th January 1936.
6. Do do ...	84 0 0	96 0 0	
7. Jadmali	36 0 0	42 0 0	
8. Do	36 0 0	42 0 0	
9. Scavenger	60 0 0	84 0 0	
10. Additional peon	.....	108 0 0	
Total ...	720 0 0	960 0 0	
(c) *Chattram Establishment at Lower Tirupati.*			
1. Jadmali	30 0 0	36 0 0	Enhanced pay sanctioned in G. O. No. 1026-27—Muz. 173-35-2, dated 30th January 1936.
2. Jadmali	30 0 0	36 0 0	
Total ...	60 0 0	72 0 0	
(d) *Chattram and Temple Establishment at Tiruchanur.*			
1. Viniyoga Man —... ...	72 0 0	96 0 0	
2. Peon	96 0 0	108 0 0	
3. Jadmali	18 0 0	24 0 0	Enhanced pay sanctioned as per G. O. No. 1026-27—Muz. 173-35-2, dated 30th January 1936.
4. Scavenger	12 0 0	18 0 0	
Total ...	198 0 0	246 0 0	
(e) *Office Establishment.*—			
1. Peshkar (*a*) Pay	960 0 0	960 0 0	Pay, duty and other allowances have been fixed in G. O. No. 2117-18—Muz. 378-38-2, dated 7th June 1939.
(*b*) Duty and other allowances.	204 0 0	300 0 0	
2. Peshkar pensionary contribution ...	100 0 0	240 0 0	
3. Senior Gumastha	300 0 0	440 0 0	
4. Junior Gumastha	240 0 0	380 0 0	
Carried over ...	1,804 0 0	2,320 0 0	

No. 2188-9—Muz. 199-39-2, dated 15th April 1940.

Scale of expenditure—Mysore State Charities—Tirupati—(*concld.*)

	Particulars	Existing scale sanctioned in G. O. No. 1135-6—Muz. 214-28-2, dated 11th December 1928	Sanctioned scale according to the present requirements.	Remarks.
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. a.	
	Brought over ...	1,804 0 0	2,320 0 0	
5.	Daffedar ...	144 0 0	156 0 0	Increased pay at Re. 1 each sanctioned in G. O. No. 957-8—Muz. 165-38-2, dated 13th December 1938
6.	Peon	120 0 0	132 0 0	
7.	Do	108 0 0	120 0 0	
8.	Do	96 0 0	108 0 0	
	Total ...	2,272 0 0	2,856 0 0	
	VI—Miscellaneous.			
1	Kandavam or Municipal tax .	30 0 0	60 0 0	
2.	Purchase of prasada and travelling allowance to the Peshkar and a follower for their visit to Mysore on the occasion of His Highness the Maharaja's Birthday.	84 0 0	84 0 0	
3	Contingent expenditure (including printing charges).	174 0 0	174 0 0	
4.	Lighting (Electric) charges of the Charities.	.....	360 0 0	
5	Repairs to buildings (annual maintenance)	200 0 0	500 0 0	
6.	Repairs and tinning vessels .	40 0 0	50 0 0	
7	Dholy allowance to clerks for changing from Hill Choultry to Lower Tirupati and *vice versa* once in six months.	...	12 0 0	
8	Percentage contribution to Muzrai establishment fund.	1,000 0 0	1,000 0 0	
9	Contribution for the construction of non-Brahmin Choultry on the Hill.	1,500 0 0	1,500 0 0	G O No. 3345—Muz. 194-27-2, dated 14th August 1928, directing that the additional grant of Rs 1,500 per annum for feeding allowed in the year 1924, be saved from the year 1928-29 for meeting the cost of construction of a building for the accommodation of non-Brahmins on the Hills.
10.	Reserve to meet un foreseen expenditure.	....	124 0 0	This will cease from 1941-42, from which year the total expenditure will be Rs. 18,000.
	Total	3,028 0 0	3,864 0 0	
	Grand total of Items 1 to VI ..	20,000 0 0	19,500 0 0	

amount equal to or a little more than the collection is being utilised for the maintenance of roads, dispensaries and schools. It has been recently decided that education and railway cesses should be levied in the Jaghir and the proceeds credited to the respective District Funds.

A portion of the local cess in the Jaghir viz., that derived from Inoise, is already being credited to the District Fund and the simplest arrangement would, perhaps, be for the local cess on land revenue also to be made over to the District Board and to relieve the Jaghirdar of the obligation of maintaining roads and dispensaries.

IRRIGATION CESS.

As under the Sannad, the Jaghirdar is responsible for the upkeep of tanks, he may be allowed to collect this Cess and administer the fund in consultation with the Deputy Commissioner.

VILLAGE PANCHAYETS.

An Inspector of Village Panchayets has been appointed by Government and the whole of this work is being carried on by Government agency. The collection of Mohatarfa has been ordered to be discontinued.

UZRAI.

The question whether any change is required in the present arrangements has to be investigated. Perhaps the remedies provided in the Muzrai Regulation for gross and persistent mismanagement of religious institutions may be sufficient.

DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENTS.

The Agricultural and Sericulture Departments may be asked to interest themselves in the Jaghir area just as in other Taluks.

The trend of recent Government Orders has been to assert the permissive nature of such of the administrative powers as the

the Jaghirdar is left to exercise. These powers are exercised by him not as Jaghirdar but as a public functionary appointed by Government and they are revokable at the discretion of Government. It has also been made clear that Land Revenue and Excise Revenue from Toddy, are the only items of public income to which he has an indisputable right. The other items of receipts (e.g., criminal fines, Court Fee Revenue, Local Cesses &c.,) are to him only so long as he is allowed to perform the services to which these receipts are incidental.

Each of the remaining questions may be dealt with on these principles. A general order on the lines contemplated in 1920 does not appear to be expedient.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
10 AUG. 1928

GENL. & REV. SECTT.
11 AUG
FINANCIAL BRA

Into.
21-7-28.

.....

11-8-28

INSTRUCTION IN COUNCIL ON 2nd August 1928.

0248

" May be worked up on the lines given at the end of the note."

MWZ 288-24-9

. . .

Forwarded, with compliments, to the Secretary to Government, Financial Department, for favour of necessary further action, in regard to matters relating to Departments in his charge

Chief Secy to Govt.

FINANCIAL SEC'
5 S 10

No..... OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore.....3rd..September..... 1925

5

No. 1400 Fin & Y.17 / 24-5

7 MUZRAI

D3884

Muz 288-24-10

FROM

F. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

R. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

Adverting to your letter No.744 Muz.288-24 dated 14th September 1925, calling for a report as to when exactly the period of the Jaghirdar of Yelandur ended and what his powers are in respect of the house and of the Sri Pallageri Durgadevi temple at the said Yelandur Jahgir, I have the honour to state that in regard to the Jaghirdar has stated in his letter to the Deputy Commissioner (copy of which is herein enclosed) that when the Jaghir was restored by Government to the late Sir [illegible] Urs on his attaining majority in the year 1868, the management of the temple was also transferred to him excepting only the annual cash allowance and inam lands issued by the Superintendent, Mysore Division to the trustees of Yelandur Temple, in accordance with the list of arrangements received from the Chief Commissioner. The original list has not been traced in the Jaghir Office nor in the Deputy Commissioner's Office but it is not available in the District Office Records either. A copy extract of the same has been sent by the Deputy Commissioner. It is contended that the management of the temple and its properties being conducted on proper lines and that the head of the Jaghir and trustees of the Jaghir should not be interfered with.

2. There have been petitions against the Report of the Assembly Petitions Committee that the temple and its properties properly the Jaghir should not be handed over to the

been afforded to the public who resort to the temple. The temple of Sri Bhagavathi Bhagavathi is undoubtedly a Muzrai Institution -- having been endowed with inam lands which fetch an income of about Rs.2,000/- per annum. In addition to this the temple gets nearly Rs.7,000/- from banks and other sources and they the Deputy Commissioner thinks with a little more binding of local enthusiasm the annual income can be increased to Rs.12,000/- and that special functions can also be got for works of public utility. The entire income is being spent without making any provision for reserve. It is seen from the Deputy Commissioner's letter (copy enclosed) that though the worship in the temple is regular and satisfactory and the Chattram is in good condition yet the execution of a number of --- works of public utility requires early attention and that there is considerable scope for improvement in that direction. There seems to be a mistaken impression on the part of the Jagirdar authorities that the institution is not subject to Government control. There is --- reason to believe that in a few cases the funds of the temple are not kept quite apart from the other funds of the Jagirdar (vide Deputy Commissioner's letter of 1st April 1941 and correspondence --- regarding the Gowdahilli tank repair.) The Jagirdar has also lent temple money to private persons without obtaining orders of Government. In order to remove the misapprehension that seems to exist viz., that the Government has no power of interference in the management of the temple and also because there are complaints of mismanagement, which do not seem to be quite unfounded as in spite of the large income the institution gets, very proper facilities to the public have not been afforded, I am of opinion that it is very desirable that Government should exercise some control over this -- Muzrai Institution instead of leaving the entire management to the Trustees who have been ruling the institution for a very long time -- but a perusal of the copy of the Firman granted by the Nizam to the Jagirdar will show that the Jagirdar exercises authority over the temple by only delegation from the Government and the authority --- that conferred the power has every right to introduce suitable ---- restrictions or even withdraw it altogether if the powers are not exercised in the best interests of the institution. Hence if --

Governme

Government should now interfere ~~xxxx~~ serve some powers of control and management to safeguard the interests of the institution the ---. Jaghirdar can have no legitimate ~~powers~~ ground of complaint and it is not clear how it will result in any loss of prestige or dignity. In these ~~days~~ days when the public expect to be more and more in -- touch with the management of public institutions such association will be all to the advantage of the Jaghirdar himself as he will be in a better position to defend himself against charges of ~~xx~~ mismanagement or conversion of the institution into a source of profit for himself when his acts are virdicated by the approval of a competent authority. The temple is no part of the Jaghir existing long before the Jaghir and ~~reasonably~~ the reason why the temple has been ~~neglected~~ subjected to the Jaghirdar's authority is that it is situated within the Jaghir and it was thought that the - authority most competent to manage it would be the Jaghirdar. In view of a strong party within the Jaghir itself that is opposed to the ---- ~~Jaghirdar~~ and also the interests of the outside public in the temple, strongly of opinion that it is not desirable to continue the ---- going state of things any further and the Jaghirdar's authority -- ~~xx~~ected to proper check. I therefore request you to obtain early orders of Government on the following proposals which I consider quite ~~xxxx~~ sary for the efficient management of the institution;--

The Jaghirdar should prepare a scale of income and expenditure obtain sanction of Government to it. He should strictly adhere but full discretion may be given to him to spend money within the sanctioned scale and also to exercise disciplinary control over the staff. Any item of expenditure not provided in the sanctioned scale should not be spent - even in anticipation of sanction - by the Jaghirdar without obtaining the previous sanction of the Inam Commissioner.

(b) As in the case of the Muzrai Institutions, a Pass Book should be opened for this institution also and all transactions pertaining to it should find a place in the Pass Book and monthly accounts should be submitted to the Muzrai Commissioner.

(c) As there is reason to believe that the money belonging to the institution has got mixed up with the money belonging to the Jaghirdar

and vice versa the accounts of the temple may be got audited by the Assistant Comptroller whose pay and T.A. during the period of ---- deputation may be met from the funds of the institution. This will enable the fixing of the correct balance of the institution for being taken on to the Jasa Book.

(d) As regards the appointment of a Committee of Dharmadarsis to the institution I am not in favour of the same at present, as there are two parties in the Jaghir strongly opposed to each other and hence working a smooth working of the Committee is not possible. -- Besides the temple being situated on a hillock, it is not likely that the Dharmadarsis would meet frequently and be of some use to the -- authorities.

(e) The question whether the village of Biligiri Rangana Forest is an inam granted to the temple as pending for a very long time though Government ord rea so far back as 1856 that an enquiry should be held with a view to set le the claim of the temple to the Forest. The Inam Superintendent may be requested to t e up the enquiry without - further dela . Having regard to the time already taken a peremptory order should issue to the Inam Superintendent that his decision -- should be passed not more than 6 months hence.

(f) Government have in their order of July 1905, directed that the cost of repairing the Gowlahalli tank for which the temple amount -- has been spent should be proportionately borne by the te ple and the Jaghir according to the respective atchkat below the tank. The Deputy Commissioner has not reported whether the order has be n given effect to. On receipt of orders on t present proposals, the Deputy Commissioner will be requested to expedite this matter as also the recovery of the amount ~~due~~ lent from the temple funds. A suitable adjustment has not been made already.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M Thomas by
for Assistant Commissioner
Inzral Commissioner
(Absent from Station)

Letter No.R.Dis.H.195/A.C. dated 30th June 1930 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Mysore Forest Officer.

Sri Biligiri Ranganathaswamy temple.

In continuation of my letter No.H.195/A.C. dated 5th March 1930, and with reference to your letter No.C.1400 of 1929-30 dated 16-1-1930, I have the honor to state that I visited Biligiri Rangana hills on the temple and other institutions on the 16th June and 17th June 1930.

2. The worship in the temples is regular and satisfactory. The temple servants were contented. They are regularly paid their salaries. The Chattram is in good repair. The kalyani contains little water but the investment and flight of steps are in good order.

3. The works already reported on by the Sub Division Officer in his letter No.A2 1939/27 dated 19-3-1928 (copy sent with my letter of 5th March) are all necessary. Of these, the Samudra tank bund is most urgent and must be completed before the next Sankranti festival. The Jeernoddharagert gopuram requires to be taken up next.

The Nagasandra and Kaveri are the items next in order of urgency. They will not cost much. The agent may be requested to take up these works also and complete them within a year.

It is in order to stop the stone pilferers in the valley that the repair of pillars all round the temple is required to be taken up in the year 1930-31.

4. The premises round about the temple are not clean. There is considerable park vegetation round about. Orders may be requested to keep the entire area round the temple free from jungle, bushes and rank vegetation.

5. But the principal complaint of the pilgrims is that access to the temple is not easy. Roads in Yelandur Sub-Taluk are notoriously bad and the road leading from Yelandur town to the hills is no exception. But even this road in such hilly parts can be with difficulty carried on and negotiated only for 4½ miles up to Arasinamallanatte. From that spot, road is marked off by furlong stones for another mile and a half and even wooden carts cannot venture on this portion.

From the 7th mile, except by steps here and there. There was a fair road up the hill, of old, leading to the Judgment's Bungalow on the top of the hill but it is now abandoned beyond the 7th mile. The existing steps or foot path are hard to negotiate by pilgrims and not befitting as a regular route, while it is said that

other hill temples. There is rich forest-wealth around Biligiri - Rangan Forest, and from Sandal Wood extraction alone, the Jahgirdar gets from Rs.15,000/- to Rs.25,000/- according to the activity of his staff Home, Matti, and Beete can be extracted for the next 20 years - without fear of denuding the forest, if any traffic facilities are -- created and exploitation on scientific lines is begun. It will be a profitable proposition for the Jahgirdar to repair the road from Yelandur town to the Hills even from this point of view. But in any case he must at least make the last 1½ miles of road trafficable for carts, and arrange for the removal of thorns and spity loose stones in the steps and foot path beyond and clear the ground for a few yards on other side to afford safety to pilgrims. This may also be required to be done by the Agent before the end of June 1928.

6. As regards funds, I invite a reference to the annual report on the Temple's affairs for 1926-27 received from the Agent and sent with my letter No.D.5.566/27 dated 29th November 1927.

It will be seen from statement No.5 appended to it that the temple had realisable assets to the extent of Rs.19684-13-9 on 1-7-1927. The temple has an annual revenue of over Rs.9000 and with a little more kindling of local enthusiasm, it can be increased to Rs.12,000/-, -- Specially Special offerings and donations can be got for the useful works above referred to.

7. Mr. Srinivasa Iyengar once told me that the Biligiri Rangan Forest is the Inam property of the Deity and does not belong to Jahgirdar. I have no reference to in my office to support this view. Perhaps the -- papers in the Inam office or in the Secretariat archives relating to the grant of the Jahgir and the accounts of the immediately preceding year may throw light on this point. If this contention should prove correct, the temple can be maintained in as good condition as Chamarajanagar. I request that this point may be got further investigated.

8. From the copy of Sannada Kirup sent with my letter No.346/A.C. dated 4th January 1926, it can be inferred that the Jahgirdar exercises authority over the temple by delegation from the Government. If he wants this privilege and prestige to be continued to him (and his ಶ್ರೀವೈಷ್ಣವ ಪ್ರಪತ್ತಿ is daily recited after Rajasirvadam in the temple) he must also incur some expenditure from Jahgir funds for the temple, even as Government sanction grants to Muzrai institutions and advance funds for necessary items like Sankrarti car -- without waiting entirely for devotees' contribution or accumulation of

of temple funds. This aspect of the case may be pointed to the Agent and she may be required to execute the terms above endorsed.

Finally, it is necessary to require the Jahgirdar to appoint a set of Dharmadarsis for the temple so that the affairs of the institution may come under independent scrutiny and not be left to the will of the Tarpathegar ~~xxxx~~ alone.

"C o p y"

For Revenue Commissioner.

RC

Letter No.120/Muz.36 dated 20/23rd December 1936 from the Agt to the Jaghirdar of Yelandur to the Deputy Commissioner Mysore ---- District.

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter G. H.243 A.C. dated 27-11-1936, regarding the position and powers of the Jaghirdar, in respect of Sri Biligiri Rangaswami temple, I have the honor to state as follows:--

The Yelandur Jaghir was granted as personal Inam to Dewan --- Purnaiya in 1807. The entire administrative control so far as the Jaghir area is concerned, has always vested in the successive Jaghirdars ever since the grant. Within this area is situated, the temple of Sri Biligiri Rangaswami, which has all along been in the management of the Jaghirdar, except during one or two limited periods when the ~~Jaghirdar, except during one or two limited periods when the Jaghir~~ Jaghir itself was under Government management for obvious reasons.

There is abundant proof to show that the management of the -- temple was conducted solely by or on behalf of the Jaghirdars. When the Jaghir was restored by Government to the late Sir Ram. --- Krishnamurty on his attaining majority in the year 1869 the management of the temple was also transferred to him as is evident from the enclosed copy of Miruj No.121 dated 5-7-1869 (Register Extract) issued by the Superintendent, Ashstagram Circle to the Amildar of Yelandur Taluk, in accordance with the instructions received from the Chief Commissioner. I have not been able to get at the original Tarup in the search conducted till now.

A separate account of this institution is maintained in the ---- Taluk Office at Yelandur and a whole-time Circar Gumasta is employed for this purpose, in addition to two Tarupathdars and two Shanbhoghs who keep accounts of the temple. The scale of expenditure has been fixed and the temple staff consisting of about 50 servants are under the immediate control of the Jaghir Amildar. Monthly and Annual accounts of the temple are received from the Taluk and scrutinized in the Jaghir office. The Annual Administration reports together with account of receipts and expenditure of the temple are regularly -- submitted by the Jaghirdar to the Mysore Commissioner, through the -- Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, and reviewed by Government.

I beg to submit that the management is being conducted on proper lines and the properties of the temple are also administered

G1]

36

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mcy

File No. 288-24

Serial No. 11

No. 659

ary No. of letter replied to.....384.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Mcy)

the *192*

To The Muzrai Court

in Mysore, Bangalore.

Date of issue.....29/9/28.....

Signed by.....

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter no 1400 of 24-25 & 5.9.28, re the powers of the Jagirdar of Yelandur in respect of the Management of Sri Bilijiri Rangaswami Temple in the Yelandur Jagir, I am directed to request that a copy of the Kannada Firman referred to in para 3 of the Dy: Comm's no no 275 dt 5th July 1869

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

over

WD 0325—GPB—37,000—26-5-27

Lettre du 20.6.28 ouverte par

8 OCT.

No.

38

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore.....6th October.....192 8.

8

No. 1400 Enq
24-5

FROM

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., P.A., P.L.,
~~The~~ *Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.*

REVENUE & REV SECRETARY
10 OCT 1928
MUZRAI
566.

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit herewith copy of the Ashtagram ---- Division Superintendent's Kannada Nirup No.121 dated 5th July 1869 in respect of the management of Sri Biligiri Rangaswami temple in the Yelandur Jaghir, as desired in your letter No.659/Muz.288-24-11 dated 29th September 1928.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

HC

121

LCO

VI. Gl]

UBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section LCO

File No.

Serial No.

No. 9[illegible]

Diary No. of letter replied to 384

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Mag)

the *192*

To The Magistrate Court

ie Nagpore Bangalore

Sir,

Date of issue 25/10/28

Signed by

With reference to the correspondence ending with

your letter No 1400 of 22 25 &

5.7.28 re the powers of the

Taluk Boards of Tyalanda ie

regarding the Management

of the Bilgiri Rangaswamy

temple, I am directed to forward

herewith the file of correspondence

noted above to your office

with the above letter for the

Mag 63 27 [illegible] 27 [illegible] 28 May

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

over

WD 0325—APL—3,000—26-5-27

[illegible] [illegible] ed and

return

2 [illegible] copy of the summons or

other authority [illegible] specific

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] forwarded

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 2/0

[illegible]

diate.

C. 13.]

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
9 NOV. 1928
No.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore.....192

SECRETARIAT
10 NOV 1928
MUZRAI

No. 1400 En 17 file
24-5

715

FROM P.G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Financial Department.

SIR,

In continuation to my letter No.325/Muz.Dc-2-1 dated 25th October 1928, I have the honor to forward herewith two files of correspondence relating to the management of Sri Pillaiyar Koil at Yelahanka together with a copy of the letter No.1851/Muz.Dc-2-1 dated 31st October 1928.

2. The Yelahanka Jagirdar has been addressed to furnish a copy of the Sanad required by you.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M Thimmaiya
for Assistant Commissioner
(about on tour)

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT..... .....

....

....

Section Mug.

File No. 288-24

Serial No. 11

No. 1318 Encl twofls.
Secl. on 4-2-29

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue 15/1/29

Signed by .....

To

The Mugavai Commissioner.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. 20 1400/28-29 dated 7th Octr/8th Novr, I am directed to state that it has been decided to entrust the management of the affairs of the Sirujai Ramaswami temple with the Palandurai Jahagir and I am directed to ask that Mr. Bapu Sahib may be appointed Trustee for the temple. This temple is undoubtedly a Mugavai institution and it is open to you to take all such steps as necessary for its proper

over

List of enclosures..... 91/2. Representations have several times been made by Mr. Bapu Sahib for the management

Copied by.....

Examined by .....

WD 0399—GPB—20,000—18 9-28

it is undesirable to authorize any coercive action which would lower the prestige of the Jahagirdar in his taluk. He has so far been the manager of this institution and for it Managing Committee that he may continue to occupy this position if he conforms to the conditions laid down for the proper management of the institution.

2 There are some points about which immediate action has to be taken and they are the following:—

(1) Separation of the finances of the temple from the finances of the Jahagir. The Jahagirdar should be asked to keep an independent account of the transactions of the temple and his banking account should be kept with the Imperial Bank Treasury or with the State Huzur Treasury Shirdaran

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

45

is conversant of the Jahagirdar and out-turns his Jahagir treasury he may make remittances to the credit of this account and draw upon it for meeting all the requirements of the temple.

(2) That are all the Inam lands endowed to this temple is a point which has been left in suspense since the grant of the Jahagir (1c) for over a century. It was directed in para 11 of G.O. dated 18th Feb 1897 that a careful enquiry regarding the whole Inam villages and minor Inams in the Jahagir should be conducted but this enquiry has not so far been made although it is now more than 20 years since it was ordered. The Supdt. Trichy and Deputation may be requested to arrange for this enquiry without further delay. ~~The Jahagirdar may be informed that the Secretary, in his opinion and~~

D 2230—GPB—1 00,000—23 7 20

~~Settlement Report has been asked~~

~~to conduct the enquiry and he may be~~

and he Inspector should be
requested to produce all the records
and references necessary for the
investigation into the matter. Whether
the Manager on the temple is
entitled to the benefit given
to ~~contractor~~ Religious and public
services the important points
which should be included in the
enquiry, and on finding recorded
by it.

2. After the general position
relating to the management of the
temple and the control to be exercised
over it by Govt are settled, the accounts
of the temple
& its financial transactions under
lines suggested by you, may, if necessary,
be arranged for. a Then the above matters have been disposed of
I am writing to request you
3. ~~The Inspector should be deputed~~
to do as
to peruse the report which has been
referred to in the last para of your letter
No 1600 Pry-25 dated 20/5th Sept. 1925
regarding the apportionment of the

I am to request
the Commissioner
will be deputed
to arrange for
the proper representation
at the enquiry of the
interests of the Temple

R. S G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

cost of repairing the footpath
Road between the temple and the
Jahgir.

Please &c.
2
7/1/29 Dy. Secy. to Govt
Law Dept

8/1/29

WL 2230—GPB—1,00 000—23 7 20

Appendix F G—1

46

SUBJECT..... .....

.....

.....

Section Inspor

File No. 288 24

Serial No. / 12

No. 1375 dated

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

The Jahaspur

Yelandur

Date of issue 24/1/29

Sir,

Signed by .....

I am directed to forward herewith for your info: Copy of Comm.ner's No. 1318 dt 15-1-29 addressed to the Inspr General regarding the management of the affairs of the Bilipuri Ranganswamy temple at the Yelandur Jahagir.

List of enclosures .....

Thanks.

24.1.29

Secy to govt.

Land Deptt.

Copied by.....

Examined by 24/1/29

over

F G—1

SUBJECT..... .....

.....

.....

Section Irrig

File No. 288 - 24

Serial No. 13

No.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

The Superintendent
Revenue Survey

Date of issue..... / .....

Sir,

I am desired to forward
herewith a copy of
no. 1318 | Irrig 288 - 24 - 11
dt 15 - 1 - 29 and to
state that the information
called for in the
may be sent up at
an early date.

I have etc

Signed by ....

x Flag A

List of enclosures..... .....

Copied by..... 18/5 .....

Examined by.....

18/5
for Secy to Govt
Revenue Dept

WW

over

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
7 AUG. 1929
No.

No: 23/29-30

Office of the Jaghirdar of Yelandur,
" Purnaprasada ", Race Course Road,
Bangalore, Dated, 6th August 1929.

From

The Jaghirdar of Yelandur,
Bangalore.

8 AUG 1929
MUZRAI
9210

To

The Financial Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

Muz 288-24-14

Sir,

I have the honour to acknowledge receipt of your letter No: 1375/Muz. 288-24-12 dated 21-1-1929 and further communications calling for my reply thereto regarding Sree Biligirirangaswami Temple in Yelandur and to state that the financial accounts of the Temple have been throughout kept quite separate and the Temple funds maintained intact quite independently of the Jaghir Income. With regard to the su--ggestion of keeping the amount pertaining to the Temple in the Government Treasury I shall submit my views in due course for favour of consideration. Meanwhile I may be permitted to state that the net cash balance of the temple being always small and less than Rs. 1000/- and never exceeding Rs.2000/-, Government may be pleased to accept my assurance that the present arrangement has not been prejudicial to the Temple, and that in the interest of the prestige of the Jaghirdar the practice prevailing hitherto may be kindly continued for the present.

As regards the minor Inams enquiry I beg to represent that it is a large question. I have just assumed the manage--ment of the Jaghir and have been acquainting myself with the details regarding this and other important questions. I beg

therefore

therefore that Government may be graciously pleased to grant not less than six months time to enable me to trace all the available papers covering a period of nearly a cent and submit my views in the matter. In paragraph LL of their Proceedings No: 233-35-D.C. dated 16th February 1896 Government state that " Colonel Grant and the Jaghirdar will be requested to submit for the orders of Government their respective proposals for such an enquiry." I shall be thankful to know if the Superintendent, Revenue Survey, has submitted his proposals. I shall after studying the old papers submit my proposals as contemplated in the Government Order of 1896. I beg that meanwhile Government may be kindly pleased to defer the enquiry till these preliminaries reach completion.

As regards the question of cost of repairing the Gowda--halli tank, I beg to state that the general position as at the end of June 1928 is somewhat as follows. A sum of Rs. 14,300/- was spent for repairing the tank and the entire amount was advanced from the Jaghir funds. Up to the end of June 1928 the total amount collected in the loan account was Rs. 15,000/- which stood as Rs. 12,500/- at the end of 1922-23. Out of this the following amounts have been spent on account of the temple:

(1) Rs. 2,000/- for the purchase of fresh lands for the benefit of the temple.

(2) Rs. 3,400/- for renovating a temple car.

(3) Rs. 3,600/- to meet the deficit that is excess of expenditure over income of the temple during the previous 5 years.

This leaves a balance of Rs. 6,000/- in favour of the temple out of the loan amount of Rs. 15,000/-. This is the amount available for adjusting the advance of Rs. 14,300/- made from Jaghir to restore Gowdahalli Tank. A sum of Rs. 2,300/- has been recovered from Gowdahalli ryots on account of

contribution

contribution payable by them. After adjusting these amounts there will still be left a sum of Rs. 6000/- payable by --- Gowdahalli to Jaghir, towards which a sum of Rs. 5,000/- is recoverable from Vadagere ryots on account of their contri--bution.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Nagaraja Rao Purnaiya

Jaghirdar of Yelandur.

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Imunyai

File No. 288.24

Serial No. 15

No.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....210.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Imunyai)

the *192*

To The Imunyai Canoe

Imunyai, Ruyalem.

Date of issue.....

Signed by. ....

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No. 1318 dated the 15th January 1929 regarding the management of the affairs of the Sri Bilipiri Kanga-Imeamy temple in the Yalanden Pagoda, I am directed to

List of enclosures.... .....

Copied by ....

Examined by ....

over

WD0325—GPB—30,000—26 5-27

dated the 6th August
1929 received from the
Registrar of Judgments
on the 8th Oct.
[illegible]
Thanks

ND 22/8
[illegible]

CB WW 21/8
21/8/29

(187)

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore,.....29th..August..... *192 9.*

2
No. 1520
31

No. 774
28-9

FROM

A. K. Syed Taj Peeran, Esq., B.A.,
~~The~~ *Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.*

FINANCIAL SECTARY
31 AUG. 1929
2791

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

R 379
288-24-16

SIR,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.1318 /Muz.288-24-11 dated 15th January 1929 re: the management of the --- affairs of the Biligiri Rangaswamy temple in the Yelandur Jahgirdar, and your letter No. 433 Muz 288-24-15 dated 23/24 Aug 1929 forwarding the Jaghirdar's reply to the points raised in the first letter for my remarks, I have the honor to state as follows:--

2. As regards the first point, the Jaghirdar says that he has been maintaining the accounts of the temple separately from his Jaghir -- accounts; but he is not in favour of having a banking account in any of the State Treasuries for the reason that when there is a treasury in the Jaghir itself, the prestige of the Jaghirdar in his Jahgir will suffer, if he is not permitted to keep the amount in his own treasury. As the temple seems to have at present not much surplus to be invested, his request to continue the transactions in the Jaghir treasury alone may be accepted. But, it should be a distinct understanding that all the accumulated surplus of the Institution should, whenever it amounts to Rs.2000/- and over, ~~xx~~ be immediately invested in the Mysore Government Savings Bank in the name of the Institution as it will earn -- interest.

3. As regards point 2, the Jaghirdar has prayed for six more ~~xx~~ time to enable him to trace all the available papers relating to th inam lands endowed to the temple, and requests the Government to - defer the enquiry ordered in your letter of the 15th January 192[illegible],

Revenue Survey regarding the inams. I think as the Jaghirdar is just beginning to interest himself in the management of the Jaghir, it will be to his advantage if the enquiry is conducted under the direction of the Deputy Commissioner by the Sub ---- Division Officer, Nanjangud, in co-operation with the Jaghirdar, as that will give him the advantage of the experience of a ---- Revenue Officer in the conduct of the enquiry, which as he himself admits, is one of a complicated nature, involving as it does, the ransacking of correspondence of over a century. I would ---- therefore suggest that the enquiry may be at once taken up by the Sub Division Officer of Nanjangud Sub Division under the directions of the Deputy Commissioner and in collaboration with the Jaghirdar and that he be directed to complete the enquiry as early as -- possible, and submit a comprehensive report embracing all the -- points in the case and making definite proposals within three months.

4. As regards the point, viz., the apportionment of the cost of repairing the Gowdahalli tank, the Jaghirdar's letter says that he proposes to meet more than half the cost of the restoration from the temple funds. It is seen from the correspondence on this subject, that the tank benefits not only the lands in Godahalli village, which is the inam endowed to the temple, but also lands in Jaghir Vadagere village. The contribution that has been recovered from the ryots of Gowdahalli is said to be Rs.2300/-, while that to be recovered from the ryots of Vadagere is stated to be Rs.5000/-. These two items come up to Rs.7300/- and the balance of Rs.7000 which go to make up Rs.14,300/- spent upon the tank will have to be apportioned between the temple and the Jaghirdar in the ratio of Rs.2300/- to 5000/-. This would make the contribution payable by the temple to somewhere about Rs.2000/-, whereas the Jaghirdar in his letter states that out of the amount of the loan advanced from the temple to private parties which had been recovered, he proposes to appropriate Rs.6000/- for the restoration of the tank. How he could charge such a large amount to the temple needs investigation. The Sub Division Officer - may be directed to go into this question in detail and submit

a report as to what is actually to be collected from the ryots of the two inam villages and what should be the contribution to be paid by the temple and the Jaghirdar to make up the -- total cost of Rs.14,300/- incurred in restoring the tank.

5. It seems also desirable that the accounts of the temple for some years past, say for a period of 20 years, may be audite and correct balance to the credit of the institution may be -- ascertained. This work may also be entrusted to the Sub --- Division Officer, who will be assisted in his work by an Auditor deputed from this office.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Muzrai Commissioner.

(absent from station)

F VI G-2]

58

CT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 379

Section Muzrai

File No. 288-24

Serial No. 17

General and revenue Secretariat

(Muzrai

the 192 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 7/11/25

Signed by.....

Sri Sri Y Srinivasa Iyengar, Yelandur

Read—

Intimation to be moved at the Birthday Session of the Representative Assembly of June 1925 asking for information about the income and expenditure of the Sri Bilifigiri Ranganathaswami temple in the Yelandur Taluk.

2 Letter Books of the 1924-25 file.

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Order Thereon—No.

Ab

AC

8/10

the Inspector
Commissioner to in
Mysore requesting
that action might be
taken to call for
the return of the
finances of the Sri
Bilagiri Rangaswami
Temple and of the
Jagir and for handing
the balance at the
Credit of the institution
in the Mysore Bank
at the Mysore Treasury,

List of enclosure.

and [illegible], for information
as to the waste lands
enclosed to the Temple,
and containing to be Copied by
the Inspection of
the Temple is entitled
to the exemption of the
Examined by
Forest and the Bhogam
Kaval Mahal, and Mamul

WD 0326—GPB—12,500—26-5 27

R. S G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

the cost of repairing the Gowdakalli Tank bund, to be ascertained before the Comft. and the Jaghir funds.

Letter No 23/ 22-30 dated the 6th January 1923 from the Jt. Collr of Cuddapah requesting for necessary funds that the work may not be delayed to replenish the deficit at the credit of the [illegible] in the Imperial Revenue of the year Revenue, dismissed Sanctioned to keep it with him as the work for the [illegible]

6 months, to ferment
thus report about
the increase of the
temple and furnish-
-ing information
as to have the cost
of it, reducing the
Income & actuals Cost
Note to be sent.

The following
letter no 774/24-9
dated the 29th/30th
August 1923 from
the Income Tax
Commr
Bombay regarding
Judgment ...

(... order PDE.
in appeal)

Correspondence ending with
re the assessment of the appeal
the Bilimora Panchayat in the year

order no. 1851-1) 1/10/25

The Chairman
of the Income Tax
Appellate Tribunal
Bombay City.

G/33

RAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Continuation sheet draft form.)

all advanced. Necessary
action may be taken
~~on the matter~~
~~a report~~ of the result-
ing Bill as introduced in due
course

1/4/19

MH/30/19 Secy to Govt

To The Deputy Commr
Bijapur

The Constitution of
Govt
The Collector, Kaira
Commr Ahmedabad
with ref his letter No 23 94-20 of 15th
4th August 1919.

.....1930

SRI VASA , Esq. ,

Su dent, Revenue Survey and Settlements in Mysore.

The Deputy Commissioner
ysore District, ...

Subject,-Including of an enquiry re: the whole Inam villages and minor Inams in the Yelandur

---

reference to your letter No.L.70x/28 dated 27-3-30

stating that the Jahagirdar of Kolamba has been requested to furnish ~~during~~ all the records and references necessary for the investigation, I have the honor to state that the Amildar, Yelandur Taluk who was asked to furnish details of Inams in the Jahagir was reminded in his No. 2.5 dated 20th January 1930 that a reference concerning the required information has been sent to the Jahagirdar. I request you to kindly obtain from the Amildar, Yelandur Taluk another st in the form herein enclosed and transmit the same to

enquiry be early as practicable.

I have the honour

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Sd/ D. Srinivasa Iyenga

Superintendent.



Copy is forwarded with compliments to the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, ~~Development~~ Financial Department, Bangalore with reference to his letter No. 2532 dated 16/18 May 288-24-13

Submitted for perusal

Sd/ D. Srinivasa Iyenga

Superintendent.

M. C 13]

No. 774/28-9
Encls 2.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.



211

Dated, Bangalore, 4th January 1932.

FROM

S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ,. M.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Muz 288-24-18

Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.
.....Financial..... ..... *Department.*

SIR,

With reference to para 3(2) of your letter No.1318 Muz.288-24-11 dated 15th January 1929 requesting to arrange for a careful enquiry regarding the whole inam villages and minor inams in the Yelandur Jahagir belonging to the Sri Biligiri Rangaswami temple being held by the Superintendent Revenue Survey, I have the honor to forward herewith co ies of letters Nos.H.701 of 28 dated 22nd April 1931 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District, and No.104 of 20-5-1931 from the Superintendent Revenue Survey and to state that neither the Sub Division Officer Nanjangud nor the District Survey officer Mysore District is in a position to take up the work in addition to his normal duties. The Survey Superintendent therefore suggests that a whole time officer possessing good ----- Revenue experience may be deputed for a period of 2 or 3 months to complete the preliminary investigation and submit findings to him for action under the Inam Rules. The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District is agreeable to have the work entrusted to the Assistant Commissioner in charge of the Jahagir, but since that officer is for the time being an employee of the Jahagirdar, and represents the interests of the Jahagir in the enquiry to be conducted, I do not consider it desirable that he should conduct the enquiry in question as between the temple and the Jahagirdar. Under the circumstances, I beg to propose that an Assistant Commissioner may be placed on special duty for this investigation for a period of

per mensem and two peons on Rs.10/- each.

2. As the enquiry in question is undertaken primarily in connection with the determination of the right of Sri Biligiri Rangaswami temple which is a Muzrai institution in receipt of a cash grant of Rs.2-1-0 per annum, the cost of the establishment now proposed and other contingent charges connected with it as well as the travelling expenses may, in the first instance, be met from the Muzrai Establishment Fund subject to recoupment of the proportionate cost later on from the funds of the temple and the Jahgirdar, if necessary.

3. I solicit early orders of Government on the above recommendations so that the enquiry which has been pending since 1896 may now be completed and the rights of the temple and the Jahagirdar once for all determined.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.H.701/28 dated 22nd April 1931 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:- Inam villages and minor inams, Yelandur Jahagir, enquiry.

With reference to the last portion of para 3 of Financial -- Secretary's letter No.1318/Muz.288-24-11 dated 15th January 1929 addressed to you, I have the honor to state that the Superintendent of Revenue Survey and Settlements wrote to me in his letter No.29 dated 20-8-1930 requesting to instruct the Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud, to hold a preliminary enquiry on the lines indicated in the inam rules. The Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud, who was requested accordingly now reports that the preliminary enquiry --- desired by the Superintendent of Survey requires the continued and undivided attention of a whole-time officer, and that it would be very difficult for him to undertake the enquiry along with the heavy work of the Sub Division.

A copy of the Sub Division Officer's report is enclosed.

2. Under the circumstances, I request that the Superintendent of Survey may kindly be requested to arrange for the enquiry beir conducted by the Inam department.

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.104 dated 20th May 1931 from the Superintendent, Revenue Survey and Settlements in Mysore to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to correspondence ending with letter No.H.701/28 dated 28nd April 1931 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to you regarding the preliminary enquiry to be made in respect of Inams in the Yelandur Jahgir, I have the honor to state that the Sub-Division Officer is, in my opinion, the Officer best fitted to conduct the preliminary enquiry as he can command the full co-operation of all the local and village officials and is thus in a position to get easily all the relevant information. Accordingly this course was suggested to the Deputy Commissioner so far back as Agust 1930.

However, as it is now urged that it is not possible for him to attend to this enquiry owing to pressure of other work, I agree to the proposal of the Deputy Commissioner that a whole-time officer may be employed on this work and would suggest that Government may kindly be moved to spare the services of one such. As you are aware, there is no such officer available in the "Inam Department." For the matter of that, no Survey Officer is available either for the work, as all the District Survey officers have their hands full of survey work. Further, a purely departmental officer who has specialised in survey may not be the one to do justice to work of this kind. It is important in my opinion that a capable officer with good Revenue experience should be chosen for the work. I should think that a period of 2 or 3 months would be quite ample to conclude the enquiry and to forward the findings of the Officer to me for further action under the Inam Rules.

"C o p y "

For Muzrai Commissioner.

1079
Mog 288-24-19

4th February 32.

The Superintendent,
Revenue Surveys in Mysore,
BANGALORE.

I am directed to request you to be so good as to furnish from the records of the Inam Superintendent's office full information as to what are all the inam grants to the Sri Biligiri Rangaswami temple on the Hill near Yelandur and to forward copies of the Inam Settlement register if available, relating to such grants.

The matter may kindly be treated as urgent.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Aswatha Rao
for Financial Secretary to
Government.

V.I.

Copy of the above is forwarded
monts to the Murrai Commissioner, with
the correspondence ending with his letter No.
28-29 dated the 4/6th January 1932 with the r
that copies of the Sannads in respect of the inam
granted to this temple, may be obtained from the
records of the institution and forwarded to this
office for reference at an early date.

By order,

For Financial Secretary
Government.

V.L.

F G—1]

71

BJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mez

File No. 946

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No 1079

To

The Supdt, Revenue Surveyor Mysore Bangalore

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 4/2/32

Signed by W. A. Subba Rao

Sir

I am directed to request you to be so good as to furnish, from records of the Inam Superintendent's office full information as to what are the inam grants to the Sri Bilifiri Ranganathaswami temple on the hill near Gulamaiam, on

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Settlement petition
of Encinitas, relating
to ~~Encinitas~~ Joints

The matter may kindly
be treated as urgent.

Thanks &c

For Dl Secy of State.

11/4/32

Memo No 1080 of 4/12/32

Copy of the above is
forwarded with Compli-
ments, to the Imperial Com-
missioners, with reference
to their correspondence ending
with his letter No 774/28-29
dated the 4th/6th January
1932, with the request that
Copies of the Sanads in
respect of the inams granted
to this temple, may be obtained
from the records of the institution
and forwarded to this office
for reference at an early date
if possible

CC for info 21/2/32 Dl Secy to Govt

19. EB. 1932

MUZR

E OF THE SUPERINTENDENT,
YSORE REVENUE SURVEY,

angalore, 17-2-1932.

18 FEB. 32

FROM

S. Hiriannaiya, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
~~DXSRMXWASXXDYEXGAMBXESQXBAX~~
Superintendent, Revenue Survey and Settlements in Mysore.

18/2/32

To

Muz 288-24-20.

Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Financial Secretary to Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. 1079/Muz.288-24-dated 4th February 1932 re: the forwardal of copies of Inam Settlement Registe pertaining to the grant of Inam lands to Sri Biligiri Rangaswami Temple on the hill near Yelandur, I have the honor to state that as operations preliminary to the Inam Settlement by the Inam Department of the whole and minor inams in the Yelandur Jahgir have not yet been concluded, the preparation of the settlement registers has not been taken up, but will be undertaken as soon as the preliminary operations are concluded and the Inam Settlement is effected.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

J P Rajas

BKK.

Superintendent.

Filed One

IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 17th February 18

FROM

S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI, ESQ., B.A., B L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

To Rajasevanaparayana

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

SIR,

With reference to your memorandum No.1[illegible] dated 4th February 1932 acknowledging copy of letter dated February 1932 to the Superintendent, Revenue Survey calling for information regarding the claims of Sri Bilegiri Rangaswamy temple in [illegible] Jaghir and requesting to forward copies of Sanads [illegible] to the said Division, I have the honor to state that a copy of the order dated was available in these offices and that has been sent.

2. As regards the [illegible] of Government Order No.285-35- dated [illegible] for obtaining the Survey Department [illegible] Jaghir villages [illegible] to state [illegible] taken [illegible] 6.1.32 ~~dated 4th January 1932.~~)

I have,

Sir,

Your most obedient,

S. Rajagopalachari

Hsw

Letter from Estate Superintendent Received on 6/1/32

శ్రీరామ

[illegible] -

[illegible] -

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

77

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Misc 3

File No. 288-24

Serial No. 22

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No 1246/Misc 3

To

The M.C.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Sir,

With reference to your letter No

Date of issue 3.3.1932

774/28-29 & 181/2/32 forwarding a copy of the Samuel available in your office relating to Karai Iyan Endowments of Sri Bhaktajana Nanjaswami Temple Yelandur Talqir, I am directed to request you to be so good as to forward for reference copy of your office letter No C774 & 28-29 & 57/2/32 referred to therein as the Samuel does not appear to have been received here —

Name etc.

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 71—CPBM—40,000—29-7 31

G4

243/

2/3

For see file

No. C.774 95 28 29

78

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore,...12th..M..a..r..c..h...1932.

16

FROM

S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
~~SVMYRIANNAKYAY,ESQ,XMAXBLX~~
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Rajasevaparayana
P.Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.
.....F..i..n..a..n..c..i..a..l *Department.*

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.Fl.1246/Muzrai dated 2/3-3-32 requesting to forward a copy of this office letter No.C.774/28-29 dated 5-2-32 re: the Piligiri Rangaswami Temple, Yelandur Jahagir, I have the honor to state that the communication in question relates to 6-1-32 but that the date was inadvertantly entered as 5-2-32 and to request that necessary correction of date may be made in this office communication dated 18-2-32.

14.

corrected accordingly

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Naraneshar

For Muzrai Commissioner.

AM/

G—1]

CT .....

.....

.....

Section Imzpai

File No. 788-24

Serial No. 24

Diary No. of letter replied to ...1061.....

No 1861

To

The Imzpai (Con---6,
Bacca (alone.

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Imzpai)

the *193*

Date of issue 26/4/32

Signed by Mr B. Muddukrishna
appa.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ended with your letter 2nd C 774/28 29 dated the 12th/16th March 1932 about the ~~Bonma~~ Biligiri Claim of the Sri ~~George~~ Rangaswamy ~~Hebbanaswamy~~ temple on the hill near Yelandur. For the Forest, Excise and Certain other revenues from the Yelandur Jaghir's Estate, I am directed

List of enclosures .....

Copied by ... ...

Examined by 26/4.

Send as to Solicitor
for reference to the
Report of ~~the Urban Sub~~
~~Division Officer~~
for Immediate Instructions.
as
~~Letter to the~~ the Land Division
Officer of Navigund ~~some~~ last
~~time ago~~, and of the Dy
Comm^r, Mysore District,
in the matter ~~of the Claim~~ of
~~the Temple to Trust~~
Renewal.

Shimoga DC
21/4/32
H Asg to Secy

C.C. 1/4/32
22/4/32

26th April 19

15 68

Mey 288-24-24.

61

The Amarai Commissioner in Bangalore,

Bangalore.

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.C.774/28-29 dated the 12th/16th March 1932 about the claim of the Sri Biligiri Rangaswamy temple on the hill near Yelandur for the Forest, Excise, and certain other revenues, from the Yelandur Sarkhir estate, I am directed to request you to be so good as to forward for reference the report of Mr. Muddurshaya, Sub-Division Officer of Nanjangud and that of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, in the matter of the claim of the temple to Forest -- Revenues.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Financial Secretary to Government

TD.

Mgs 288-24-25.

No C.774/28-29

Report & Encls 21

REV. SECRETARIAT 1369 20 MAY 1932 FROM MUZRAI.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, 19th May 1932.

S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ., M A , B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

To

Rajasevaparavana
P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore
Financial *Department.*

SIR,

Adverting to G.O. No.785-7/ Miz.288-24-17 dated 4/7-10-29 sanctioning the proposals contained in this office letter No.C.774/28-29 dated 30/31-8-29 re. the management of the affairs of the Sri Pillai Rangaswamy temple in the Melkote Jaghir, I have the honor to forward herewith for information copy of the report submitted by the Senior Auditor together with 21 enclosures.

2. Further action in the matter is held in abeyance pending the receipt of orders of Government on the recommendation contained in this office letter No.C.774/28-29 dated 6-1-32 i.e. deputing an Assistant Commissioner to conduct the Iram enquiry.

Recd 19/5/32

3. On 16-6-31 the Jaghirdar of Melkote applied for certain copies of the correspondence relating to the enquiry held by the Sub Division Officer, Nagamangala, and I had no objection in the matter according to the allowance to the office of the copies of such of the documents as were not available in. Although it is more than six months since copies were given, the Jaghirdar has not sent his reply in spite of a number of reminders.

I have the honor to be,

Your most obedient servant,

Hiriannaiya
Muzrai Commissioner.

NOTE ON THE AFFAIRS OF THE SRI BILIGIRI RANGASWAMI TEMPLE IN THE YELANDUR JAHGIR TALUK.

This is a Muzrai Institution endowed with a ready money allowanc of Rs 2-1-0 per annum settled under Title Deed No.32 dated 1-10-1881, and payable in the Chamarajanagar Taluk where it has some minor Inam lands also.

2. In addition to the above, the temple is endowed with two Sarvamanya villages, viz., (1) Gowdahalli with a beriz of 238½ Kantirayi panams and (2) Soligarahalli and Iddalupalya with a beriz of Rs 86-2-1 Kantirayi panams including ಕಂ ೨೪೬ making up a total beriz of Rs 325-1-0 (vide Sannad). The above villages were granted by the late Dewan Purnaiya in the year Siddharthi (1799-1800) for Paditara and Deeparadhane expenses in the temple as per copy of Sannad enclosed herein. Of the two villages , Gowdahalli is an 'asli' village in respect of which Survey and Settlement have been introduced in the year 1896. But Soligarahalli and Iddalupalya entered in the Sannad are not 'asli' villages. but are hamlets of the main village Betta, treated as Sarvamanya Biligiri[illegible] Betta in the Survey and Settlement of 1896. The Inam Settlement has not been made in respect of these two villages although it was directed in para 11 of G.O. No. 233-35 Camp Sollebile dated 16-2-1896, that a careful Inam enquiry was necessary and the then Jahagirdar and the Survey Superintendent were requested to submit for the orders of Government their respective proposals in the matter. This has not been done till now and so a regular Title Deed has not been issued in favour of the temple in respect of these Sarva Inam villages.

3. According to the Survey and Settlement of 1896, all the village accounts have been prepared for Gowdahalli village and the income is being credited to the temple funds. As regards Biligiri Rangana betta village, the entire area consisting of 22776 acres has been divided into 4 Survey Numbers as detailed below:-

		A-G	
S.No.	1	5-20	coffee.
do.	2	21-36	"
do.	3	13-10	"
do.	4	22735- 6	pasture land (ಕಂದಾಯ ಪ)

The "Phaisal Patrika" and village map have been prepared. The Soligarahalli and Iddalupalya mentioned in the original Sannad of Dewan

64

Purnaiya do not at all find a place in the Survey and Settlement report of 1896 and instead Sarva Inam Biligiri Rangana Hill ~~s~~ bears.

4. In this connection it is necessary to explain in detail the identity of Soligarahalli and Iddalupalya mentioned in the Sannad and the relation they bear to the Sarva Inam Biligiri Rangana Hills. On the Hills there are a number of hamlets inhabited by Soligars which are called "Soligar Phodes". These are not permanent hamlets, but only appear from time to time. Whenever they find a particular spot not suited for cultivation on account of defertilization, or when they apprehend danger from wild elephants, the Soligars select a better and safer spot for occupation. From certain records it is found that about 1858 there were as many as 12 such phodes. These phodes are not surveyed and marked out as separate Survey Numbers. The assessment on these phodes was not originally fixed in cash but in kind, such as ragi, mustard, honey &c., which were being delivered to the temple. In 1844 Mr. Montogomerry, Superintendent of Astagram Division held the Jamabundy of the Hills and fixed the assessment on each phode in cash at 4 and 8 annas each. These rates are still in force, the amount realised therefrom is accordingly being credited to temple funds. There are now about 82 phodes spread all over the Hills ~~spread all over the Hills~~ yielding an annual income of Rs 41-15- to the temple. There is no mention of the Soligarahalli (Soligar Phodes) in the Survey and Settlement Report of 1896. The "Iddalupalya" referred to in the original Sannad is ascertained to have been a Soligar phode which became extinct long ago. In fact there are some spots now pointed out as 'Soligar Phodes' which existed in the past. One of these is "Purani". This has since been taken up for cultivation by the Stanik of the temple. This phode "Purani" is no mentioned in the Sannad of 1799-1800, but from a copy of Salwar Jama bundy statement relating to this phode, prepared in July 1859 and covering the transactions from 1799-1800 to 1859-60 it is seen that the cost of the articles to be delivered to the temple as assessment in kind such as ragi, horse-gram &c., was being credited to the temple funds. This phode is described as belonging to the temple and the first transaction appears from the year 1800. ಸ್ವಂ. If it was not separately shown in the Sannad it was because the name Soligara

Enc no. 3.

Soligarahalli was comprehensive enough to include these individual phodes wherever they were situated on the Biligiri Rangana Hills.

5. The villages mentioned in the Sannad of 1799-1800 were granted as Sarva Inams to the temple in Yelandur Taluk long before the Taluk was given as Jahagir to Mr. Purnaiya in December 1807. The constitution of the Taluk in 1799-1800 according to accounts was as follows:-

(1) According to the statement prepared in the year Siddharthi, a (1799-1800) i.e., the year of grant, (a copy of which is available in the Secretariat, the number of villages comprised in the Taluk was 23 Asli and 25 Dakhale making up a total of 48 villages. But in the Sannad granting Yelandur Taluk as Jahgir in 1807, only 46 villages (25 Asli and 21 Dakhle) are mentioned. The two Sarvamanya villages are excluded since they had been granted previously as Sarvamanya to the temple.

(2) In the consolidated annual Goshwaras prepared, these 48 villages are classified as detailed below:-

	Total Number of villages.	Under the control of the Amildar.	Jodi Villages.	Sarvamanya villages.
Asli	25	19	4	2 Gowdahalli Betta.
Dakhle	23	16	6	1 Soligara-halli.
T o t a l	48	35	10	3

The above classification was kept up till 1890-91 and the statement relating to that year was prepared and submitted to the Jahgirdar on 12-4-1895.

(3) In the Government Order of 1896 sanctioning the introduction of Survey and Settlement the number of alienated 'Asli' villages is entered as 6, which tallies with the above classification, only Jodi Ambale village was treated as Sircari (Jahagir village) and Jodi Yengayanakerepalya, hamlet of Jodi Melhalli was converted into an Asli village.

(4) That Soligarahalli is a hamlet of Asli Biligiri Rangaswami Betta is also proved from the entries made in the Chitta Jamabundi Goshwaras (annual accounts). In the Taluk records Chitta Goshwaras from the year 1841 are available. Some of these are in Mahrati. On examining a few Chittas the following information is available.

Year.	Sarva Inam Villages Asli	Dahkle.	R e m a r k s.
1854-55	1.Cowdahalli 2.Betta in the enjoyment of Sri Biligiri Rangannas	1.Soligara-halli as per previous years.	Beriz from two Asli and one Dahkle villages.325 Varahas and 1 panam.
1871-72	do.	do.	The beriz in Varahas was converted into Rupees and entered as Rs 945-12-0.

1885-86	do.	do.	Beriz Rs 945-12-0 Th Jahagirdar after scru izing this year's accoun has remarked on 10-10- as follows:
1890-91	do.	do.	Beriz Rs 945-12-0

Enc. 4

In this connection it is submitted that the name of the Iddalupal ya does not appear anywhere at all in the accounts and that Gowda halli and Betta together with Dahkle Soligarahalli belonging to t temple has been accepted by the Jahagirdar himself who has scruti -zed the accounts of 1885-86; and that the Beriz of the Sarva Inam villages as entere in the Sannad of 1799-1800 has been carried forward as such in th Chitta Goshwaras only with the difference that instead of Gowda- halli, Soligarahalli and Iddalupalya, Gowdahalli and Betta (Bilig ri Ranganna Hills) are entered as "Asli" Sarva Inam (manya) villages of the temple with Soligarahalli as a hamlet to Betta.

6. In accordance with the above, during the period the Jahgir was under the management of the late Mysore Commission i.e., previous to 1869 (the exact period is not ascertainable) due to maladminis- tration as per the Chief Commissioner's letter No.183 dated 16-4-1840 an extract from which is appended hereto:-

Enc No. 5

"The commission has therefore come to the determination of placing the above taluk under Amani and to nominate an officer of the Sircar to the immediate charge ..... subject to your con trol under the Rules in force for the other Taluk Amildars of you Division" (Vide Flag A of the previous booklet). Sri Biligiri Rangaswami Betta was treated as Sarvamanya to the te ple and its income from all sources including Forest was credited to temple funds. (Vide Amildar's report No.382 dated 7-10-1868 to the Superintendent Astragam Division para 7 infra). In 1864 there was an application for lands for coffee cultivation on the Hills which was rejected by the Astragam Division Superintendent, as on the score that the lands belonged to the Betta Temple the same could not be granted for cultivation. (Vide order No.208 dated 4-8-1864). Extract from the From Register is enclosed. Again 30-11-1868, the Amildar, Yelandur Taluk, reported to the Astragam Division Superintendent that for want of proper watch the temple was losing much of its forest income and that if a temporary estab lishment at a cost of Rs 20/- per mensem was sanctioned out of tem- ple funds it would be possible to collect about 20 to 25 candies sandalwood. In 1869, sanction was accorded to the above, (vide extract from the 'To' Register submitted herewith).

+ Encl No 6

x Encl No 7

Cons 283/Jan 1869

7. In the report dated 9-10-68 the Amildar, Yelandur Taluk, /while seeking instructions on the loss occuring to the temple by the free ~~grant-taking~~ of timber ~~by~~ to the Raiyats from Inam Biligiri Ranganna Hills, stated "that accodding to accounts the income from Chowkani d. to 1858-59 was being credited to Jahagir funds under the head 'Sayer' from 1859-60 (Siddharthi) according to the Division Huzur's Phaisalati accounts the above income and that of Jodi Sarvamanya villages were ordered not to be credited to Jahagir Sircar but to Jodi Sarvamanya and that the Paditara Deeparadhane expenses of the temple were being met out of the income from Sarvamanya villages, the forest revenue, ~~xx~~ and Kappa Kanikes; and that at the end of 1868 there was an accumulated balance of Rs 5480-7-3 at the credit of the temple". That forest revenue was being credited to temple funds was verified with reference to the Jamabundi Goshwaras of the temple from 1858-60 to 69-70 and the extract prepared therefrom is submitted herewith.

8. Final orders on the above report were not passed by the Astragam Division Superintendent, but the reference was transferred to ~~xx~~ Sir P. N. Krishna Murti, ~~who~~ he assumed charge of the Jahagir in July 1869. On 10-12-69 he (Jahagirdar) passed the following orders:-

(a) that as in the original Sannad granting Gowdahalli, Boligarandalli and Todalupalya as Inam to the temple, no mention is made about the forest revenue being conceded to temple, it was incorrect to have credited the same to the temple funds on the authority of Division Huzur Phaisalati.

(b) ~~(~~as the forest belonged to the Jahagir the raiyats were allowed to take timber free~~)~~ and that in future the forest revenue should be credited to the Jahagir funds and that the raiyats should be allowed to take timber free as before as per Forest Rules then in force.

Since ~~xxxxx~~ then the forest revenue is being credited to Jahagir Funds.

9. It is respectfully submitted that the reasons assigned for appropriating the Forest revenue to Jahagir and claiming the forest ~~of~~ Biligiri Ranganna Hills as belonging to Jahagir do not appear to be tenable for the reasons given below:-

(a) In the temple Sannad of Siddharthi (1788-1800) the items of revenue realised then, are only entered and that if forest income was not mentioned in it as stated by the Jahagirdar, it may be, that there was no income from that source at that time.

(b) Had the forest been granted along with the Jahagir it would have been mentioned in the Sannad granting the Jahagir but it was not so done since the Biligiri Ranganna Hills had been endowed as Sarvamanya to the temple 8 years before the grant of the Jahagir to Mr. Purnaiya

in the Chitta Goshwaras of subsequent years prepare in Office and submitted to him annually, and also in the Surv Settlement operations of 1896 where the Hills are entered a Inam Biligiri Rangaswami Hills.

Encl No 10. (d) In the order passed (No.38) by the Jahagirdar on 10-1. it is stated that as the Forest belonged to the Jahagir, the were allowed to take timber free which went to show that the belonged to the Jahagir but from the Amildar's report No.382 9-10-68 it is seen that references were available re: the fr of timber to the raiyats subsequent to the issue of Forest C and that there were no references in the matter previous to t above period. In the absence of any definite information it possible to say whether the raiyats had any free grant of tim the forest as stated by the Jahagirdar. But from the Jamabu Goshwaras of the temple (an extract is submitted herewith) th Forest Revenue from Solagarahalli endowed to the temple as pe nad was being regularly credited according to the Phaisalati Division Huzur to the temple funds from 1868-69 (Siddharthi) 1869-70 and that from 1870-71 onwards the income from this sou was dropped since it was credited to Jahagir funds as per orde the Jahagirdar.

Encl No. 9

10. The above are some of the important points found during an which go to show that the Biligiri Ranganna Hills is an endowm the temple as Sarva Inam and that the income therefrom from all sources belongs to the temple and not to the Jahagirdar. If the above view is correct the temple ought to get the income from it from the year 1870-71.

FOREST INCOME.

11. A statement showing the yearwar income and expenditure unde 'Forest' for 60 years from 1910-11 is prepared and submitted her with for kind perusal. It will be seen from the statement the principal items of income from the forest are:-

Encl No. 11.

(1) Bamboo and Thadike articles.
(2) Fire-wood.
(3) Chowbini (timber).
(4) Minor Forest produces.

The entire income from sandalwood does not appear to have been credited to the head 'Forest' in the Taluk accounts except in th year 1929-30 when a sum of Rs 13905/- is shown as the income from sandalwood. The amount entered as income from this source from 1910-17 to 27-28 in the statement is the cost of sandal chips. was also ascertained that in the year 1928-29 a sum of Rs 22076-12 is found to have been realised but not credited to Taluk accounts On account of working charges of sandalwood expenditure is incurr regularly from 1910-11 and the total amount thus spent during the years aggregates to Rs 4800-4-2. This shows that Sandal collecti was being made year after year. What quantity was collected cou

89

be found out, but from certain stray references the following information re: collection was available.

Year.	Tons.	Mds.	Lbs.	Remarks.
1916-17	30	7[illegible]	54	
1917-18	30	62	--	
1918-19	16	36	[illegible]2	
1919-20	15	48	7	
1922-23	12	--	--	
1924-25	16	20	--	
1926-27	11	7	[illegible]	
1927-28	17	3	[illegible]	
1928-29	11	8	[illegible]	

When and how the above quantity was disposed of and how much was realised therefrom, cannot be made out from the accounts. The total income from Forest during the 20 years from 1910-11 to 1928-30 was Rs 101,108-8-1 and the total expenditure during the same period was Rs 88021-2-11. (Vide statement attached). There has therefore been a net surplus of Rs 10,5107-5-2 and with the addition of Rs 2276-12 realised in 1928-29 and not credited to Taluk accounts the total amount due to the temple will be Rs 12,7[illegible]-1-2.

It is also learnt that a 30,000/- worth of sandalwood was in Seringapatam Koti in the year 191[illegible]-17 but references as to its disposal are not available.

12. The other sources of income are:-

(a) Excise.
(b) Inam lands.
(c) Contribution from devotees.

EXCISE.

The temple is entitled to get the Excise revenue. There are [illegible] toddy shops belonging to the temple but two of them are now [illegible] and the other at a place called Arishini [illegible] Hills.

When the Jahagirdar preferred his claim to the toddy revenue against the Government in the year 1[illegible], it was found that the seven toddy shops situated in alienated villages including the above two are excluded and on the strength of this the Jahagirdar of Kalkere and Kongaiyanakere-Mole got the amount of shop rent due to him which has been received by the Jahagirdar himself. (Vide [illegible] 111-27-3 dated 28-[illegible]-1928).

That the temple is entitled to the Excise Revenue is no a precedent. On a reference to the old Jamabundy Goshwaras o temple, it is found that the Excise Revenue of Gowdahalli from to 72-73 and 1880-81 and onwards has been credited to temple fu (Vide statement submitted herewith).

Enc: No. 9

According to the Excise schedules of Yelandur Taluk for th 1925-26 (the schedules of previous years are not available) the shops at Gowdahalli and Krishnaiyana Katte were sold along with Jahagir shops and the rental realised therefrom was collected by Excise Department and refunded to the Jahagirdar. A statement the amount realised from these shops from 1906-07, prepared on t formation available in the Taluk Office is submitted herewith fo formation.

Enc. No. 12.

hat amount has hitherto been refunded to the Jahagirdar on t account and how much of it belongs to Gowdahalli and Krishnaiyan will have to be ascertained from the Excise Department and claimd behalf of the temple.

INCOME AND EXPENDITURE OF THE TEMPLES.

INCOME DETAILS.

16. The temple has other sources of income such as the Land Reve Gowdahalli village, which has been surveyed and settled and the i from other minor inams. The income from Gowdahalli is the larg amounts to about Rs 1600/- and odd per annum and the income from o minor inams averages at about Rs 350/- per annum. These are col and credited to temple funds and utilised for meeting the Paditar Deeparadhane expenses of the temple.

CONTRIBUTION FROM DEVOTEES.

The other items of income are from Sevartha fees and Miras The Sevartha fees alone come to Rs 3400/- and odd on an average p num and the entire amount of Miras collected is paid to the templ servants. The remaining sources of income are insignificant. average income per annum from all sources amounts to Rs 8,200/-.

PERIOD EXPENDITURE.

The principal items of expenditure met out of the temple inco are:-

i. Paditara Deeparadhane charges. iii. Rotige to Villag
ii. Pay of temple servants. iv. Transport charges of supplied to the tem

The average expenditure comes to R 8200/- and odd. From this it will be seen that the temple is self-supporting. A statement showing the income and expenditure from 1910-11 to 1929-30 under different heads is prepared and submitted for kind perusal.

The sum of R 2248-3-3 being the tank contribution from the ryots of Gowdahalli shown under income will have to be refunded. On the expenditure side it will be seen that R 972-0-1 has been spent for car repairs and that the amount spent on temple repairs during the 20 years in question is only R 1157-9-6 -- a sum not enough for effecting necessary repairs to the temple situated on the Hills. The sum of R 1706-10-11 shown as Law charges is incurred in connection with law suit launched against the Inamdars of Kadaravadi and Dommanahalli.

Up to September 1910, there is no separate Huzrat fund account. Income from Sarvemanya Gowdahalli, Solagahalli, Kapte Kanive etc., was being credited to Jahagir funds on cheques issued by the Jahagirdar. Simultaneously a separate Imprest account was being maintained to watch adjustment of advances made to the temple. From September 1910 a separate Huzrai Fund account was opened with the opening balance of R 1345-2-6. The total income and expenditure from all sources during the 20 years commencing from 1910-11 were Rs. 64426-9-11 & Rs. 64-1-10 respectively. The closing balance on 30-9-30 is R 1357-9-8 but the balance as per Treasury account was R 1381-7-5 and the difference of R 26-13-8 between the two could not be found out readily.

LOAN ACCOUNT OF KADARAVADI AND DAMANAHALLI.

Out of the accumulated surplus of the institution a sum of R 14,000 had been lent to the Inamdars of Kadaravadi and Dommanhalli in 1874-75 and that in 1880 a registered document was obtained from the Inamdars for R 14,000/-. Owing to default in payment of the loan amount a Civil Suit was filed by the Jahagirdar as a trustee of the temple on 25-11-1912 a decree was obtained for R 22,700/- as detailed below:

Principal	R 14,000- 0-0
Interest	" 7,700- -9
Court costs	" 7 9-12-3
~~TOTAL.~~ TOTAL.	R 22,700- 0-0

The recoveries made towards the decretal amount, found to be 7, up to February 1920 and this amount, instead of being credited to

funds has been spent away directly for the following

tute:-

1. Rs 2000- 0-0 for purchase of lands in Yelandur and Yeriyur vi for the temple.

2. " 2902- 3-3 for construction of the big car.

3. " 5097-12-9 credited to temple funds from time to time for Padi Deeparadhane expenses, whenever the necessity wa

4. " 6000- 0-0 for purchase of Jodi Hellahalli village for the tem The sale was not confirmed. The purchase money h not been refunded to the temple. It is now in the Revenue Deposit Account.

Rs 19000- 0-0

Item No.4 made up of Rs 1500/- and Rs 4500/- has been in Revenue Depo since January 1926. If this amount had been deposited in interest bearing securities the temple would have earned about Rs 150/- at 5 Even now it would be better if the amount is withdrawn and deposited in interest bearing securities or utilised for carrying on the urgen repairs to the institution. A statement showing the recoveries mad towards the loan account is prepared and submitted herewith.

TRANSACTIONS between the Chatram and the temple.

On the Hills, there is a Chatram maintained by the Jahagirdar of his funds. Whenever the Chatram fell short of provisions or cas it borrowed on the temple and similarly the temple has borrowed provs or cash from the Chatram. Separate annual accounts for both the te ple and the Jahagirdar's ~~xxxxx~~ Chatram were being compiled. On ex mining the annual accounts of the Chatram it is found that at the close of June 1926 a sum of Rs 4,068-6-10 was due ~~to~~ from the temple to the Chatram (vide statement enclosed) which has been carried forward to the end of 1927-28 and from the year 1928-29 it has been dropped off.

Similarly, in the annual accounts of the temple a sum of Rs 2680- is found to have been due from the Chatram to the temple (vide state ment enclosed) at the end of 192 -27. After adjusting the amount f rom the temple to the chatram (Rs 5638-5-4 -- Rs 2689-6-10) a sum of Rs 2948-14-6 is due from the chatram to the temple which has to be re covered from the Jahagirdar and adjusted to the temple funds. This is also proved from the Amildar's report No.722 dated 28-1-13 paras 12 and 14 (vide extract put up).

93

Again from the temple Saljada accounts of 1909-10 it is found that a sum of Rs 5066-4-9 (vide statement submitted herewith) is also shown as due to the temple by the Jahagirdar. With the exception of the few items marked in this statement which were added on subsequent to 1887-88, the remaining items amounting to Rs 4247-12-0 appear in the annual accounts of 1887-89. As the annual accounts from 1910-11 to 1914-15 were stated not to have been prepared at all it was not possible to trace it further. However, this is substantiated in the Yelandur Taluk Amildar's report No.722 dated 28-1-16 referred to in the above para wherein it is stated that if the above amount and other amounts are credited to temple funds it would be possible to retain the establishment &c., Final orders on this subject were not passed by the Jahagirdar but the correspondence returned to the Amildar calling for further information has been filed in the Taluk Office on 24-2-16 with the remark that (ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು) a separate report would be submitted file for the present.)

Exc. 18.

HUDUVAT ENDOWMENTS.

The temple has got certain Huduvat endowments. Some of these are invested in Sri Chamarajeswara Bank at Chamaraj nagar, some in State Loan Bonds in the name of the Jahagirdar, and some lent to private persons &c. A statement showing the details is appended hereto for information.

Exc. No. 19.

MANAGEMENT.

The management of the temple according to the Sannad of Dewan Purnaiya granting the two villages as Sarva Inam to the temple vested in the temple Garupathegar Shamaiya, from the Amildar of Mel nour Taluk directed to make over the said villages with instructions to recover amounts due and to conduct the necessary services.

JAHAGIRDAR ONLY A MANAGER OR TRUSTEE OF THE TEMPLE.

When the management of the taluk changed, on its being granted a Jahagir in 1807, the same Taluk Amildar continued to have the control over the affairs of the temple and during the Mysore Commission when the Jahagir was under their management the temple affairs were being administered by the Superintendent Astagram Division. The Taluk was made over to the Jahagirdar Sir P. N. Krishnamurti as per Astagram Division Superintendent's order No.110 of 23.6.69, on 5.7.69 (illegible) in his order No.121 to the Amildar, Yelandur Taluk, instructed as follows:-

"That as in accordance with the Commissioner's lett Order No.110, has been issued to place the Taluk in charg o Jahagirdar, Krishnamurti until further orders, Rosewar chit as other accounts should be submitted to him and as regards temple fairs his orders should be obtained as was bein him (Astagram Superintendent)". (ide extract from the From' gister enclosed).

Some time back, while filing a suit for the recovery of arrea due to the temple from the Inamdars of Tadagevadi and Dammanahalli the Jahagirdar has stated in the plaint that he is a Manager. (Vid C.C. No./1811-12.)

SITUATION OF THE TEMPLE.

The temple is situated between the Mysore State and the Coimba District on one of the peaks of the Sri Biligiri Rangaswami range o Hills at a distance of 10 miles from Yelandur. There are two approaches -- one from Honganur (Chamarajanagar Taluk) side and the othe from Yelandur. From Yelandur to Krishnajonahatti at the foot of the Hills there is a cart-track, very much in disrepair. From here, th present commences. It is very steep and on the way there are crude stone steps here and there. It was stated that there existed an old cart-track from Krishnajonahatti right up to the Chattram and that this track being in a state of utter disrepair is not fit for cart traffic and that the same could be restored and rendered fit for traffi at a cost of about 2 or 3 thousand rupees. This point is worthy of investigation as by making the road fit for traffic not only a market for the rich and valuable timber and other Forest produce would be created, but would afford greater facilities to the pilgrims to go to the temple which would be a long way in enhancing the present income. It is at present very difficult (except for strong adults) to walk up to the temple by this route.

CONDITION OF THE TEMPLE BUILDING, ETC.

The temple is built of stone and consists of two blocks, one adjoining the other. There is not much architectural beauty about it. In the northern portion of the building Sri Swami is installed and to the right of it is the Sri Ammanavaru temple with a spacious hall in the front. A portion of the hall has since been converted into a Store Room, since the old stone Yagasala and stores are in a state of dilapidation. The Swami Sannidhi temple is dark and dingy; the floo ing is burrowed by bandicoots. This portion requires to be repaired

thoroughly ~~the Ammanavaru temple~~

The Ammanavaru temple standing out separately seems to be a later structure, subsequently joined to the main temple. The entire building is leaky. There is an old compound wall around the temple. Within this enclosure are situated the kitchen and the Nagasala and stores. The stone roofing of the kitchen is in a dilapidated condition, the flooring bad and the whole ~~xxxxx~~ building is a source of danger to the occupants. Just opposite to the kitchen in the northern corner there exists a stone Mantap about which ~~xxx~~ was pointed out ~~xxxx~~ as the Nagasala and stores. The condition of this building is equally bad. During the period the temple has been in the management of the Jahagir, no attention has been paid to improve the building. From the statement of income and expenditure ~~xxx~~ referred to in para 15 above, for the 20 years commencing from 1910-11 the amounts spent on repairs as per accounts is Rs 1157-0-0, a sum quite insufficient even for the annual maintenance of a fairly big temple situated on the Hills and exposed to much wind and rain. The question of urgent repairs requires early investigation.

SANITARY ARRANGEMENTS.

The surroundings of the temple are not clean. The dwelling houses of the temple servants are built outside the 'Prakara' close to the temple. Their cattle are picketted here. As arrangements are not made for daily scavenging, the place is insanitary. It is to be very necessary to appoint one or two scavengers.

WAY TO TEMPLE.

The only way both for man and cattle from the chatram to the temple is by a flight of stone steps which is steep and slippery, consequently the ascent and descent is difficult and dangerous.

CAR FESTIVAL AND ACCOMODATION FOR PILGRIMS.

Two car festivals are held, one in Chaitra (April) and other between March and April. Thousands of people are stated to congregate on these occasions. There is no proper accomodation the pilgrims. Except the Jahagirdar's chavadi which is reported be reserved for the occasion and is not easily accessible to pilgrims and the few 'Makaari' stone sheds as to which the pilgrims have no access there is no other shelter. It is stated that should rain during the car festival as it generally does, one

children are put to much inconvenience for want of shelter Th
a very popular institution in this part of the country and attra
thousands of pilgrims. Creation of facilities for the pilgrims
one of the most important items of work and if this is done the te
will attract many more pilgrims every year and there will be every
chance of increasing the income of the temple.

CARS AND CAR SHEDS.

The temple owns two cars, one big and the other small. Both
are left in the open and are exposed to sun and rain. In course c
they may deteriorate. Separate car sheds are very necessary. Th
smaller car called the Shankaranti car is in need of urgent repairs

WATER FACILITIES.

Next in order of urgency is the cration of drinking water facil
There is only one pond ( ) at a distance of about half a mi
from the temple and about two furlongs from the chattram. (Recentl
old pond, midway, has been repaired, but it is not useful for drinkin
purposes.) As the pond is about 500 feet lower than the temple it
very difficult to carry water from there. If some arrangement is ma
to have either a reservoir somewhere near the Chattram or to lay out
pipe-line from Somarasankera it will be a boon to the pilgrims assembl
for the Jatra and to the residents on the Hills.

I M P R O V E M E N T S.

The ~~following~~ improvements enumerated ~~above~~ below could be effected if
moneys due to the temple from different sources dealt with in the repo
are made available.

1. Repairing the temple.
2. do. kitchen.
3. do. Yagasala and stores.
4. Improving the conservancy.
5. Providing accomodation to pilgrims.
6. Renovation of the Shankranti Car.
7. Construction of car sheds.
8. Creating water facilities.
9. Improving the cart tracks.

It was stated that an estimate was sanctioned for closing the lea
in Kalyani, but the work was not taken up for want of funds.

SCALE OF EXPENDITURE.

The scale of expenditure was fixed in March 1880 by the ~~ten~~ Amild
Mr. Thimmappaiya. It was for Rs 925-0-3. The expenditure under Nitya
katle and Hetchukatle has since gone up considerably due to rise in the

of food grains. In some years moneys have been appropriated from the loan recoveries of Tadagavadi. The existing scale is being revised.

TEMPLE ESTABLISHMENT.

The temple establishment sonsists of about 50 appointments. The pay fixed in the scale is very insignificant but it is supplemented by rice doles and 'Miras'. In some cases one man holds more than one appointment. The combined income from all sources is hardly enough for maintenance of the temple servants except in the case of archaks. ~~A statement showing the average income of each temple servant per month is submitted herewith.~~

The issue of rice doles on the Hills is a necessity, since there are no shops close by wherefrom the temple servants could buy and this has been a strong inducement for these people to live on the Hills and, but for it, it would be difficult to find men to serve in the temple.

JEWELS AND OTHER ARTICLES.

It was stated that the temple owns costly jewels set with precious stones besides gold and silver ones. Some of these are lodged in the temple Boksa in charge of the Stanik and the remaining in the Jahagir Taluk Treasury. A list is maintained, but the weight and value of each jewel are not entered in it. The Assistant Commissioner in charge of the Jahagir has inspected the jewels in April 1930. The preparation of a correct valuation list is very necessary.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT OF AMOUNTS DUE TO THE TEMPLE.

A consolidated statement showing the amounts due to the temple from the M Jahagircar and that due from the temple is prepared and submitted herewith for kind information. In addition to the amounts shown as due to the temple there are certain other items such as Gowdahalli Pandaya dues, earnest money for construction of stone mantaps, cost of stolen articles, &c., as per statement submitted herewith which have been held in Revenue deposit for some time. The sums been credited to the temple funds from they could be drawn whenever required, the temple would be saved the necessity even though temporarily and the necessity to borrow would have been averted. The total outstanding earnest money for construction of mantaps received from time to time and held in deposit is Rs. 1303/-

Sri ~~xxxx~~ Chamarajeswara Bank, they would be earning interest

G E N E R A L.

Sri Biligiri Rangaswami temple is a rich institution with an average income of about Rs 8,200/- per annum. (Excluding the income from Forest and Excise.) The temple is held in great sanctity. a few subordinate temples situated on the Hills. The entire income spent away without either reserving anything for building up a reserve for repairs which is very necessary in the case of an institution situated in the middle of a thick Forest, or systematically investing a portion of the income so as to earn interest to supplement the income. If the income from sandalwood and other Forest produce and Excise, the temple is entitled to get is recovered and credited to its fund would be a rich major institution. Beyond exploiting sandalwood purposes of revenue no earnest attempt has been made to raise fresh growth of sandal. In the accounts of 1923-24 only once a sum of Rs 145-8-0 is charged on account of sandal dibbling. Creation of additional facilities such as repairing the abandoned road, construction of Rest Houses, and improving Water Supply, would make the place more popular and thus improve its finances considerably. The question of carrying on urgent repairs deserves early attention. During the survey an extent of 40 acres has been classed as Coffee lands. At present there is a small coffee plantation and a fruit garden on the Hills. The income therefrom is about Rs 50/- per annum on an average, and it at present credited to 'Forest.' If the estate is properly looked after the income will improve.

Respectfully submitted for kind perusal.

Sd/- T. SUBBA RAU,
Senior Auditor,
Office of the Muzrai Commissioner i
Mysore, Bangalore.
1 32

A. A. M.
23-1-32.

Muz 288- 24 -26.

No. C.774/28-29 Five

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore,.....25th May.....193 2.

SECRETARIAT
-05-
MAY 1932
MUZRAI.

FROM

S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ,. M.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Rajaseva Paravara
T. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.
Financial *Department.*

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.1566/Muz.288-24 dated 20-4-32 requesting to forward for reference the report of Mr. Muddukrishna as Sub Division Officer of Nanjangud and that of the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District, in the matter of the claim of Sri Pillagiriranganswamy temple to the Forest Revenue on the hills, I have the honor to forward herewith copies of the same as noted in the margin.*

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Navaneeth
For Muzrai Commissioner.

*
1. Copy of Mysore District Deputy Commissioner's letter No.T.701/28 dated 1-9-30.
2. do Nanjangud Sub Division Officer's letter No.H2.Muz.466/28 dated 3-5-30 with two enclosures.



Letter No.A2 Muz.466/29 dated 2/5-8-30 from the Sub Division Officer, Gudigud, to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

Subject:- Sri Biligirirangaswami temple at Yelandur.

With reference to your office No.M.701/28 dated the July 1930 directing me to complete the enquiry connected with the Inam land endowed to Sri Biligirirangaswami temple etc., and submit my final report before the end of July 1930, I have the honor to state as follows.-

The points for investigation are.-

1. Enquiry relating to the inam lands endowed to the temple the main point on which a finding is required being whether the Tangair of the temple is entitled to the benefit of the forest on the Biligiri Rangana Hills.

2. Whether any contribution is to be paid by the temple towards the repairs effected to the Gowdahalli tank and

3. Conducting the audit of the temple accounts.

As regards point No.1, I beg to invite your kind reference to my reports No.A2 Muz 466/29 dated the 18th July and 22nd July 1930 in which I have stated that some ~~data~~ data has been collected and that it will be necessary to look into more records and examine the boundary marks at Yelandur and also to search if there are any papers bearing on the subject in the Central records at Bangalore. I could not go to Yelandur on the 27th July as had been arranged by me since I had to stop at Head quarters and meet Mr. P.N.Narasimha Aivangar in connection with the adjustment of flood regulation accounts as directed by you. I shall visit Yelandur this month after finishing my work there I will have to proceed to Bangalore on receipt of the Government sanction to examine collect the materials that may be available in the Central records. I will be able to submit my final report on this point soon after my return from Bangalore. I submit an index for ready information a resume of details of information collected up to now.

The next point for the investigation is the apportionment of the cost of repairing the Gowdahalli tank between the temple and the Tangairdar. It is

Tank work	R 9286-12- 6	) It is reported that the tank was repaired
Swetadri Ane	" 6516- 5- 9	) during the year 1918-20 and the marginally
Supervision etc. and		) noted items of works were carried
Miscellaneous charges	" 421- 9- 7	) out at a total cost of R 16224-11-10.
	R16224-11-10	)

The tank had originally an extent of 76 acres 30 guntas assessed at R 270/- belonging to the temple and after the repairs it was expected to irrigate

extent of 378 acres 24 guntas of land as noted below

	Extent.	Assessment
Gowdanalli	207- 9	R. 271/-
Vadagere	170-35	" 226/-

It was also expected that a contribution of Rs.11358/- would be real from the ryots, P 6231-12-0 from Gowdahalli and P 5126-4-0 from Vadagere Vadagere ryots did not pay anything while the Gowdahalli villagers have Rs.1536-14-3 till now.

The expectation that the tank would irrigate an additional extent as above has not been realised. The additional extent brought under irriga after the restoration and that ~~after~~ by water flowing from the tail-end land only about 10 acres. The capacity of the tank has not been increased at Only the course of a halla which was running in another direction was div towards the tank by the construction of the ~~Suvadri~~ Sulakh anicut to serve as a and it was calculated that by this means the tank would always be kept ~~pu~~ halla bringing in a perennial supply of water to the tank.. As a matter there is no perennial supply of water in the halla and the ryots complain the ~~division~~ division of the halla has only helped to accentuate the silting up of tank as it brings down with its current an enormous quantity of sand and further with the construction of the said anicut the bund of the Gowdahall should have been considerably raised and strengthened so as to convert it big reservoir capable of irrigating the vast extent as noted above. As t not been done the Gowdahalli tank bund is always in danger of being washed when rains set in and heavy torrents of water get into the tank. Again t original capacity of the tank was about 60 units and now it is stated to b about 45 units only, the tank bed being silted up.

You were also kind enough to inspect the tank, the feeder and the Head on the 16th July. The full length of the ~~level~~ bund was examined. It does n certain any stone revetment except for a few yards in the middle, all the re being merely a heap of earth. It is thus clear that the project has, while has cost such a large sum of R 14000/- nearly, not been beneficial even in least degree to the temple Inam Gowdahalli village. On the other hand it has been injurious to the interests of the Gowdahalli ryots in as much as t Kaval bund has been left open to perpetual danger of being washed of tank itself is getting silted up. In these circumstances I do not think t is any justification for contributing any portion of the cost from the temp funds.

As regards the third and the last point i.e., auditing the accounts of

I have already applied ~~for the~~ for the services of an auditor. We work will be commenced and report submitted as soon as the Auditor is deputed for the purpose.

"COPY"

For Mukhtiar Commissioner.

showing the several documents proving that the forest of the Pilla mv Hills belongs to the temple on the Hills.

---

1. Chitta Jamabandy Goshwar of the Yelandur Jahagir for the year 1855-56 signed by Mr. Jaya Narasinga Rau, Jahagirdar of Yelandur.

Page 1 the total number of villages is shown as 35 of which 19 as belonging to Amal Department, 4 being Joda villages and 2 being Sarvamanya villages the last two being Sarvamanya to Pillgirirangaswami Hills.

Page 33 gives details of inams.

Under Devadaya Inam Cowdahalli and Hills are mentioned. Page 2 gives details of tanks in several villages belonging to the Jahagir, Jodidars in Sarvamanya villages. Against Sarvamanya village, two tanks are shown one in Cowdahalli and the other i.e., Someswarakere on the Hills.

2. Jamabandi estimate for the year 1853-54.

This statement shows the income of the Jahagir from the several villages included in the Jahagir. The Sarvamanya villages i.e. Cowdahalli and Pillgiri Rangan Hills are excluded from the statement nor is any forest income from the Hills incorporated under the column 'Sayar Pancha Bab' (ಸಾಯರ ಪಂಚ ಬಾಬ್)

3. Detailed statement of income and expenditure of Pillaallu Petta (Pillgiri Rangan Hills) for 1861-62.

Under Jasdik two villages i.e. Cowdahalli and the Hills are shown. The income from the Hill village including tobacco is given as 61 1/6 varahas.

4. Statement of income and expenditure of the temple for the year 1849-50.

The income from Colligadahalli (a portion of the Hills) is mentioned as follows:-

(1) Duty on 304 maunds of coffee grown on the Hills and exported to Bangalore at P 0-4-0 per maund for the year Sowmya 14½ varahas or P 51/-.

(2) Duty on tobacco due for the year Krodhi varahas 8 and odd.

(3) Duty on tobacco for the year Sowmya varahas 1/2

(4) Besides the above income from Bengalu and honey and soapnut produce of Yduahu palya village (another part of the hills) is also included.

5. Statement of income and expenditure of the temple for the year 1880-81.

Duty on 326½ maunds of coffee grown on the Hills and exported to Bangalore in the year Sadaharana P 56-3-0

Income from the sale of Tapali bark from Contractor Tangadiah of Channarayapatna Taluk P 30/-

Duty on tobacco varaha P 1½

The following item is found among income . Page 17 - Deposit from ontractor towards the collection of Papalli bark in the forest belong temple varah s 12½.

7. Statement of income and expenditure of the temple for the year 1856

On page 3 mention is made of a receipt of 12½ varahas being the amoun paid by the contractor, for pappali bark.

8. State of income and expenditure of the temple for the year 1864-65.

Among others the following items of income are derived from Soligarana

Honey and beeswax	P 14- 4-0
Duty on coffee seeds	" 19-12-11
Duty on timber excluding portion due to Government.	I 889- 4- 5 .

9. Statement of income and expenditure of the temple for the year 1867-68

Page 20 - Among other items of expenditure a sum of I 6-4-0 has been c towards wages paid for the carriage from the Hills of the timber which was in public auction during the previous year.

10. Statement of income and expenditure of the temple for the year 1868-69.

Page 19 - As per orders of the Divisional Assistant an establishment cor ing of a Mukaddi two peons ø is paid to have been sanctioned at a total cos P 240/- per annum for looking after the forests.

Page 23 - The pay of the Forest Staff has been disbursed. P 20/-

11. Statement of income and expenditure for 1870-71.

Page 18 - Advance paid through Jungle ~~unit~~ Mukt saddi Narayana Rau for prepa sandal P 10/-

---

"COPY'

Narasimha

For Mysore Commissioner.

JM

107

tract from Office Note and orders of the Assistant Superintendent ain J. S. Carnall) Ashtragam Division on the Vellardur's report Amildar' t dated 26-11-1868.

Office Note:- The Amildar brings to notice the great difficulty experier in collecting Pandayam from the Soligars who cultivate portion of land in the five villages on the top of the Pillaiyarnatham/Hills belonging to the temple Sarvamanya.

These Soligars live at great distance from each other from three years back, there was a Police peon that was entrusted with the collection. He died and nobody came forward to fill his place which was worth below two rupees a month.

The Amildar also brings to notice that there are no sufficient men to guard the temple which contains valuable jewels and plenty of vessels. To meet all this difficulty he requests that five peons may be appointed on a salary of rupees four a month. The money is to be met from the surplus fund of the temple as the Sarvamanya belongs to it. The Tahsildar of Vellardur has nothing to do with it.

Of the five peons, the Amildar proposes that three should be temple guards and two entrusted with the work of collection.

O r d e r:- Three would probably be sufficient.

26th December '68.

Sd/- P.Muddukrishnappa,
Sub Division Officer,
Vellardur.

"COPY"

Varanelli

For Inam Commissioner.

qn

From the office note and orders of the Assistant Superintendent S. Carnal), Astegram Division, on Velandur Kamakir Amildar's m- ed 30-11-1868.

OFFICE NOTE.-- The Amildar recommends an establishment of P 25/- as detailed below for the conservancy of the forest on the Piligirirangan Hills. The amount is to be met from the temple fund which is able to bear it. The jungle contains valuable timber such as sandal etc., at present P 60/- and P 70/- ~~xx~~ are collected from the sale of wood per mensem. The Amildar states that if good supervision be exercised a very large sum might be collected from this source. The vastness of the jungle and its inaccessible condition require no doubt a good establishment. The temple servants are not able to do the temple duties as well as to look after the trees etc. The jungle is a Sarvamanya to the temple. The establishment is worth sanctioning.

ORDERS:- Sanctioned. The Amildar should report what increase has been effected after 3 months.

"COPY"

Kavaneeka

For Mysore Commissioner.

mj

1.09

Section Immigration
File No. 288-21
Serial No. 27

Diary No. of letter replied to 11405

No. 1997/Imm

To The Immigration Commr.
Bangalore

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Immigration)
the *193*

Date of issue 31-5-32

Signed by [illegible]

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No C 774/28-29 dated the 25th May 1932 forwarding copies of certain reports of the Sub Divisional Magistrate and of the Dy Commr Mysore District, in the matter of the claim of the Sri Bala [illegible]

List of enclosures ..

Copied by ..

Examined by ..

WD 71– GBPM– 10,000—20-7-31

I am directed to state
that the specimens now
sent by you are the only
specimens of the Subfamily
the Dytiscinæ, suppose to
and to request, that the
final determination of the said species
the subject which are
that are required for
reference
& that these may be
sent as early as
date

I have &c

E. J. Walker

for Sec.

30/5/32

Encl.

Encl. not in the file

111

677 dt 31-10-32

To Muz 288-24-29

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter No.774/28-29 dated 10th August 1932 in regard to the claim of the Sri Biligirirangaswami temple to the ~~Forest~~ Revenue from the forest on the hills, I am directed to state that ~~after a careful perusal of the previous records in the matter,~~ this revenue from the Government are of opinion that the ~~forest~~ on the hills ~~belongs to the temple, and that the income therefrom~~ should first be set apart for expenditure necessary for the proper performance of pooja in [illegible] and maintenance of the temple. ~~and the balance after meeting this demand may be allowed to be appropriated by the Religious.~~

Under the circumstances, I am to request that you ~~may~~ to [illegible] ~~arrange to definitely~~ ascertain and report, early, what revenue is derived from the [illegible]

P.T.O.

x not in the file
RS
2/10/37

forest and what expenditure it is necessary to provide for on account of establishment and sevas (Hetchukatle and Nityakatle) for the proper Mac in the institution, and for repairs and improvements to the temple and the buildings attached to it and the roads and steps leading to the temple. Provision has also to be made for any additional structures &c, that may be necessary and for the road up to the hill and steps leading to the temple being formed and kept in good repair.

As in the case of all Muzrai Institutions, provision for a proper reserve and for the annual Contribution to the Muz Staff should be made. have also to be The expenditure on the Care and Conservation of the revenue yielding forests and in the Forest also to be provided for. looked after provision may kindly be made for its proper care and maintenance, also.

I am further to request to be favoured with your opinion as to whether, in view of the fact that the Jaghirdar of Yelandur has been in the enjoyment of the forest revenue since 1870, he may not as a measure of relief, be given the balance or portion thereof, if any, of the forest revenue, that might remain after meeting all the temple

#13

expenditure as detailed above.

The submission of a full and detailed report in the matter, at a very early date, is requested.

I have etc.,

W 27/10

Financial Secretary to Govt.

W 28/10/32

27/10/32

7

288-24.

31st Octor. xxx1932

The

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter No.774/28-29 dated 19th August 1932 in regard to the claim of the Sri Biligirirangaswami temple to the revenue from the ~~funds of~~ forest on the hills, I am directed to state that Government are of opinion that this revenue should first be set apart for expenditure necessary for the proper performance of pooja in and maintenance of the temple.

Under the circumstances I am to request you to ascertain and report early, what revenue is derived from the said forest and what expenditure it is necessary to provide for on account of establishment and sevas (Netchukatle and Nityakatle), for the proper maintenance of the institution and for repairs and improvements to the temple, the buildings attached to it and the roads and steps leading to the temple. As in the case of all Muzrai Institutions provisions for a proper reserve and for the annual contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund have also to be made. The expenditure on the care and conservation of the revenue yielding growth in the Forest has also to be provided for.

115

The submission of a full and detailed report in the matter at a very early date, is requested.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Financial Secretary to Government.

B.K.M.2

GEN. SEC. V. SECRETA... 555 16 NOV 1932 MUZ...

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

No. C. 774/28-29

Dated, Bangalore, 2[illegible] November 193 2

FROM

S. Hiriannaiya, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
~~The~~ *Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,*
Bangalore.

15 NOV ...

To

S. Bhavanna, Esq., B.A., Mg 288-24-30 15/11
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.677 Muz.288-4 dated 31st October 1932 in the matter of getting report the income from the forest revenue of the hills for the performance of pooja and maintenance of the temple of Sri Biligiri Rangaswami, I have the honour to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore has been asked to furnish the particulars early.

As regards the income enquiry in respect of inam lands of the ---- Melandur Jagir, I have the honour to invite reference to this office letter No.C.774/28-29 dated 6th January 1932 according sanction to the ---- Assistant Commissioner, Hadya being deputed on special duty for the ---- period of 3 months with a view to establishment for this investigation, to request that early orders may kindly be obtained in the matter.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your obedient servant,

Hiriannaiya

Muzrai Commissioner.

13]

No. C 774/28-29
Encl one file.
and two copies

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
1 AUG 1933

467

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore,....23rd July..... 193 3.
3154.

FROM

S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ,. M.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

3117

TO

Mz 288-24-31

A....N....Raghavachar,...Esq.,...M.A.,
Offg.*Secretary to the Government of His Highness*
the Maharaja of Mysore.

F...i...n...a...n...c...i...a l .....*Department*

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.677/Muz.288-24 dated 31st October 1932 calling for the submission of a full and detailed report regarding the revenue from the Forest on the Piligiri Rangan Hills etc., I have the honor to submit herewith copies of reports

Deputy Commissioner's letter No.H.701-28 dated 9-6-33)(vide Mar-
Sub Division Officer's report " A2.Muz.147-32 D/19-5-33)
gin) rec

ed from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, and the Sub Di sion Officer, Nanjangud, in the matter.

2. In para 9 of the Deputy Commissioner's letter dated 9-6-33 it is stated that the question of appointing Dharmadarsis to the templ may be taken up after the temple is taken under Government mana ment and the scale sanctioned. There is no question of either divesting the Jahgirdar of the management of the temple or taking under Government management since it has been decided by Governmen (vide Financial Secretary's letter No.1318/Muz.288-14-11 dated 15t January 1929) that the Jahgirdar should continue the management under certain conditions.

3. The Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud, has estimated the incom from the Piligiri Rangan Forest at R. 5000/- per annum for the present and proposed an expenditure of R 1836/- per annum (now in

1. Ranger	45 plus 15 x 12	R 720/-	curred by the Jahgirdar
2. One Guard	8 x 21/2	" 96/-	
3. Watchmen 12	12 x 7 x 12	" 1008/-	towards the working of
4. Contingencies		" 12/-	
		R 1836/-	the forest.

4. Regarding the information called for about the amount required for penditure on account of establishment, sevas etc., the Sub Division Offi had furnished the following details:-

1.	Establishment including Police Guards	311-8-0 x 12	R. 3738- 0
2.	Hetchukatle including cooly charges		" 672- 0-
3.	Nityakatle		" 924- 0-(
	Cooly for carrying rice and other articles to the Hills		" 300- 0-
4.	Repairs and improvements		" 1500- 0-
5.	Contribution to Muzrai Establishment Fund @ 5%		" 500- 0-0
6.	Assessment and cesses on lands		" 365- 8-0
7.	Potgi to Gowdahalli Village Officers		" 115-12-0
8.	Miras to temple servants and cost of provisions to private sevas		" 800- 0-0
9.	Contingencies and other unforseen expenditure such as purchase of fuel, pots and dress cost of police men etc.		" 493- 2-0
10.	Forest Establishment		" 1836- 0-0
11.	R e s e r v e		" 500- 0-0
		TOTAL.	R. 11744- 6-0

The income of the institution under several sources such as Land, Forest Sevartha fees, Excise etc., is estimated at R 11744-6-0 per annum. This includes R 1200/- equivalent to 30 Varahas being the monthly cash grant from the Jahagir as noted in the despatch from the Governor-in-Council at Madras while granting the Jahagir to Dewan Purnaiya in 1807.

As for repairs to the roads and steps leading to the temple the Sub Division Officer reports that the gravel road from Yelandur to the foot of the Hill, a distance of 6½ miles, should be metalled by providing one or two culverts and this work has to be taken up by the District Board and the road maintained since the cesses collected in the Jahagir are being credited to the funds of the District Board. The cost of this work excluding bridge works and drains is roughly estimated at R 4000/-.

The cost of repairs and improvements to the path up the hill to be borne out of the temple funds is estimated at R 5000/- approximately.

The total cost of repairs that are reported to be necessary is roughly estimated at R. 8000/-,

6. I request that the claim of the temple to the forest revenue on the Hills may be decided early. In this connection kind reference is invited to my letter No.C.774/28-29 dated 6th January 1932 requesting sanction for deputing

an Assistant Commissioner for a period of three months with a small establishment for enquiring into the claim of the temple in respect of th whole Inam villages and Minor inams in the Jahagir including the Forest on the Biligiri Rangan Hills. If this is done all matters relating to the temple will have been brought to a final disposal.

7. The file of papers (containing nine statements) received from the Deputy Commissioner is enclosed herein for favour of reference and return

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

No. [illegible] dated [illegible] from the [illegible]
to the Mazral Commissioner [illegible].

Scale of expenditure - [illegible]

With reference to [illegible]

I have the honor to submit herewith [illegible]

Sub Division of [illegible]

from the [illegible]

[illegible]

5000 -

[illegible]

...le the Sub Division Officer has proposed to give a small contingen...
...lice force for guard duty at the temple and has accordingly made pro...
in the proposed scale for the entertainment of 2 constables with one Daf...
I also consider that the entertainment of police men for guard duty is ve...
...ecessary as the temple is situated on the hill.

...e regarding the supply of articles that are required for the temple f...
...it small and purchasable it is rather difficult to purchase the same by ...
...overnment and then send them through hired coolies to the temple. The co...
...tract system of supply after call for tenders now ally prevailing in ...
...public institutions in this District may be adopted in the case of ...
...ir supply also.

...A list of repairs and improvements that are necessary on the hill is ...
...e records. Of these, it is reported, the improvements to the Mahomni s...
...e ... will (first and last ... which the steep bridle path a...
...from ... ent ... The cost of these is estimated to be about ..., 6/- ...
... the ... of the retaining wall of the temple is very urgent. Urgent works wil...
...be taken up as soon as funds are available. The road from Melar...
...to the top of the hill covering a distance of 6½ miles has to be maintain...
...a Bridle Road as ... by the Sub Division Officer.

...The question of ... is to the temple may be taken ...
...after the temple is taken under Government management and the scale sanct...
...by ... the scale of expenditure proposed by the Sub Division ...
...Officer may be kindly sanctioned by Government for a period of three ...
...years.

...The connected ... reports, plans and estimates are enclo...

...

G. Rudrakumaraswamy

...ommissioner

...

19/5/33 172

No.A1-Muz.147/32 dated nil from the Sub Division Officer, Nanjan Division, Nanjangud, to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

---

ct:- Providing a scale of income and expenditure for Sri Biligiriranga-swamy temple, Yelandur.

With reference to your Memo No.H.701/28 dated 21-11-32 calling for a report as to what revenue is derived from the forest of Sri Biligiri Ranganathaswamy Hill and what expenditure is necessary for providing for the proper conduct of worship and sevas in the temple and what repairs are necessary for the temples etc., I have the honor to state that I visited Yelandur on 17-2-33 and studied the records relating to the temple and again went to the Hills on 14-4-33 in company with the Assistant Commissioner in charge of Yelandur Jahagir, the Forest Ranger and the Sub Overseer and inspected the temple and all its adjuncts. I beg to submit the following report.

The income derived from the forest is set down in statement No.1. It shows the income derived from the sale of timber and other forest produce during the past 3 years and that derived from the sale of sandal also. Timber and other produce are sold in the Taluk office while sandal is sold by the Jaghirdar himself. The dead sandal is removed from the forest to the Taluk office at Yelandur and dressed there and kept in the custody of the officer in charge of the Taluk. When quantity of sandal becomes appre tenders are called for by the Jaghirdar himself, and the amount is received by him; and his agents at Yelandur are asked to deliver the sandal to the person whose tender is accepted by him. The Jaghirdar intimates the office the amount so received by him. The amount thus shown as having been realised is R 13905/- in 1929-30 and R 7196-14-0 in 1931-32. The sale was held i 1930-31. As can be seen from the statement the total income of the forest produce for the past three years are:-

1929-30	R. 2044- 5-8
1930-31	" 4966-10-8
1931-32	" 10892-12-8
	R. 36300-13-0

An attempt was made to strike the average income from sale of sandal wood, so that the income now taken may be as accurate as possible. It was not possible to trace any reference in the Taluk Office as to whether sales actually took place during the years 1922-23 to 1927-28. Even if sales were held the realisations derived therefrom have been apparently left out of the accounts of the Jaghir. The average yield of sandal wood per year is reported to be about 10 tons in the rough condition which yields about 7 tons of dressed wood. The price of this is estimated at R 750/- per to

The average cost of collection carriage and dressing of the wood is re to come up to R. 25/- per ton. The net realisation will be R. 725/- per ton and the average normal income under this head may therefore be taken at R 725 x 7 - 5075 or R 5000/-. But it is understood that most of the sandal is exploited and that in future at least in the next 15-20 years the income from this source will appreciably decline. A figure of R 3000/- per annum may hence be taken with safety as the annual average income in future years The timber trees also are being exhaused rapidly in the near reaches and it is feared that in future either the applications for timber will go down because of the increased cost of exploitation or the rates will be diminished for the same reasons. There is no regular planting work done and there has been no working plan for exploitation of the forest.

4 For the working of the forest and establishment as noted in the margin

One Ranger on R. 45/- 15/-	R 60/-	- R 720/-) is now being entertained.
One Guard on R. 8/-		" 96/-)
12 watchmen at R 7/-		"1000/-) This staff is under the control
Contingencies at R 1/- per month		" 12/-)

of the Revenue Officer at Yelandur and consists of one Ranger on R. 45/- plus R 15/-. one Guard on R. 8/- twelve watchers on R. 7/- each and a bungalow caretaker on R 7/-. Of these the Bungalow caretaker's pay is not a legitimate charge on the forest expenses as the bungalow belongs to the Jaghirdar. This establishment may be retained in toto except for the bungalow servant. The total expenditure thus comes to R 1836/- per annum.

For the proper working of the forest and for ensuring good revenue it may be advisable to make the staff of the forest subject to the supervision on the technical side of the Forest Sub Division Officer at Chamarajanagar. Working plans may have to be got prepared and after scrutiny by the Forest Department adopted for the working of the forest. If the Department were to charge anything for the supervision and advice the same will have to be included in the expenditure in the scale later.

6 Statement No.2 shows the list of establishment with the pay sanctioned for appointment and also the proposed scale. The original scale is reported to have been sanctioned for each appointment and so long back as 1880 by the the Jaghirdar as per Muz.order No.8 dated 5-7-1880. The remuneration payable to the temple staff is mostly in kind, only a nominal pay being fixed in respect of each appointment. As the temple is on the top of the hill and as there is no village near by it is rather difficult to get men to keep on in their appointments in the out of the way place This has to be their sole means of livelihood and hence the pay etc., have to be comparatively liberal. Moreover the old scale was drawn u

up-53 years ago and required some material alterations. Hence some modifica
and alterations are proposed also. Some of the posts are vacant and may be abolished as noted against such items in the statement.

7 The entire scale of pay is now fixed in terms of cash instead of being paid in cash and in kind as hitherto. This avoids many unnecessary complications and possible pilfering of articles which are to be paid in kind, at the time of measurement etc. Conversion into a cash basis has its own advantages and among other things will also avoid fluctuations of expenditure which now varies according as the prices of food stuffs are high or low.

8 The distribution of boiled rice which the temple servants were getting in addition to pay and miras is proposed to be continued as before as such practices obtain at present in temple such as those of Melkote, Nanjangud & the
The money equivalent for/miras they were getting in kind has been added on to their pay at the prevailing rates. It is expected that the present rate would not vary appreciably for the next few years.

9 At present the articles of Nityakatle and Hetchukatle are taken delivery of at the Taluk Office at Yelandur, and then taken to the hills by coolies engaged usually at R 0-2-0 per head. This arrangement is stated to be in vogue since a number of years and it is stated that it is cheaper as the coolie, if paid, by the Jaghirdar or his agent, is always low (about R 0-2- and as this system also renders it possible for the Gumasta at the Taluk office, Yelandur, to check the supplies before despatch to the hills. T h Taluk office have now undertaken to discharge this duty because the temple is being managed by the Jaghirdar. When the management of the temple is taken over under Government management the services of the officials of the Taluk office cannot perhaps be indented upon gratuitiously for this purpose nor are the coolies under any obligation to carry the articles at a less rate of wages as hitherto and they may demand higher rates. Tenders will have to be called for and articles supplied by the contractor and delivery taken on the hills instead of at Yelandur. There may be some increase all told in the total expenditure as the contractor has to include coolie charg at higher rates but that will be counter balanced by the abolition of ex penditure under other heads. It will be enough if the things are checked once on the top of the hills by the Peshkar and taken into stock. If the present arrangement is continued one official will have to be kept on at Yelandur also for taking delivery of the articles and then sending them up to the hills by engaging coolies. The pay of this official may be brought

under savings. The average expenditure under coolies for the past three years works up to R 140/-. But nearly thrice the amount has been provided for in the scale in view of the possible higher rates of coolies hereafter that will be charged by the contractor.

NITYAKATLE AND HETCHUKATLE.

Nityakatle.

A statement is appended hereto showing the articles of provisions excluding rice that are now supplied for daily use in all the three temples of Sri Ranganatha, Gangadhareswara and Gajendraveradaswamy. At the prevailing rates the cost of the provisions will come up to R 44/- per month. A sum of R. 50/- has been provided in the scale to improve the quality and also the quantity of Chirnada thalige, Asheeranna, Dadyanna and Dose. The rice that is being used daily for Nivedana is 2¾ seers per day. This has been increased to 3 seers per day. The rice per stapana is kept at the old rate that is 29 seers per month. The old rates have been kept up in the cases of Nivedana of Sri Gangadhareswara and Gajendra varada at 35 and 31 seers per month respectively. At present 180 seers of rice per month is being given for Nityakatle expenses other than miras. This is slightly increased to 221 seers per month.

As regards Hetchukatle sevas the old existing sevas and also the rates have been kept up. A list of such Hetchukatle sevas is also appended herewith. A lumpsum provision of R 750/- per year for all Hetchukatle items has been provided in the scale now proposed. It is expected that this amount will be sufficient.

There are now three peons and three kavalgars or watchmen. The three watchmen keep watch near the two sannidhies of Rangaswami temple and Gangadhareswara temple. In Rangaswamy temple valuable jewels to the value of nearly R. 15000/- are reported to be in daily use and in view of this the watch now provided seems to be inferior and inadequate. Especially in an out of the way place surrounded by forests and with no village or other habitation near by, it is very insecure leave the temple not guarded properly. Instead of the three watchmen and the 3 peons now existing two peons on R 10/- each and 4 Police men (3 constables and one Daffedar) are provided for to keep watch during both day and night. Provision has been made for their pensionary contribution and dress allowances also. The Muzrai gumasta who is now working in the Taluk office on R 15/- is proposed to be discontinued and instead of this man and the Chikka Parupa the sar provision has been made for a Peshkar on R 25/- a month as this temple is a fairly big one with a good income and responsible man is very necessary to control the temple servants, to look to the proper performance of utsava, check the jinisi articles their issues etc. An extra allowance of Rs 5/-

per mensem may be given to the Muzrai clerk of the Chamarajanagar Taluk office and he be entrusted with the work relating to this temple if the temple be taken under Government management. There will be thus a slight increase of R 10/- per month by this means.

13 An explanatory note for each item of income and expenditure clearly showing the necessity therefor has been made. Due provision has been made for all Nityakatle and Hetchukatle items noting the charges therefor in cash instead of in kind, as done hitherto.

14 One argument that is advanced in favour of the payment of miras in kind as rice, is that it has been enjoined by the Jaghirdar that out of the tot rice payable to the temple servants, one half should be offered as Nivedar to the deity and then distributed among the temple servants the other half being distributed raw.

15 Though this argument held good in the time when the scale was drawn up it has now ceased to be of any importance. Several posts are being held by the same individual for paucity of men. It is not absolutely necessary to insist on this present arrangement being continued as after all the persons get what they are entitled to but get it in a cooked state. This is analogus to the distribution of ಕಚ್ಚಿ ಅಕ್ಕಿ in temples like the Srikanteswaraswami and Chamarajanagar temple and may be kept on as ತಿಟ್ಟಿ ಅಕ್ಕಿ or converted into cash and added on to the scale. The nivedana that is now being offered to the deity in the form of boiled rice which is only 2½ seers of rice has been slightly increased as to avoid this sentimental objection.

Repairs.

There is a gravel road from Yelandur to the foot of the hill the distance being 6½ miles. This road has been repaired recently for the expected visit of His Highness in January last but if annual repairs are not executed it may get into its old condition. At best it is a fair weather road and unless this is metalled and made fit it will not serve any useful purpose. One or two culverts are also necessary. The cesses collected in the Jaghir are being credited to the funds of the District Board and as such this road should be maintained by the District Board as it serves a good number of villages such as Ranganathapura, Varagambalii, Gumbahalli, etc., in Yelandur Jaghir. The total estimated (rough) cost of metalling the road from Yelandur to the foot of the hills barring the bridge works and drains comes to R 4000/- approximately.

The path up the hills consists of 3 stages. The first and the last

[illegible] rough stone for a

portions. Steps and paving with rough stone are necessary for the rest the portions also. The middle section is practically level or a gravel path way is quite enough for this portion.

Some rough stone paving in the first section has been done by Mr.Reddiyar of the place. The improvement to these portions still to be done are estimated to cost R 1000/-. Check and side drains will have to be constructed for th road on the level ground in the middle. All the maintenance charges and the cost of repairs have to be borne out of the temple funds. The total estimated cost for all works re: the path to the hill is R 5000/- approximately.

It is stated that there is a leak in the kalyani and repairs for closing it are necessary. It is not possible to estimate the cost for this item of wor the pond is lined with stone slabs and the leak was not apparent at the time of spection. This is the only source of water for the temple servants and for the public during Jatra and it has to be taken up urgently. Some provision is made for periodical cleaning of the pond and its approaches also. Other details of work relating to this Pushpkarani are noted in statement No.1. Removal of silt from Somarasankara where the Thepotsavam is performed is also stated to be necessary. But I have inspected the tank and this item of work does not impress me as of an urgent nature. This can be taken up later when the funds of the temple permit and when other urgent and necessary items are attended to. There is a chattram and there are also two bungalows belonging to the Jaghirdar on the hills. The chattram is maintained solely out of the Jaghir funds and the bungalows also are taken care of by the servants of the Jaghirdar. The repairs of the bungalows are also charges on the Jaghir. One peon of the Forest Department on R 7/- per month is detailed for duty to take care of the bungalows. One of the bungalows has been placed at the disposal of the Forest Ranger for holding his office and probably this is the reason why the pay of the peon is charged to the Forest establishment. Statements of works and repairs necessary is appended.

The total cost of the repairs that are reported to be necessary is roughly estimated at R 7955/- or R 8000/- approximately. If all these items cannot be attended to at once some of them may be taken up each year as the funds at the credit of the temple permit and they may be completed in a period of two or three years. These are works of a capital nature and provision has been made in the scale of income and expenditure of the temple for annual repairs of a petty nature and white washing.

1286

The details of the present assets and liabilities of the temple are given below:-

Assets.

1. Amount held in S.B. current account in Chamarajanagar Taluk Treasury (R 4000/- had been deposited but R 850/- has been withdrawn.	P 3150/-
2. Loan amount to be recovered from the Inamdars of Thadagawadi and Dummanahalli at R 500/- per year as per decrees of the Civil Court.	" 5700/-
3. Amount due from the Jaghirdar being the unadjusted sale amount of Inam Mellahalli village.	" 2000/-
	R 10850/-

Liabilities.

1. Moobadla amount due to the Jaghirdar from temple.	P 500/-
2. Do. due to Narasimhaswami temple at Agara	" 1000/-
	R 1500/-

If the amounts relating to the Jaghirdar are adjusted a net cash balance of R 2650/- will be available at the credit of the temple with an additional income of R 500/- per year which will continue for the next 12 years at least. This income of R 500/- has not been taken into account in the scale proposed as it is not a permanent annual income.

P 1200/- has been held in deposit in Chamarajanagar Taluk Treasury S.B account No.1106. This represents the amount received from the devotees as a security for their constructing some mantaps on top of the hill. As the amounts have to be refunded to the parties on their completing the mantapam these amounts also have not been taken into account. The interest accruing on these amounts which is being credited to the temple funds has not been taken into account also.

Puduvat Sevas.

Besides the Hetchukatle sevas there are also some private sevas for which puduvat amount has been deposited by the parties. A statement of such sevas is enclosed. The total puduvat amount comes to P 1473-10-0 of which R 1161-8-0 has been deposited in the fixed S.B. account in Chamarajeswara Bank at Chamarajanagar. The rate of interest for a portion of the amount is 9% and for the rest 7½%. R 137-2-0 is held in Mysore State Loan bonds R 110/- has been recovered and added on to the funds of the temple.

ed by the donors

to private persons and personal decrees have been obtained for the recov. the same. Further investigation of these points have to be made With the exception of one case where the interest recovered from the amount deposited is not adequate for the performance of the seva the other sevas are said to be performed regularly.

Dharmadarsis.

The management of the temple was ~~xx~~ being looked after by the Jaghirdar. It was once thought of to appoint a set of Dharmadarsis to the temple to look after its management, but the question was deferred on the ground that proper persons were not available and that the appointment of Dharmadarsis was though derogatory to the prestige of the Jaghirdar. But that argument will not hold good if the temple is taken under Government management. As this will be a major Muzrai institution the appointment of Dharmadarsis becomes obligatory under the Rules in force. The names of gentlemen who are fit to be appointed as Dharmadarsis and who are willing to work as such will be submitted in consultation with the Revenue officer in charge of the taluk if required after the scale is sanctioned.

The scale now proposed may therefore be sanctioned tentatively for a period of one or two years and revised if necessary at the end of the period. Due provision has been made in the scale of income and expenditure for the proper maintenance of the temples in question. Reserve fund and contribution to the General Muzrai Funds have been provided in the scale on the assumption that the entire forest income would be credited to the temple funds.

The Jaghirdar has not sent up his reply so far though he was written to to send the same.

"Copy"

C. Rudrappa

For Muzrai Commissioner.

130

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .. ..

.....

.....

Section 1

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 16/1/34

Signed by Mr B. Muddiah Krishnappa

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

No. 995

To The H.C in Mysore

Sir,

With reference to ~~the latter portion of~~ the penultimate para of ~~correspondence~~ Your letter No C 774/28.29 dated 31.7.1933 ~~in the matter of~~ deputing an Asst Commr for three months till a small establishment for ~~European surveys~~ is enquiring into the claims of the Sri Bilijada Ranganathaswami Temple in respect of ~~certain~~ Whole Inam Villages of Muni including the first in the Bilijada Rangapatna in the yelandur Taluq I am directed to state that it is not proposed to have such elaborate

enquiries in the matter for
the time being and of the question
of the appointment of a special
officer may therefore lie over

Thanks.

13/11/34

For P.L. Jeng
DTS
9/11

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

ANCIAL SECRETARIAT,

Dated, Bangalore, 13th January 1934

No. 595 Mog

From

The *Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bengalore.

Sir,

With reference to the penultimate para of your let No. C. 774/28-29, dated 31st July 1933, in the matter of deputing an Assistant Commissioner for three months with a small establishment for enquiring into the claim of the Sr Biligiri Ranganathaswami temple in respect of whole Inam villages and Minor x Inams in the Yelandur Jahagir includ the forest on the Biligiri Rangan hills, I am directed to state that it is not proposed to have such elaborate enquiries made in the matter for the time being and that question of the appointment of a special officer may th fore lie over.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient serva

For Financial Secretary to Govern

TR".3

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mer

File No. 288-24

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 526 Encl 5 pages

To S. Venkatakrishnaiya

Retd. Dt. Judge, Kankenhalli Post

Basalapuradi, Bangalore City

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 5/10/34

Dear Sir.

I am directed to refer to your letter dated the 14.9.32 from the Tahsildar of Kolar on the subject regarding the claim for exemption of attachment towards the revenue accruing from the Forest on the Biligiri Rangan Hills, and to forward herewith a draft of the Govt. order proposed to be issued in the matter, and to request you to kindly obtain and furnish for the use of this Govt. a photographic copy of the Tahsildar's report in the matter.

Yours faithfully

Signed by [illegible]

for favour of obtaining the views of the Tahsildar Kolar

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Be favour of a very early reply is requested.

Examined by .....

5/10/34

for Chief Secretary

WD 0253—GPB—15,000—26-8-32

526 134

D. O. No. ~~528~~ GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

Dated, Bangalore 5th October 1934.

Mis 288-24 9 pages

Dear Sir,

I am desired to invite reference to letter dated the 14th September 1932 from the Jahagirdar of Yelandur regarding the claim for the revenue accruing from the Forest on the Biligiri Rangan Hills, and to forward herewith for favour of obtaining the views of the Jaghirdar thereon a draft of the Government order proposed to be issued in the matter.

The favour of a very early reply is requested.

Yours sincerely,

for Ch: Secy to Govt.

S.Venkatakrishnaiya,Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Retired District Judge,
Kankanhalli Road, Basavangudi,
Bangalore City.

As this is a matter of vital impor

GEN. 621 9

135

D.O. No. 51 Enc: 29
1936-37

NAGARAJA RAO PURNAIYA, B.A.,
Jaghirdar of Yelandur.

"PURNA PRASAD"
Race Course Road, Bangalore
Dated, 18th December 1.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O. letter No. 526/Dgz. 208-2 dated 5th October 1934 to Mr. S. Venkatakrishnaiah for calling for my views a draft of the Government Order proposed to be issued in the matter of my right to the forest on the Biligiri Ranjaswami Hills, I regret I cannot consent to the draft, since I consider it to be quite opposed to the facts and the evidence of the case. The draft seems to have been based on the note of the Sub Divisional Officer of Nanjangud on this subject. I have this day sent to the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore my detailed reply to that note and I have given in that reply my reasons for disagreeing with it entirely. I herewith submit for the information of Government a copy of that communication.

As this is a matter of vital importance to myself and concerns directly the benevolent object for which the Jaghir was granted to my family, I request that before final orders are passed I might be given an opportunity of representing my case either in person or by an accredited representative.

I return herewith the draft of the proposed Government Order, as desired by you.

Yours sincerely,
Nagaraja Rao Purnaiya

B.T. Kesaviengar, Esq., I.C.S.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

[illegible] question, for some time past, the two questions [illegible] whether the income from the forest on the Bri[illegible] hills should be appropriated by the Hahgir [illegible] whether it should be given to the Bri[illegible] hills and (ii) a [illegible] for the efficient manageme[illegible] of the future, is reported to [illegible]

[illegible] the other three [illegible] of the [illegible] portion of the [illegible] forest coming in the [illegible] belong to [illegible] :-

(i) [illegible] 700-1000 [illegible] the [illegible] hills, the latter [illegible] 311-1 [illegible]

(ii) [illegible] December 1897 [illegible] 1,300 [illegible] of [illegible] 1906-07. The [illegible] income [illegible] the [illegible]

(iii) [illegible]

4.

(1) [illegible] of the [illegible] hills, [illegible] of the [illegible]

1386

R.n.an Hills h. . ll long been in ... idolod ... Sri Biligiri ... Temple on the Hills ... for ... of the ... in ... ent ... Biligiri ... been ... 1 ... 1906 ... to ... ment ...

... all records ... Forest produce ... being credited to the temple fund till about 1870, the cost of establishment being ...

... only after the ... ment of the ... (the ... about the year 1870 ... the income from the ... to be credited ... to the temple.

The fact ... that the ... of Yelandur has been in the enjoyment of the ... since 1870 ... having ... R ... relief ...

... the recent ... of the ... from the ... temple ... satisfactorily ... neglected condition ... want need of ... no doubt the ... attention ... the ... that ... the improvement of the Hill ... of the ... of expenditure would be ...

In the ... direct that the ... from the Billi ... should first be ... the proper performance of pooja ...

of the temple and that the surplus if any
to be enjoyed by the Jahagirdar himself who
-time to manage the temple as heretofore.

The Inam Commissioner reports in
[illegible] ted 31st July 1933 that the income of
institution under the several heads such as La
[illegible] Rs. 11,741-
[illegible] of Rs. 1,500/-
[illegible] months rent from the Jahagir, thi
[illegible] receives that from the forest on the
[illegible] Rs. 5,000/-.

The total of expenditure to meet the
[illegible] to Rs. 11,744/- of annum
[illegible] of Rs. 3,737/-
[illegible] Rs. 1,500 - for repairs to the temple buildings,
Rs. 500/- for [illegible].

Note:- The Commissioner has not considered the following
[illegible] admissible of reduction noted
[illegible] total of Rs. 1,407/- per annum.

(1) Salaries and establishment charges in police guards.
[illegible] Rs. 3,737 per annum under this head is
[illegible] including the cost of 2 peons
[illegible] Rs. 10/- each, one police officer on
[illegible] Rs. 17/- and 15 constables at Rs. 15/- each
[illegible] Rs. 6/- per mensem. These
[illegible] are in addition to
[illegible] to the temple. The cost under this head
will thus be reduced to Rs. 40/- p.m. against Rs.92/-
[illegible].

(11) Miscellaneous, Coolyfor-

(iii) Kitchenette, Cooly for cooking grain etc. and other articles to the Hills.

The provision of Rs. 300/- may be reduced by Rs. 100/- as the actual requirements for the year are Rs. 200 - only.

(iii) Contingencies - Other information required

The provision of Rs. 70/- (Rs. 717-2-0 only) may be reduced by Rs. 70/- as the actual requirements for the year are Rs. 647-2-0 only.

(iv) Reserve.

The provision of Rs. 5,000/- may be reduced by Rs. 5,000/- as the actual requirements for the year are Rs. 5,000/- only. The provision of Rs. 5,000/- proposed for the new Rest Houses may be reduced by Rs. 4,500/- as the actual requirements for the year are Rs. 500/- only.

The provision of Rs. 1,00,000/- proposed for the Commissioner of Hill Areas may be reduced by Rs. 50,000/- as the actual requirements for the year are Rs. 50,000/- only.

7. Contingencies (Other than Travelling Allowance)	Rs.	3,11,000-0-0
8. Petty articles and Office Contingencies.	"	672-0-0
9. Kitchenette.	"	95-0-0
10. Cooly charges, Grain etc., or articles to the Hills.	"	200-0-0
11. Repairs and improvements (Other than Original Works).	"	1,500-0-0
12. Contribution to Municipal Establishment from Cess @ 5%.	"	500-0-0
13. Assessment and Cesses on Land.	"	365-0-0
14. Postage and Telegrams.	"	115-0-0
15. Miscellaneous.	"	900-0-0
16. Contingencies and other unforseen Expenditure.	"	1,000-0-0
17. Forest establishment (other than Travelling Allowance).	"	1,000-0-0
Total Rs.		10,72,000-0-0

Government are pleased to direct that
of Rs. 1,400/- per annum (Rs. 11,744-6-0 - Rs
) llowed to be utilised by the Jahagirdar of
for himself.

To Chief Secy. to Govt.

T [illegible] Commissioner.
[illegible] Commissioner.
[illegible] troller to Govt.
[illegible] Conservator of Forests.
T[illegible] - by Commissioner, N.D.C.
Copy to [illegible] Jahagirdar of Kelapur with covering let
for [illegible].S.I. [illegible] for [illegible].

D.O. letter No.1126 dated the 30th July 1936 from the Conservator of Forests in Mysore, Bangalore, to the Guardian of the Senior Jaghirdar of Yelandur.

With reference to your letter No. Mis.295 dated the 21st instant, I have the honour to state since all the departments elephants are required in connection with the road operations no kumkies can be spared this year. An application for kumkies in October 1924 will be probably complied with if any elephants are not otherwise engaged.

D.O. letter No 1862 dated the 6th March 1918 from the Private Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

"Dear Mr. Narasinga Rao Purnaiya, His Highness has been pleased to order that your request for Palace Elephants to be sent to Yelandur to help in removing the elephant from the pit may be complied with".

Copy of telegram dated the 17th July 1926 from 'Lisio'.

"Jaghirdar Yelandur Bangalore. Chamarajnagar Ranger offered Spare Elephants Communicate with him".

Notice dated the 15th August 1912 from the office of the Jaghirdar of Yelandur.

"The under mentioned elephant belonging to the Yelandur Jaghirdar is now available for sale to the highest bidder. Description - Male Kunjanchal. Age:- Middle age. Height- about 10 feet.

The animal can be seen at Yelandur. Persons desirous of tenders from the intending purchasers should state the amount offered and should be sent so as to reach the undersigned on or before the 4th September 1912. Each tender should be accompanied by a deposit money of Rs.5/- which will be returned for the rejected tenders".

Copy of letter dated the 27th August 1906 from the Jaghirdar of Yelandur to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

18/12/36 ---

No. 50 Enc-27.
1936-37.

Bangalore,
Dated, 18 December 1936.

From

NAGARAJA RAO PANTHIYA, M.A., B.L.,
Jaghirdar of Yelandur,
"Purna Prasad", Race Course Road,
Bangalore.

To

P. G. KRISHNAIYER, M.A., I.C.S.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District,
Mysore.

Sir,

Subject:- Grant of the Sri Biligiri Rangaswami Temple to the forest on the Biligiri Rangaswami Hills.

---:o:o:---

With reference to your letter No. R. 701/36 dated 31st March 1931 (and subsequent reminders) forwarding for my reply and a statement of my case on the note submitted by the Sub Division Officer of Kollegal (i.e. P. Muddukrishnarao. . .) on the subject of the Inam lands endowed to the Biligiri Rangaswami Temple:

The main question, as stated by the Sub division Officer in his note, is whether the Jaghirdar or the temple is entitled to the forest on the Biligiri Rangaswami Hills.

The decision of this question seems to depend on the effect of two documents about the authenticity and [illegible] nature of which there can be no dispute:

(1) Devaraja Ramayya's Sirpa dated 15th of Margasira Suddha or Bahulami. (1720-1800)

(2) The Sanad dated the 2[illegible] of [illegible] 1738 A.D. [illegible] granted by Kempe Gowda [illegible] the Jaghir of Yelandur [illegible] Biligiri Rangaswami [illegible]

144

There can be no question that in the absence of an ex
direction to the contrary, the <u>ground</u> [illegible] taken subject to the right created by the earlier [illegible] favour of the temple.

It is, therefore, essential for the right determination of the case that the two documents [illegible] subjected to a full unbiassed examination. Copies of these [illegible] convenience of reference in [illegible] (Enclosures Nos. I & II ×).

× II Consists of 4 Serials

I propose first to [illegible] Sub Divisional Officer [illegible] as Inam [illegible] this kind in [illegible] examined soon. [illegible] results of the [illegible] will be [illegible] [illegible] instructions [illegible].

[illegible]

145

[illegible]

(literally, credit, [illegible] are the [illegible] ment of arre[illegible] past be [illegible] arrears be collected [illegible] through this [illegible].)

x x x x

"[illegible] to Sanad [illegible] possession. [illegible] held [illegible] [illegible] Sanad"

The Sircop fixed a definite scale of expenditure [illegible] vided a specific and unfluctuating source for [illegible]. [illegible] source was the l[illegible] [illegible] village [illegible] one of the [illegible] [illegible] halli) was [illegible] Sa[illegible] (S[illegible]). [illegible] concluding sentence of the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] village [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] forest [illegible]. [illegible] [illegible] sanad in [illegible] [illegible] the first time, the [illegible] [illegible].

([illegible])

"[illegible] [illegible] the [illegible]".

[illegible] for holding that this [illegible] [illegible] miles of forest, [illegible] no reservation made". [illegible] as granting [illegible] the forest [illegible] forest was not [illegible]. [illegible] lead to surprising [illegible] cation, be made to give [illegible].

147

> "As explained above, the hill had also been granted to the temple six years [illegible] and it is too much for anyone to say now why an allusion to the jungle was made in the correspondence and whether it has any significance at all. I may add that besides the forest on the hill [illegible] there is some jungle on the slopes. It is just possible that the allusion is to [illegible]."

As for the doubt whether the allusion has any significance at all, it is enough to say that Sir John Anderson wrote his letter as Governor of Madras, and it must be taken as [illegible] responsibility in the matter. As for the assumption that the objection was to the Division officer's [illegible] above cited as well as by the subsequent letter No. 380 dated 5th October 1936 that there is no jungle [illegible].

The Divisional Forest Officer writes:

> "The Tahsildar points out that the [illegible] which [illegible] the [illegible] the [illegible] over the hill."

Over the whole matter a considerable record, it was not necessary to mention all the details. The real point of the officer's [illegible] to the [illegible] that he holds that the [illegible] the temple. [illegible] opinion, [illegible] the whole.

[illegible] to [illegible] reference to [illegible] conclusive [illegible] clusion is [illegible] [illegible]

the Firoop was found insufficient to cover the cost of [illegible] of the testik. The Amildar proposed, and it was ordered, [illegible] the increased expenditure should be met from kanikeu (offer[illegible] from devotees of the temple). If the forest revenue had been granted to the temple this increased expenditure would [illegible] have been met out of those revenues.

From the language of the Firoop it is doubtful whether anything more was meant by it than the creation of a charge on the revenues of the taluk. From the concluding portion of the Firoop it may be inferred that prior to that date the affairs of the temple were in an unsettled condition, that the scale of expenditure had not been fixed and that every year a separate grant was being sanctioned from the taluk revenues. The Firoop fixed the scale of expenditure and dispensed with the necessity of separate annual sanction. (Which must have involved delay by erecting an automatic charge on the taluk revenues, and by pointing out the items which should be recommended for certain temple charges. This interpretation was borne out by the inclusion of Gowdahalli and Doddigondahalli with its dibsche in the grant to the Jagirdar (the remainder consisted of Mr Sullivan's letter). The Jagirdar seems to have taken over from Government the responsibility for the maintenance of worship in the Sri Pillirari Rangaswami temple and it is understood that the administration of the temple has been with the Jagirdar since the creation of the Jagir.

Even giving the Firoop its most liberal interpretation it cannot be construed to grant more than the Inam of the village specifically mentioned in it.

The Sub Division Officer has attempted to establish that Doddigondahalli with its dibsche Idduvanikyam enjoyed in the Firoop means the whole of the extending village and therefore now constitute a part of the taluk. In support of this contention he has advanced various reasons of which two

109

most important is that Soligarahalli was sometimes called "Betta". The only village on a hill may be popularly called "Betta", but this proves nothing. On the other hand, there are conclusive reasons against the assumption that [illegible] meant the whole of the "Betta", that there [illegible] village of that name and that the settlement [illegible] nothing else. If Soligarahalli [illegible] and forests, where was the need to [illegible] It is perfectly clear [illegible] 1858 under the orders of [illegible] (otherwise known as _hodes_) were really [illegible] the midst of cultivated land. [illegible] that there were land [illegible] actually applied on [illegible] _halli_ [illegible] Jungle tribal [illegible] Soligaras [illegible] Soligarahalli. [illegible] Soligarahalli was [illegible] the Betta. [illegible] Soligarahalli [illegible]

In [illegible] following statement:

> [illegible] the [illegible] of the [illegible] realisable [illegible] including [illegible] to the [illegible] year 1870, [illegible] assumption [illegible] Division Officer [illegible]

The above is an incorrect [illegible] Division Officer had [illegible] would have found [illegible]

24

(iv) Power to collect arrears of land revenue for more than two years, and

(v) Power to collect contribution for irrigation works as an arrear of land revenue:

These questions are coming up in two cases which are posted for hearing to 18th August 1928.

JUDICIAL.

(i) The Jaghirdar has never exercised, and there is no question now, of investing him with civil jurisdiction.

(ii) As regards criminal jurisdiction, the powers of a Magistrate were given by name to Sir P.N. Krishna Murthy but no precedent has been established and there is no necessity in future for giving such powers to the Jaghirdar. The practice of giving magisterial powers to the Jaghir Amildar has also been recently discontinued, as a result of the introduction of the Separation Scheme.

(iii) As the Jaghirdar has no longer to maintain any establishments on account of Judicial Administration, he will not be entitled to any income from Court Fees, Criminal Fines, etc.

POLICE.

Under Government Order No.P.5254-7/Pol.170-24 dated 5th April 1927 the Jagnirdar is invested with powers of a Superintendent of Police. It is perhaps desirable to cancel this order and relieve the Jaghirdar of the duties of Police administration; he may be required to pay contribution to the extent of the expenditure now incurred on the maintenance of the Police in the Jaghir.

Registration, Lock-ups.

Similar arrangements may be made with regard to these also.

LOCAL CESSES.

Local Cess is being collected by the Jagnirdar and an --

150

was being credited to the temple. Prior to that date the forest revenue which was merged in sayar used to be credited to the Jaghir funds. For the first time in 1860-61 [illegible] Rs. 166-15-5 was credited to the temple funds under a misapprehension of the orders of the Superintendent of the [illegible] Division, who, during this period, was administering the Jaghir owing to the minority of the then Jaghirdar. The Jaghir was under Government management from 1[illegible] as the Jaghirdar being a minor was not in a position to properly protect his own interests. In 1[illegible] after careful consideration of all the facts of the case [illegible] the state of things which existed before the misunderstood order of 1858. It will be seen from the figures given by the Sub Division Officer in the margin on page 11 that the income from Poligarchalli was inflated during these years by the incorrect inclusion of forest revenue. [illegible] figures as normal is to misunderstand and mislead the Court.

All the evidence relating to the temporary transfer of forest revenue to the taluk has been summarised in the Yelandur Amildar's report No. 352 of 9th October 1861 (Enclosure III) of which a free rendering is given below:-

"(1) The revenue from timber in the hills on the hill was being included in sayar and credited to the Jaghir funds till 1858-59 (Kaloyukti);

"(2) In 1859-60 (Siddhurti) at the time of preparing the Phaisalati account in the Division Office, it was noticed that as the revenue from timber together with the revenue from the Jodi Karvalanye villages were not to belong to the Jaghir Sarkar, but were to belong to Jodi Kere Sarkar, that the said amount should be kept in suspense (ಎಸ್ಕ್ರೋ) on the kalabund of the year. In the Mathura (new book) abstract) of the Sri Bilikiri Ammanni Devaru for [illegible] the sum of Rs. 166-15-5, being the said timber revenue [illegible]

151

was included and since then the forest revenue is being credited to the temple. This is borne out by the phrase in the taluband and the Jamabandi Goshwara of the temple;

x x x x

"(3) In the Jannad of the temple there is no mention of all of the timber revenue from the jungle;

"(4) To prove that the jungle and the timber belonged to the Durvankya Tirthgiri Swamiji (p. 9), there is no reference other reference except the recognition of the timber revenue after having been credited to the deity some time, was subsequently credited to the temple. Since this temple jungle timber is the property of this deity it is understood that, therefore, timber cannot be considered to be property free to enjoy for any [illegible]

[illegible] report was (1) [illegible] never [illegible] [illegible] still [illegible] revenue, (2) but [illegible] why it is not clear. It was [illegible] forest [illegible] [illegible] matter enquiry. [illegible] time, why this [illegible] the forest revenues [illegible] practice, however, [illegible] attainment of majority, because the [illegible] Jawir. It seems impossible to [illegible] propriety the observations in paragraph 10:

> "The grievance now complained of has been on behalf of Government and the Land Revenue in the hands of the ... and there is why the forest revenues have been allowed to the temple funds to keep pace with the obtained by the Government. Unless [illegible] material interests of the temple".

[illegible] really [illegible] was [illegible]

152

management, an incorrect procedure had been adopted [illegible] affecting the minor's interests, and that he, on [illegible] majority, corrected the mistake.

It is difficult to believe that the [illegible] was altogether unaware of the fact that prior to [illegible] forest revenue was not [illegible] to be [illegible]. It is obviously [illegible] para 12 of his note:

> [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] or sixty [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] appreciated the [illegible]

[illegible]

153

Jaghirdar granted licences and enjoyed same rights because the forest belonged to him. A list of the documents which prove that the free grant of wood to the ryots had been in operation long prior to 1870 is given as annexure IV S&I 6/12.

In para 16 of the note, the [illegible] some importance to the petition of Sarva[illegible] swami Pettor whole [illegible] settlement in 1866. [illegible] confer no rights [illegible] on. [illegible] not ever been [illegible] that [illegible] objection [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] October 1944 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

To summarise:-

The right of the Jaghir to the forest is established (1) reading the Miroop of the temple with the grant of the Jaghir, (2) the enjoyment of forest revenue as part of J. revenue from the time of the grant with the exception of the period from 1858-69 when the Jaghir was under Government management owing to the Jaghirdar's minority, (3) the enjoyment of forest rights by the Jaghirdar and without interference by the Jaghir ryots. It is significant that in 1871 when the Velandur Jaghir Settlement was enacted, the forest revenue was included in the Jaghir revenue, on the basis of which the payment to the annuitant was fixed.

The temple was not granted forest right by the Miroop and never enjoyed forest revenue except by a mistake in the period from 1858-1869.

In the above letter I have attempted to deal with all the points raised in the Sub Division Officer's note. It is probable that some matters may have escaped my observation and that in the course of consideration of this problem by Government, new points will arise for discussion. This is indeed a question of very great importance to me and my family since it also involves directly the religious object with which the Jaghir was resumed to my family. I beg that I may be given an opportunity of personally or by some other person or by a duly accredited representative before final orders are passed.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Nagaraja Rao Ramaiya

Jaghirdar.

Annexure I.

155

(సంతకము నక్షలు.)

శ్రీమతు కృష్ణాజిల్లా కలక్టరవారు. యుక్తంచుండు లోబడిన మర్యాదలతో ఆశీర్వాద-అదాంగి.

శ్రీలశ్రీ నిరంగ స్వామిలలవారి చల్లపల్లి జమీందారిలో తిరకాసు, దిల్లసింధ్రదేవస్థానములకు సంబంధించిన రం-రిలాదాలు వగైరా వర్తమానములో తెలిసినది - తదనంతరం గ్రామగళవారి దీవమక్ష వుండి శిక్షిస్తుండుటకు వివరం.

వగైరా గవుడపళ్ళ గ్రామగళకు

వగైరా పాండలగరపళ్ళ- ఇద్దలసింధ్రదేవం-

వగైరాలకు.

గళం కృష్ణాజిల్లా పదాదనలుత్తనే-

వగైరా

వగైరా గ్రామగళకు

మంగలగుడు గ్రామగళకు చిల్లరిలాభుకొండిరాలు-

ముందుగళలు తిక్కేదు వరకుంవ్యం- వందుబుదినగ్రామగళవారి అక్కడనుంచి గ్రామగళవారు - తదనంతర గ్రామగళవారు చిలవదిన్నాదవ సింధ్రదేవస్థానం గుంట్రగుంట గుంటూరుజిల్లా వుండి మంచి పెంచి త్రిశూలం పెంచి దీపంతరుగులు వుండి కొండపై ప్రాకారం పెంచి పదాలవారు తిరుపతిలో గుంట్ర ముక్కుంచే దీపం వుండి కొండవారు.

తదనంతర గ్రామగళవారి సంవత్సరదపదాదల్లి వగైరా లాంటి గళువదు కం. గళగు గగుగ్రా పెంచి-గట్ట గుగ్రా పెట్టుకొని వుంగిద్ద శాలువళు గలిసిబట్టి పెంచుకొని పదుగళు పెంచవరిగళు తింగళు పెంచే చవరవరిగళు, దిల్లసింధ్రదేవస్థానం కం. వగైరా పెంచి వగైరా గళు ఇదువరకు గుంటూరు జిల్లాలు వుండ నిరక్షరాస్యులు బరమండి కొండిరు తరిలతి కొండరువదు. ఈ సంవదు నివారణకు కొండపెట్టువారు పంచాయితీ ఈ కార్యాలయంనందిన అక్కి ఇడదే దక్షిణ కొండిరు బాలువదు.

తిదాబ్రకారం సంవత్సరదవుంగిద్ద శాలువళు

ఆక్షరాలు

18-12-1936.

Annexure II 156 Sl. 1.

TRANSLATION OF THE CANARESE SANAD.

Deed of Inam executed by His Highness the Maharaja Krishna Raja Wadayar, Raja of Mysore, to Dewan Purnaiya, is as follows :—

At the happy period at which We by the grace of the omnipotent and omniscient God and with the assistance and protection of the English Company Bahadur, who are the abode of Mahalakshmi (Goddess of prosperity and wealth), We succeeded to Our hereditary Government of the Mysore Province, and ascended the throne thereof: because at that period there were from some causes irregularities in the Territories included in the Province, the friendship of the English Company Bahadur desired that all the affairs connected with the Mysore Province should be on a permanent and proper footing, (and) that all the people who are by the grace of God fully protected should live in happiness. It being the desire of the Company Sircar that such fame should be attained, it became necessary that a firm-minded and able Dewan should be appointed, and that the acts tending for the good of the Province should be conducted with devotion and ability (and) that every act should be so successfully conducted as done by oneself. It having been found that there was Purnaiya possessed of such qualities and devoted to the interests of his master, with great discrimination and security of mind, the Dewanship which was of itself honorable yet had become doubly so from the circumstances above described, therefore the Dewanship of this Province was made over to your charge. In all the acts and thoughts exercised by you in pursuance of the authority thus confided to you, it became certainly known that they were executed with truthfulness, disinterestedness, display of friendship, well wishing, *et cetera*, and with a desire for the prosperity of the Government of the English Bahadur. It being considered that the memory of the good deeds done by you should be held in remembrance in the World, and that your sons, grandsons, in continuous order or succession should in supreme happiness contemplate that this was the reward of eminent service and live in perpetual happiness and prosperity, commands have been given by the advice and with the consent of the Government of the English Company Bahadur, to grant to you in Inam twenty-five primary and twenty one secondary villages forming the six Hoblies of the Taluk of Yelandur of the Mysore Province. We have determined (settled) and give (you) that (you) your sons, grandsons, in continuous order or succession shall continue to enjoy the benefit of the Inam as long as the Sun and Moon which illuminate the world run in their courses. At the time of the partition of the country this Yelandur had a Beriz of ten thousand Canteroy Pagodas (but) as the country has now become prosperous, in the year Akshaya the gross revenue was fourteen-thousand and three hundred Pagodas as particularized below :—

	HOBLI.	Primary villages.	Secondary villages.	Total including both.	Canteroy Pagodas.		
1.	Yelandur	4	2	6	2,840	4	10
1.	Ambale	3	3	6	1,861	7	8
1.	Yeragambhalli	6	3	9	2,153	5	2
1.	Yerayur Kestur	2	3	5	2,461	2	6
1.	Agara	4	5	9	2.443	8	4
1.	Maddur	6	5	11	2,539	2	2
6		25	21	46	14,300	0	0

As from the revenue thus made up of fourteen thousand and three Pagodas equal to Phuli Pagodas 11,880, Phuli Pagodas 1,732, Main Fanams 32 and being deducted on account of working expenses, there remains a balance of Phuli Pagodas Maili Fanams twelve, and Cash twelve. You, your sons, grandsons, in continuous orde or cession to all posterity are to keep this Taluq of Yelandur in your possession, and by increase of cultivation make this Taluk (literally country) prosperous, executing the works of Tanks and wells, and enjoy (the same) from year to year.

Dated the 16th Moon's Decrease of Margasira of the year Prabhava in 1729 of the Era of Salivahana corresponding to Sunday the 27th day of December 1807 of the Christian Era.

Seal and Signature of the Maha Raja.

KRISHNA RAJA WADAYER.

The Jaghire of Yelandur was given by the Raja of Mysore to Purnaiya Dewan, at the recommendation of the English Government, and in record of the entire acquiescence and approbation of that State of the reward which has been granted for the faithful and great services of Purnaiya, I have (as British Resident at the Court of Mysore) affixed my name and seal to this Deed.

MYSORE,

27th December 1807,

(Signed) J. MALCOLM,

Resident.

18/12/36

Annexure. II

154

TRANSLATION OF THE PERSIAN SANAD.

Maharaja Krishna Raja Wadayar, Raja of Mysore, bestows this written Grant of a Free-hold on the Minister Purnaiya.

In the days when We, by the grace and by the aid of the Lord God, and through the instrumentality and assistance of the Government of the Honorable English Company, succeeded to the dominion of Our ancestors and progenitors, and mounted the throne of the Kingdom of Mysore, confusion and disorganization had, from certain causes, arisen in Our dominions. Hence the kindness and affection of the Government of the Honorable English Company made them desirous that the affairs of the Kingdom of Mysore should be arranged and consolidated in a satisfactory manner and that such a system should be established as should secure the comfort and happiness of all our subjects and peoples. With a view to the completion and fulfilment of these desires, it became necessary for us to appoint a Minister with plenary powers, to whose integrity and ability the Administration of the State might be confided: in the same way as we had Ourselves in Our August Person attended to the affairs of State. Since the uprightness and rectitude and honesty of the Noble Purnaiya had been observed, and his ability and sagacity proved and tested, therefore the office of Minister, which is at all times a trusted and honored post (and the distinction and honor of which was at this particular time redoubled, owing to the circumstances above referred to) was conferred on and conferred to the Nobleman aforesaid; and his uprightness and integrity and sincerity and fidelity in the performance of the duties of the office conferred upon him, and his loyalty to the Government of the English Company were so conspicuous as to afford clear proof of (the validity of) the reasons above stated (for his appointment).

And since it is the intention of Our Illustrious Mind that the remembrance of the worthy services and befitting actions performed by this Nobleman should not be totally erased and obliterated from the pages of the Record of Time, and moreover that the children of this Nobleman shall, while cotemplating the result of his diligent services, dwell in comfortable and easy circumstances exalted and distinguished for ever and ever; therefore with the advice and approval of the Government of the Honorable English Company, we have conferred the Taluq of Yelandur, appertaining to theKingdom ofMysore with its six districts comprising twenty-five principal and twenty-one attached villages, on the aforesaid Nobleman as an hereditary Free-hold (Inam) as long as the course of the Sun and Moon, which are the illumination of the world (shall continue). The revenue of the Taluq referred to was at the time of the division of the Country ten thousand Canteroy Pagodas and now on account of the populousness of the Taluq has become in the Akshaya Samvatsara, fourteen thousand three hundred Canteroy Pagodas, as appears below:—

Town of Yelandur District—six villages, Four principal (*asali*). Two attached (*Dakehl*) Two thousand eight hundred and forty Pagodas, four and a half Falams, two Annas.

Ambale district—Six villages, Three principal, Three attached.

One thousand eight hundred and sixty eight Pagodas, seven and a half Falams.

18/12/36

Two thousand one hundred and fifty three Pagodas, five Falams.

Yerayur Kestur District—Five villages, Two principal, Three attached.

Two thousand four hundred and sixty one Pagodas, two Falams, six Annas.

Agara Hobli—Nine villages, Four principal, Five attached.

Two thousand four hundred and forty three Pagodas, eight Falams, four Annas.

Maddur district—Eleven villages, Six principal, Five attached.

Two thousand five hundred and thirty nine Pagodas, two Falams, two Annas.

Fourteen thousand three hundred Pagodas, as above, would make eleven thousand eight hundred and eighty Star Pagodas. Altogether one thousand seven hundred and thirty two Star Pagodas, thirty two Maili Falams and sixty eight Cash "*Tal Kharch*" (expenses of management) and the remainder ten thousand one hundred and forty seven Star Pagodas, twelve Maili Falams and twelve Cash. His Nobility and His Nobility's children from generation to generation, taking the above mentioned Taluq into their own occupation and possession, are to employ themselves in augmenting the population and extending the cultivation, and in the repair and construction of tanks and wells, and should spend the revenues of the Taluk, year by year, on their own necessary expenses.

Written in Margasira Bahula Trayodasi, Prabhava Samvatsara, in the year one thousand seven hundred and twenty nine of the Salivahana Saka, agreeing with the twenty seventh of the month of December, the year one thousand eight hundred and seven of the Christian Era.

(True Translation)

(Signed) TYRRELL, LIEUT. COLONEL,

37th Grenadiers,

The Jaghire of Yelandur was given by the Raja of Mysore to Purnaiya Dewan, at the recommendation of the English Government, and in record of entire acquiescence and approbation of that State of the reward which has been granted for the faithful and great services of Purnaiya I have (as British Resident at the Court of Mysore) affixed any name and seal to this Deed.

BANGALORE,

27th December 1807.

(Signed) J. MALCOLM.

Resident.

Annexure "I" 160 81

Translation of a Sanad granted by His Highness the Raja of Mysore to Purnaiya, Dewan.

MAHARAJA KRISHNA RAJA WADAYAR GRANTS THESE PRESENTS AS THE SANAD OF AN INAM TO PURNAIYA HIS DEWAN.

At the happy period when by the blessing of the Almighty and under His divine auspices, We through the means and by the aid of the Honorable East India Company, succeeded to the dominion of Our ancestors, and ascended the *masnad* of Mysore; it was found that various circumstances had concurred in producing desolation and confusion in these Our Territories, and the friendship of the British Government immediately dictated the necessity of adopting measures for the permanent organisation and arrangement of the affairs of Government and for the formation of such settlements as might ensure the repose and welfare of the Rayats and inhabitants. For the accomplishment of this object, it became neccessary that a Dewan should be appointed for Our service, and invested with great and extensive powers so that the whole of the details of Government might be entrusted to his integrity and wisdom. And whereas rectitude, virtue and integrity of the high in station Purnaiya had been observed, and his ability in the transaction of business tried and proved, he was thought duly qualified, and consequently appointed to the office of Dewan, an office which though at all times highly confidential and important, became doubly so at that period from the particular circumstances above recited.

The rectitude, assiduity and zeal which the said Purnaiya has displayed, in the performance of the duties committed to his charge, and his attachment to Our ally the Honorable Company have been so clearly made manifest as entirely to confirm the high opinion, which had been originally formed of him, on the grounds and for the reasons above mentioned.

As it is Our anxious desire that the remembrance of the good and meritorious services which have been performed by the said Purnayia may never be effaced from the recollection of mankind, and also that his descendants may long continue in ease and comfort to contemplate and enjoy the beneficial consequences of his great exertions and to participate in the honor and respectability due to his merits; We now, by the advice and with the concurrence of the British Government afore-said, do, by these writings, grant to him as an Inam the Taluq of Yelandur, appertaining to the Territory of Mysore, and comprising six Hoblies or divisions, in which are contained 25 primary and 21 secondary villages, all of which shall be held by his posterity from generation to generation as long as the sun and moon shall in their course, continue to illuminate the world. The said Taluq was at the time of the partition of the country valued at 10,000 Canteroy Pagodas, but had, in the last year of *Akshaya Samvatsara,* risen to 14,300 Canteroy Pagodas in consequence of the increasing prosperity and cultivation of these Territories.

Statement.

Kasaba Yelandur and its dependancies, 6 villages			...	2,840—4—10
Ambale, 6 villages ...	...	...	...	1,861—7— 8
Yergambhalli, 9 villages	...	...	...	2,153—5— 2
Yeraur Kestur, 5 villages	...	...	...	2,461—2— 6
Agara, 9 villages, ...	...	...	...	2,443—8— 4
Maddur, 11 villages ...	...	...	...	2,539—2— 2

16/12/36

Total Canteroy Pagodas 14,300, equal to S Pagodas 11,880 of sum of Star Pagodas 1,732—32—68 being expended in the charges of management remains a net balance of 10,147—12—12 which the said Purnaiya high in dignity, and cendants from generation to generation, are hereby directed to appropriate to their own per and proper use, and to use every exertion in their power for the increase of the population a cultivation of the said Taluq by repairing old tanks and digging new ones &c., always retaining possession thereof and of all the advantages which may therefrom arise.

Written on the 28th of the month of *Margisira* of the year *Prabhava Samvatsara*, corresponding with 1729 of the Salivahana Saka and with the 27th of December 1807 of the Christian Era.

Seal and Signature of the Maha Raja Krishna Raja Wadayar.

The Jaghire of Yelandur was given by the Raja of Mysore to Purnaiya Dewan, at the recommendation of the English Government, and in record of the entire acquiescence and appro bation of that State of the reward which has been granted for the faithful and great services o Purnaiya I have (as British Resident at the Court of Mysore) affixed my name and seal to thi Deed.

MYSORE, (Signed) J. MALCOLM,

27*th December* 1807. *Resident.*

Political Department.

To

LIEUTENANT-COLONEL JOHN MALCOLM,

RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 4th Instant, with its enclosures, and to inform you that the Honorable the Governor in-Council entirely approves your proceedings in regard to the Sanad presented to Purnaiya by His Highness the Raja of Mysore.

I have &c.,

(Signed) G. G. KEBLE,

FORT SAINT GEORGE, *Ag. Chief Secretary,*

13*th January* 1808. *to Government.*

Annexure II 16/56.74

To

THE HONORABLE WILLIAM PETRIE, ESQ.,

GOVERNOR-IN-COUNCIL,

Fort Saint George.

SIR,

In a letter which I received from Mr. Secretary Edmonstone, under date the 15th December, the Despatch from the Supreme Government to the Right Honorable Lord William Bentinck of the 11th December, was forwarded for the guidance of my conduct in effecting an arrangement for the grant of the Jaghire to the Dewan Purnaiya. The very delicate nature of this arrangement, and the difficulty of ascertaining the exact amount which would (without an excess of liberality) effect the object, by satisfying the fair ambition and confirming the attachment of the Dewan, has occasioned a much longer delay in the settlement than I could have anticipated. I have, however, the satisfaction to think, that the delay has been attended with advantage, as it enables me to suggest an arrangement, which will, I know fully, satisfy Purnaiya, and which, I am willing to hope, will meet the full approbation of Government.

From the sense expressed by the Honorable the Governor-General in his Despatch of the 11th December, of the extraordinary merits of the Dewan, and of the claims he has established upon the British Government, I am led to believe that the grant of an hereditary Jaghire, yielding a revenue of 10,000 Star Pagodas per annum, would not be considered more than a just reward of his service; and, as far as this State is concerned, that would, I must conclude, be deemed but a trifling alienation of revenue to support, in liberal and permanent comfort, the family of a man who has established as strong claims upon it as it is possible for any individual to do upon a Government.

This Jaghire cannot be drawn into a precedent, as it would not appear possible that any person, in the service of this State, can ever have an opportunity of establishing a claim to a reward in any degree similar to that to which Purnaiya is entitled.

That officer, placed at the creation of this Government, in the possession of all its authority and the charge of its infant Prince, has not only exercised his great power in a manner that has promoted the prosperity, and increased the revenue of the State he ruled, but by his unabating attention to the happiness of the inhabitants of Mysore, and the education of the young Prince, and his undeviating adherence to the principles of the alliance with the English Government, he has merited and received the uniform support of that nature; nor can I call to mind, during the period of eight years that he has governed Mysore, one instance in which his conduct has been censured by those authorities to whose inspection and control he has been, during the whole of that period, immediately subject.

18/12/36

Though the amount of the reward proposed is perhaps considerable
claims of Purnaiya, not large, it appears to me fully sufficient. It will support
long as this Government shall exist) on a footing with its first officers, and the grou
which it is granted (which should, I think, be fully expressed in the Sanad) will confer an h
rable respectability upon the possessors, till the most distant period.

In the communication I have had with the Dewan upon this subject, I have discovere
he would prefer the Taluq of Yelandur, respecting which I send a particular Memorandum fo
the information of Government. If the amount of the grant is approved, there can, I shoul
imagine, be no possible objection to giving this Taluq.

If Government authorize me to proceed in the settlement of this Jaghire, I shall, i
communication with the Dewan, settle the form of the grant in a manner that will establish th
principle stated by the Honorable the Governor-General, that no grant of land above a certai
value, should be hereafter made from the Territories of Mysore, either for life or in hereditar
tenure, without the acquiescence of the Company's Government.

I have, &c.,

(signed) JOHN MALCOLM.

Resident.

MYSORE,
2nd November, 1807.

Particular Memorandum of the Taluq of Yelandur in the Raja's Territory intended fo
Purnaiya's Jaghire.

1799.	Gross Revenue (Saguvali)		15,440—7—10
	This amount was stated to Major Wilks, but a deduction was afterwards made of...		2,240—8— 0
		Balance ...	13,200—7— 0
		Star Pagodas ...	10,969-33— 7
1800.	Gross Revenue		13,298—8—14
	Deduct for various losses by the Rayats		371—4— 0
		Balance ...	12,927—8— 0
		or Stars ...	10,740—0—67
1801.	Gross Revenue		14,167—1—13
	or Stars		11,769-29—49
1802.	Gross Revenue		14,265—9—13
	or Stars		11,851-33—18
1803.	Gross Revenue		14,303—4—13
	or Stars...		11,882-40— 0

1804.	Gross Revenue	14,400—0— 0
	or Stars	11,963—3—37
1805.	Gross Revenue	14,350—0— 0
	or Stars	11,921-24—18
1806.	Gross Revenue, not yet correctly ascertained but may be estimated at	14,300—0— 0
	or Stars	11,880—0— 0

SIX HOLLIES OR DIVISIONS, NAMELY:—

Kasaba of Yelandur,
do Ambale,
do Maddur,
do Yergambhalli and Gumbhalli
do Agara,
do Yorayur and Kestur.

contain altogether 46 villages, 25 large and 21 small, 19 Tanks large and 8 small, 27 total, 2 Anicats from the river Honhole which fill the tanks.

REVENUE ... ... 11,880—0—0

EXPENSES.

	Sultany Pagodas.
Amildar's pay, monthly...	25—0—0
Sheristedar and 1 Deputy	14—0—0
1 Munshi...	1—0—0
1 Sheroff	1—0—0
1 Gollar or Treasurer	0—6—0
6 Shaikdars, one to each Hobli, Collectors of revenue	12—0—0
27 Shanbogues. Accountants of villages, each 5 Fanams	13—5—0
Paper and oil	3—0—0
Masalchi	0—6—0
Tahasildar's collecting Peons 50, with Fanams 6 each	30—0—0
Monthly expenses for 89 People ...	100—7—0
Deval expenses	38—0—0
Viz. Bilikal Hill Rangaswamy	30—0—0
A Deval Yelandur	5—0—0
2 Devals Agara	3—0—0
Total monthly	138—7—0
or Stars	115-10-19
For 12 months is	1,282-32-68
Repairs of Tanks yearly...	350—0—0

evenue,	Expenses	...	
Net remainder	... ...	...	10,147-

This Taluq is about 2 Koss in circumference. There are no waste lands in the Taluq. is not therefore susceptible of improvement. There is jungle on the hills which is thus only part uncultivated.

In the Schedule annexed to the partition treaty it is estimated at Canteroy Pagodas	...	10,000—0—0
or Stars...	...	8,307-43-25 ")

Annexure III
166

ಪ್ರಿವಿಯಂಸ್ ಪ್ರೊಸೀಡಿಂಗ್ಸ್ ಆಫ್ ದಿ ಲೆಜಿಸ್ಲೇಟಿವ್ ಅಸೆಂಬ್ಲಿ ಆಫ್ ಇಂಡಿಯಾ ಸಂಪುಟ VIII, ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 1, ಪುಟ 1 ರಿಂದ 4 ರವರೆಗೆ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾಗಿದೆ.

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಸ್. ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾವ್ ಅವರಿಂದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ.

ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಲಾಗಿದೆ:

ಕಳೆದ ಸೆಪ್ಟೆಂಬರ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಮದ್ರಾಸ್ ಪ್ರಾಂತ್ಯದ ಕೆಲವು ಭಾಗಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಉಂಟಾದ ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದಾಗಿ ಸಂಭವಿಸಿದ ಹಾನಿಯ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ವರೂಪ ಏನು? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಪೀಡಿತರಾದ ಜನರಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಬೆಳೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಮನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಜಾನುವಾರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಮೀನುಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಕುಶಲಕರ್ಮಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಸಣ್ಣ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಸಾರಿಗೆ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಸಂವಹನ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ವಿದ್ಯುತ್ ಸರಬರಾಜು ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ನೀರಾವರಿ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಕುಡಿಯುವ ನೀರಿನ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಆರೋಗ್ಯ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಆಸ್ತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಖಾಸಗಿ ಆಸ್ತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಆಸ್ತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಖಾಸಗಿ ಆಸ್ತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ?

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಸ್. ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾವ್ ಅವರಿಂದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ.

ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಲಾಗಿದೆ:

ಕಳೆದ ಸೆಪ್ಟೆಂಬರ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಮದ್ರಾಸ್ ಪ್ರಾಂತ್ಯದ ಕೆಲವು ಭಾಗಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಉಂಟಾದ ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದಾಗಿ ಸಂಭವಿಸಿದ ಹಾನಿಯ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ವರೂಪ ಏನು? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಪೀಡಿತರಾದ ಜನರಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಬೆಳೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಮನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಜಾನುವಾರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಮೀನುಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಕುಶಲಕರ್ಮಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಸಣ್ಣ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಸಾರಿಗೆ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಸಂವಹನ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ವಿದ್ಯುತ್ ಸರಬರಾಜು ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ನೀರಾವರಿ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಕುಡಿಯುವ ನೀರಿನ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಆರೋಗ್ಯ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಆಸ್ತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಖಾಸಗಿ ಆಸ್ತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಆಸ್ತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ? ಪ್ರವಾಹದಿಂದ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಗಾದ ಖಾಸಗಿ ಆಸ್ತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದೆ?

ಇಂಥವರುಗಳ ಪುಸ್ತಕಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಗಾಡಿಯ ದಿನವೊಂದಾಂಶ್ಚಕ್ಕೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಬಿಟ್ಟರೆ ಅಂಥವರು ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದು ಸುಲಭ. ಇಲ್ಲವಾದರೆ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಲು ಬೇರೆ ಮಾರ್ಗವಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರು ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಹೋಗಬೇಕಾಗುವುದು.

ಈಗ ಇಂಥವರಿಗೆ ಪುಸ್ತಕಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮಾಡಲು ಬೇರೆ ಮಾರ್ಗವಿಲ್ಲದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅವರು ಗಾಡಿಯ ಮೂಲಕ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡುವಂತೆ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡುವ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರು ಸೂಚಿಸಿರುವ ಮಾರ್ಗವನ್ನೇ ಅನುಸರಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಇನ್ನು ಕೈಲಾಸಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಿಬರುವ ಯಾತ್ರಿಕರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡುವ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರು ಸೂಚಿಸಿರುವ ಮಾರ್ಗವನ್ನೇ ಅನುಸರಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಯಾತ್ರಿಕರಿಗೆ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡುವ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರು ಸೂಚಿಸಿರುವ ಮಾರ್ಗವನ್ನೇ ಅನುಸರಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಯಾತ್ರಿಕರಿಗೆ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡುವ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರು ಸೂಚಿಸಿರುವ ಮಾರ್ಗವನ್ನೇ ಅನುಸರಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಅವರಿಂದ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗುವುದು. ಕುಲಾಂಬುಧಿಯ ಶಿರಸ್ತೆದಾರರು ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದಾಗಿ ಅಂಚೆಯಂಗಡಿಯ ಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿಯವರಿಂದ ತಿಳಿದುಬಂದಿದೆ. ಬಹುಶಃ ಯಾತ್ರಿಕರು ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದಲೇ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡುವ ಏರ್ಪಾಡನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು. ಇದರಿಂದ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗಬಹುದೆಂದು ನಾನು ಭಾವಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ವಂದನೆಗಳು

18-12-1936

Annexure IV. 168

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ ಪ್ರಭಾಕರ ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿಯವರ ಮೇಲಿನ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಂಗಳೂರಿನ
ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ ಗೋವಿಂದ: ಪ್ರಭು ಇವರು ಮಾಡಿದ ಸಿವಿಲ್ ದಾವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ
ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದ ಬಿಲ್ಲಿನ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು - ಕಾಲ್ಸ್ ಅಲುಭವಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ.
ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ ೧೫೬ ಕೇಳಲು ಅರ್ಹತೆ ಇದೆ. ——

	ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	
X	೧	ಈ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಸಿಬಿ ಪೊಲೀಸರು ಕೈಗೊಂಡ ತನಿಖೆ ಕಾನೂನು ಬದ್ಧವಾಗಿದೆಯೇ ? ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ? ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ.
✓	೨	ಈ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಆರೋಪಿಯ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕರೆಯಿಸಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ನೀಡಿರುವುದು ಸರಿಯೇ ? ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡಿ.
✓	೩.	ಆರೋಪಿಯ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಯಾಣ ಭತ್ಯೆ ನೀಡಿರುವುದು ಸರಿಯೇ ? ಕಾರಣ ಕೊಡಿ.
✓	೪.	ಕಾಲ್ಸ್ ಕೊಡಿಸಿದ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯು ಯಾವ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಈ ಮೊತ್ತವನ್ನು ನಿಗದಿಪಡಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ ?
✓	೫.	೫೪ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಇರುವುದಾಗಿ ಆರೋಪಿಯ ಪರ ವಕೀಲರು ಕೋರಿದ್ದರು ಎಂದು ಕಾರಣ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಸರಿಯೇ ?
✓	೬.	ಸಮಜಾಯಿಷಿ ಪತ್ರ ಪಡೆದಿರುವುದು ಸರಿಯೇ ? ಕಾರಣ ಕೊಡಿ.
✓	೭.	ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಬಂದ ಪತ್ರ: ಸರಿಯೇ ? ———
✓	೮.	ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಬಂದ ಪತ್ರ: ಸರಿಯೇ ? —
X	೯.	೫೯ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಯಾಣ ಭತ್ಯೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು ಸರಿಯೇ ? ಕಾರಣ ಕೊಡಿ. ———
X	೧೦.	ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಮಂಗಳೂರಿಗೆ ಬಂದ ಪತ್ರ: ಸರಿಯೇ ? ———
X	೧೧.	೬೦ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಯಾಣ ಭತ್ಯೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು ಸರಿಯೇ ? ಕಾರಣ ಕೊಡಿ. ———
X	೧೨.	ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಬಂದ ಪತ್ರ: ಸರಿಯೇ ? ———

ಶ್ರೀ ಪಾಂಡುರಂಗ ಮಾಡಿಕೈ ಇವರು ಮಂಡಿಸಿದ
ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಯ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ ೧೫೬ ಕೇಳಲು ಅರ್ಹತೆ ಇದೆ.
ಕೊಂದಿರುವುದು, ಶಿಕ್ಷೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದು
ಈ ಎರಡು ಹಂತದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದೆ

"ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ"

18/12/36

[illegible] IV Sl. 1.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

" [illegible]

[illegible] 18/12/36

[illegible] IV. Sl. 3.

[illegible]

[illegible] 172

[illegible]

[illegible]

ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಕೊಂಡಜ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬೇಲಿಹೊಂದಿಸಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅನುವು
ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತಿಮ ಪ್ರಾಯಶ್ಚಿತ್ತವಾಗಿ ಸಾಲಿಗ್ರಾಮ ಮಠದ ಪರವಾಗಿ
ಬಾಲಕೃಷ್ಣ ಕಾಲಾತೀತವಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಪಡಿಸಿರುವ ಕಾರಣಗಳಿಂದ ಕಾರಣ
ಅರ್ಜಿಯು ಕಾರ್ಯಸಾಧುವಾಗಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಿ ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಪಡಿಸಿರುವ
ಕೆಲವು ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಂಡಿಸಿರುವ
ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಲಾಗಿದ್ದು ಅಗತ್ಯ ಕ್ರಮಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಈ
ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಆದೇಶಿಸಿದೆ ———

ಈ ಆದೇಶದ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ

ಆಯುಕ್ತರು 23.01.

ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ———
ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು ಉಡುಪಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ

ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು
ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದೆ

18-12-36

ಮೇಲಾಗಿ ತಂದೆ ತಾಯಿಯವರಿಗೆ

ಯಜಮಾನಿಕೆಯ ಕೆಲಸ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ

ಹೆಣ್ಣುಮಕ್ಕಳ ಹಣವನ್ನೂ ಅವರ ಸೌಕರ್ಯವನ್ನೂ ವಿಚಾರಿಸಿ ಕಾಲ್ಪಕ್ಷಪಾತವಿಲ್ಲದೆ
ಪೆಟ್ಟಿಲಾಯಿಸಿ ವ ಸಂಪಾದಿಸಿ ಇವರ ಗಳಿಕೆಯ ಕೈಕಸಕ್ಕೆ ವಿನಿಯೋಗಿಸಿ
ಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಬಗೆ ವಿಚಾರಿಸಿ. —

ಅಕ್ಕತಂಗಿಯರು ಅಣ್ಣತಮ್ಮಂದಿರು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಸಾಲ
ಮಾಡಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವ್ಯಂದ ಇವುಗಳನ್ನು ವಿಚಾರಿಸಿ. ತೀರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ
ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಗಮನಿಸಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು —

ಕೈಲಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿ ಸಂಚಿತವಾದರೆ ಚಿಲ್ಲರೆ ಖರ್ಚಿಗೆ ಸಹ ಬಾಯಿಬಿಡುವಂತಹ
ಬಡತನದಲ್ಲಿ ಹುಟ್ಟಿ ಬೆಳೆದು ಬಂದವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಪತ್ತು ಬಂದಾಗ ಅದನ್ನು
ಸಂಭಾಳಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗುವುದು ಕಷ್ಟ. ಹಣವನ್ನು ಹೇಗೆ ಖರ್ಚು
ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಸಹ ಅವರು ಅರಿತಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇಂಥವರಿಗೆ
ಬಿಡಿಗಾಸು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ಬಂದಾಗ ಅವರು ಅದನ್ನು ಹೇಗೆ ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡಿ
ಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾರೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಗಮನಿಸಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಲಹೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು.
ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಲಾಭ ಬಂದ ಕೂಡಲೆ ಉಬ್ಬಿಹೋಗಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು
ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ. ಹಣವನ್ನು ಹೇಗೆ ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಅವರಿಗೆ
ತಿಳಿಸಿ ಹೇಳಬೇಕು. ಹಣವನ್ನು ಹೇಗೆ ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂಬುದನ್ನು
ಅವರಿಗೆ ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡಬೇಕು. ಹಣವನ್ನು ಹೇಗೆ ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡಬಾರದೆಂಬುದನ್ನು
ಅವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿ ಹೇಳಬೇಕು. ಹಣವನ್ನು ಹೇಗೆ ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡಬೇಕ

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

18/12/36.

176

IV

S1.5

18/12/36

IV. Sl. 6.

177

ನಳ್ಳ ಶೈವಬಳಿಜಿಗರು ರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣ ಮೊಲಾಯವರ ಗುಟ್ಟಪ್ಪನವರಿಂದ ಕೆಲವು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಅವರ ಮೇಲೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಪ್ರತಿ - ಇದನ್ನು ಕೆಲವು ಲಾಲ್ಬಾಗ್ ಸಂ. ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ 1936-1937ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾಗಿದೆ.

---

1. ಶೈವಬಳಿಜ ಚೆಟ್ಟಿಯಾರ್‌ಲು ಮೊದಲಾದವರು ಅಲ್ಲಿಯ ಮೊಲಾಯರಿಂದ ಮಂಜೂರಾಗಿರುವ ಶಿಸ್ತಾಚಾರಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಭವಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವ ಶೈವಬಳಿಜಿಗರಂಗಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ ಮೇಲೆ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು, ಮೊಲಾಯರ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ - ಅಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಣಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳು, ಮೊಲಾಯರ ಉತ್ತರಗಳು, ಮೊದಲಾದವುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೆಳಗೆ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

2 (1.) ಶೈವಬಳಿಜ ಚೆಟ್ಟಿಯಾರ್‌ಲ್ಲಪ್ಪನವರಿಗೆ ಲಾಲ್ಬಾಗಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಣಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಅವುಗಳಿಗೆ ಮೊಲಾಯರು ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಉತ್ತರಗಳು ದಿನಾಂಕ 27-12-1807 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊಸಪೇಟೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಪ್ರತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿವೆ - ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

(2) ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಿಸ್ಟರ್ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

(3.) ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಾಸ್ಪೆಕ್ಟ್ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

(4) ಬ್ರಿಟಿಷರ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ಕರ್ನಲ್ John malcolm ರವರು (The honorable William Petrie, Esq. Governor in Council, Fort-Saul-George) ರವರಿಗೆ, ಲಾಲ್ಬಾಗಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಣಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ವಿಚಾರಣೆಗಳು ನಡೆದ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ 2-11-1807 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು - ಇದನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

3 ಅಪ್ಪನಗೌಡ ಮಡಿವಾಳನ ಸಂ. ಪಾರ್ಟಿಗಳವರ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಂಗ್ರಹ ಲಾಲ್ಬಾಗಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಣಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ 9-10-1868 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಪತ್ರ ನಂ. 382 ರವರಿಗೆ.

4 (1) ರಂಗಸ್ವಾಮಿಯಂಗಾರ್‌ಲ್ಲಪ್ಪನವರಿಂದ ಕೆಲವು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಮೊಲಾಯರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಪತ್ರ 13-4-1857 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಪತ್ರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 11 ನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

(2) ಅಪ್ಪನಗೌಡ ಮಡಿವಾಳನ ಸಂ: ಪಾರ್ಟಿಗಳವರು ಅಪ್ಪನ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಮೊಲಾಯರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ 13-4 1857ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಪತ್ರ ನಂ. 7 ನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

(3) ಲಾಲ್ಬಾಗಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಣಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಮೊಲಾಯರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಪತ್ರ ನಂ. 8 ನ್ನು 7 1858 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

(4) ಲಾಲ್ಬಾಗಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಣಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ಕೆಲವು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು - ಮೊಲಾಯರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಪತ್ರ ನಂ: ಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಜಮೀನುಗಳನ್ನು
ಅಗ್ರಹಾರವೆಂಬ ಗ್ರಾಮವನ್ನಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ
ಆಮಾನಿ 249 ನೇ ಕಂಡಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಕ್ರಯಪತ್ರವು
ಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಂಡಿಸಲಾದ ಪ್ರಕಾರವಾಗಿ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರು ಪ 27-4-1868
ದಿನಾಂಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ: 17 ನೇ ದಿನಾಂಕದಂದು ಪ್ರಮಾಣಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

(6) ಪ್ರಾರಂಭ - ಹೀಗಿರುವಲ್ಲಿ ಅಗ್ರಹಾರಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀವೈಷ್ಣವರಿಗೆ
81 ನೇ ಕಂಡಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಕ್ರಯಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ
ಪ್ರಮಾಣ: ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಮಂಡಿಸಲಾದ ಕ್ರಯಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿರುವಂತೆ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರು ಪ
23-3-1858 ದಿನಾಂಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ: 12 ನೇ ದಿನಾಂಕದಂದು ಪ್ರಮಾಣಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

(7) ಇದೇ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮದ್ದಿಹಳ್ಳಿಯ ಅಗ್ರಹಾರಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀವೈಷ್ಣವರಿಗೆ
67 ನೇ ಕಂಡಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಕ್ರಯಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ: ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ
ಕ್ರಯಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಂಡಿಸಲಾದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ 11-2-1858 ರಲ್ಲಿ
ಪ್ರಮಾಣ: 11 ನೇ ದಿನಾಂಕದಂದು ಪ್ರಮಾಣಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

5.

(1) ಕಾಲಲಿಂಗಶಿವಾಚಾರ್ಯರು ಕಾಶಿಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀವೈಷ್ಣವರಿಗೆ
ಮಾರಿದ ಕ್ರಯಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರು
ಮತ್ತು ಪಟ್ಟಣದ 10 ಜನ ಪ್ರಮುಖರು ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀವೈಷ್ಣವರು
ಶ್ರೀವಲಂಚಿ ಪಿರಿಯಾಳ್ವಾರ್ ಅವರ ಪ್ರಬಂಧವನ್ನು
ಪಠಿಸಿದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕಾಶಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಗ್ರಹಾರಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ಥಾಪಿಸಿದ್ದ ಶ್ರೀವೈಷ್ಣವರು
ಶ್ರೀವಲಂಚಿ ಪಿರಿಯಾಳ್ವಾರ್ ಅವರ ಪ್ರಬಂಧವನ್ನು ಪಠಿಸಿದ್ದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ (1813-14)
ಶ್ರೀವಲಂಚಿ ಪಿರಿಯಾಳ್ವಾರ್ ಅವರ ಪ್ರಬಂಧವನ್ನು ಪಠಿಸಿದ್ದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ
ನೀಡಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

(2) ಶ್ರೀವಲಂಚಿಯಾಳ್ವಾರ್ ಅವರು ಅಗ್ರಹಾರಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ಥಾಪಿಸಿದ್ದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ
ಆಮಾನಿ ಚಂಡಾಲಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ನೀಡಿರುವ (1818-19) ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಾಧಾರ್ಥ ಸಂವರ್ಧಕ ಪಿಲ್ಲಂಡಾ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ
14 ನೇ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ - ಶ್ರೀವಲಂಚಿಯಾಳ್ವಾರ್ ಅವರು (ಪ್ರಸಂಗವನ್ನು
ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟಪಡಿಸಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ನೀಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.)

6

(1) ಅಲಮೇಲಮ್ಮನವರಿಗೆ ಆಮಾನಿ ಚಂಡಾಲಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀವಲಂಚಿ ಪಿರಿಯಾಳ್ವಾರ್
ಅವರು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ನೀಡಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಪಡಿಸಿರುವ
ಪ್ರತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಶರಂಗದ ಬೆಟ್ಟದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಶ್ರೀಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನರಸಿಂಹ
ಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಕೆಲವು ಜಮೀನುಗಳ ಅಳತೆಯ
ಶೇಷ್ಟಾಲುವರಿಗೆ 25 ಕಲಂಬಿಯಷ್ಟು ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಉಚಿತವಾಗಿ
ನೀಡಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಶಾಸನದ ದಿ-4-1854 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ
ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು 101 ನೇ ಕಂಡಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. 2 Sheets.

(2) ತಿರುವಂಬಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಚಾಳಿಗಾಳಿಶರಂಗ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ ಬೆಟ್ಟದಲ್ಲಿ
ಪೇಕಾಣಿಗೆ ಶಂಡಿಸಲು 2 ಆಳಿಗಳಷ್ಟು ಪೇಕಾಣಿ ಇರುವುದಾಗಿ
ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯವರು ಟಿಪ್ಪಣಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರು 70 ವರ್ಷದವರು
ಆಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಪೇಕಾಣಿಯನ್ನು ಪರೀಕ್ಷಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ಪೇಕಾಣಿಯನ್ನು
ತಿರುಮಲಂಬ ಕೈಂಕರ್ಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ 16-10-1855 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ
ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು 115 ನೇ ಕಂಡಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

(3.) ಹಿರಿಯಂಡಹಳ್ಳಿಯಿಂದ 39 ನೇ ಪಿಚ್ಚಿಸಿಂಬಳಿಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಶ್ರೀವೈಷ್ಣವ
ಬಂಧುಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಾಂಬಳ ಸಾಗಿಸುವವರು ಚಾಳಿಗಾಳಿಶರಂಗ ಬೆಟ್ಟದಲ್ಲಿ
ಪೇಕಾಣಿಗೆ ಗಾಳಿ ಅಪಹರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಶ್ರೀವಲಂಚ 23ನೇ
ಪಿಚ್ಚಿಯಿಂದ ದಕ್ಷಿಣಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಗುವವರೆಗೆ ಸಾಂಬಳವನ್ನು ಹೊತ್ತು
30-7-1856 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

(4) ಅಪ್ಪೈಗ್ರಾಂ ಮಡಿಕಾಬಳಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಪಾಂಡೇಶ್ವರ ಕಲೆಕ್ಟರ್ ಆಫೀಸಿಂಲಾಗಲೀ ಮಂಗಳೂರು ಆಫೀಸಿಂಲಾಗಲೀ ಬರೆಸ್ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಮಡಿಕಾಬಳಿ ಅಂಚೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಳಿಸಿಕೊಡುವ ಪತ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಪತ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ 2 ಕಿಶಶಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಎರಡು ಪೈಕಾರಿ ಮಂಡಿಸ್ಕೊಳ್ಳಲು 15/- ರೂಪಾಯಿಯೂ ಚಾಲ್ತಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸರ್ಕಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದೆಂದು ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಿದೆ. ಕಾಲ್ಮೆಲೆಕ್ಕಿನ ಪ್ರಸಂಗಕ್ಕೆ ಕಿಶಶಗಳಿಗೆ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಿದ ಶಲವ 20-2-1864 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯ ಮುಂಚೆ 62 ಕೆಂಪಾಯಿ ಚಿಕ್ಕದಿಂತಿದೆ ——

(5.) ಅಪ್ಪೈಗ್ರಾಂ ಮಡಿಕಾಬಳಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಪಾಂಡೇಶ್ವರ ಆಫೀಸಿನಿಂದ ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಮಂಗಳೂರು ಆಫೀಸಿಂಲಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಲಿಲ್ಲಂಬ್ಲೆ ಬಲು ಕಾಲ ಕಳೆದುಹೋಗುವುದರಿಂದ 15-7-1866 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯ ಮೇಲಣ 174ನೇ 189 ನೇ ಕೆಂಪಾಯಿ ಅಪ್ಪೈಗ್ರಾಂಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ರಂಗದ ಚಟ್ಟಿಪ್ಪೈಕೆಲ್ಲೆ ಪೈಕಾರಿ ಮಾಡಿಸ್ಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಿದ್ದು - ಮೇಲಣ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಪಾಂಡೇಶ್ವರ ಆಫೀಸಿನವರು ಗುತ್ತಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಪತ್ರಿಕಾ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಿಯೆ ಪ್ರಿಲಿಮಿನರಿ ಪೈಕಾರಿಯೂ ಆಗಿರುವುದಾಂ ಪಾಂಡೇಶ್ವರ ಮಂಡಲಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿ ಬರೆದ ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆದಂತೆ ಅಪ್ಪೈಗ್ರಾಂಗಳಿಗೆ 35/- ರೂಪಾಯಿಯಾದುದಾಂ ಕಾಣಿಕೆಯೂ, ಇಷ್ಟಲ್ಲದೆ ಪತ್ರವು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಕೆಲವು ಪತ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ - ಆಫೀಸಿಂಲಾಗಿಲ್ಲವು ಮಾಡಿಸಿದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಡಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದಾಂ ಹೇಳಿಲಾಗಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಆಗ್ಗೆಯೂ - ಆತನಕ್ಕೆ ಕೆಳಗಿಂಡಿಲಿಗಳನ್ನು ಶಲವು ಪಾಂಪಿಲಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿದ್ದಾಂ. ——

(6.) ಪಾಳ್ಗಾಣಿಕೆಗಾಗಿ ಬೆಳ್ಳಿಪ್ಪೈಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಪತ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ ಪೈಕಾರಿ ಮಾಡಿಸಿದ ತೆಳ್ಳಿಕ್ಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿಂಲ್ಲಿ ಪೇಲಿತಂಡಿಕೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿಕ್ಕೆ 20/- ರೂಪಾಯಿಯೂ ಇದೆಂದು ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಿದ್ದು ಶಲವ ಪಾಂಡಿ ಗ್ರಾಂಥಲಿಪಿಕೆಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಪತ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ ಪೈಕಾರಿ ಮಾಡಿಸಿದ ಪತ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಆಫೀಸಿಂಲಿಗೆ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಿದ್ದು ಶಲವ 24-12-1867 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯ ಮುಂಚೆ 273 ನೇ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಪ್ರಿಮಿಯಂ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿ ——

(7.) ಪೈಕಾರಿ ಮಾಡಿಸಿದ ಪತ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ 20/- ರೂಪಾಯಿಯೂ ಕಾಲ್ಮೆಲೆಕ್ಕಿನ ಪ್ರಸಂಗಕ್ಕೆ ಕಿಶಶಗಳಿಗೆ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಿದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮಂಗಳೂರು ಆಫೀಸಿಂಲಿಗೆ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಮಂಗಳೂರು ಆಫೀಸಿಂಲಿಗೆ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಿದ್ದು ಶಲವ 2-1-1868 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯ ಮುಂಚೆ ಪು-332 ನೇ ಕೆಂಪಾಯಿ ಪ್ರಿಮಿಯಂ ಮಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಅಪ್ಪೈಗ್ರಾಂ ಮಂಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಿದ್ದು ಶಲವ ಆಫೀಸಿಂಲಾಗಿ ಮುಟ್ಟಿ 601 ನೇ ಕೆಂಪಾಯಿ 18-1-68 ಪ್ರಿಮಿಯಂ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಿದ್ದಾಂ ——

(8.) ಪಾಳ್ಗಾಣಿಕೆಗಾಗಿ ಬೆಳ್ಳಿಪ್ಪೈಕೆ ಪ್ರಕಾರದ ಪತ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಂಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಪೈಕಾರಿ: ಪೈಕಾರಿ ಮಾಡಿಸಿದ ಪತ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಆಫೀಸಿಂಲಾಗಲೀ ಪೈಕಾರಿ ಮಾಡಿಸಿದ ಪತ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಪತ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಆಫೀಸಿಂಲಾಗಲೀ ಪೈಕಾರಿ ಮಾಡಿಸಿದ ಪತ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಆಫೀಸಿಂಲಿಗೆ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಿದ್ದು ಶಲವ 22-4-1868 ನೇ ಮುಂಚೆ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮಂಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಿದ್ದಾಂ. ——

7.

ಅಂಚೆಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿಂಲಿಗೆ ಪತ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ ಬೆಳ್ಳಿಪ್ಪೈಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪೈಕಾರಿ ಮಾಡಿಸಿದ ಪತ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ 10 ಪ್ರಸಂಗಿ ಪಾಂಡೇಶ್ವರ ಆಫೀಸಿಂಲಿಗೆ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಿದ್ದು ಶಲವ ಮಂಗಳೂರು ಆಫೀಸಿಂಲಿಗೆ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಿದ್ದು ಶಲವ 28-7-1868 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯ ಮುಂಚೆ 233 ನೇ ಕೆಂಪಾಯಿ ಪ್ರಿಮಿಯಂ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿ ——

V. 163 SI 1.

1813-14

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಆಯುಕ್ತರವರಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ — ಪೌರಾಯುಕ್ತರ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಚುನಾವಣೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಮೀಸಲಾತಿಯನ್ನು ನಿಗದಿಪಡಿಸಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ ಹೊರಡಿಸಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ ಹೊರಡಿಸಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಮೀಸಲಾತಿಯನ್ನು ನಿಗದಿಪಡಿಸಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿತ ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿರುವಂತೆ ಮೀಸಲಾತಿಯನ್ನು ನಿಗದಿಪಡಿಸಿರುವ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆಯನ್ನು ಹಾಗೂ ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ನಿಗದಿಪಡಿಸಿರುವ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆಯನ್ನು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಆಜ್ಞೆಯಂತೆ

18-12-36.

1818-19. 164

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಸೆಲಲಭೂಮಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಕ್ಷಲಲಾಂಶ ಪಂಗಡ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಲಿಯವರೆಗೆ
ಗಳಿಸಿಲಾಂಶಗಳು ದೊರಕುತ್ತವೆ. — ಲಲಂಬಾಂಶವನ್ನು ಗಣಕ ಯಂತ್ರ
ಲಲಂಬೆಲ್ಲಾ ತಿಂಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸಲಾಯಿತು. ಕೆಲವಲ್ಲಿಯಾದ ಗಳಿಸಲು ದೊರಕಿತು
ಲಲಂತರಾಷ್ಟ್ರೀಯ ಸ್ಥಾನ ಪಲಿವರ್ತನೆಯಾದ ಮೇಲೆ ಗಂಡಾಂತರವಿಲ್ಲದೆ
ಪಲಿಂಚಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ಗಂಡಾಂತರವಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಕಳಿತಿಲ ಶೈಲಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಹಿಸುತ್ತವೆ.
ಇಲಿಯಂತಹ ಗಣಿಕಾರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಕಳಿಸಲಾಗಿ ಬಳಿಸಲಾಗಿ ಲಲಂತರಾಷ್ಟ್ರೀಯ
ಗಂಡಾಂತರವನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸಿದ ಪಲಿಣಾಮವು ಗಂಭೀರವಾಗಿ ಪರಿಣಮಿಸಿತು
ಲಾಗಲೂ ಗಂಡಾಂತರವಾಗಿಲಿಲ್ಲ ಪಲಿಣಾಮವಾಗಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಪಲಿಣಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ
ಯಾವುದೇ ಹಿಂದೆ ಆಳವಾಗಿ ಕೆಳಮಟ್ಟಕ್ಕೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಅಡ್ಡಿಯಿಲ್ಲದೆ
ಗಳಿಸಲಿಲಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಥಿಲವಾಗಿ ಲಲಂತರ ಶಾಖೆಯಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೆ
ಪಲಿಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಗ.ಸ್ಥ ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಾಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ತಲುಪಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಗಳಿಲಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೆ

ಇ ಸಹಿ"

18/12/36.

VI Sl. 1.

1465

ಶ್ರೀ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನೃಸಿಂಹ ಪ್ರಸನ್ನ [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] ೧೦೧

[illegible]

ಸಾಲದ ಶೂಲಕ್ಕೆ ಸಿಲುಕಿ ಹೆಂಡತಿ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಕಳೆದುಕೊಂಡ ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ ಕಡೆಗೆ ಬದುಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಜಿಗುಪ್ಸೆಗೊಂಡು ಆತ್ಮಹತ್ಯೆಗೆ ಶರಣಾದ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಕೇಳಿ ಊರಿನವರೆಲ್ಲ ಮರುಗಿದರು. ಅವನ ಅಂತ್ಯಕ್ರಿಯೆಯ ಖರ್ಚಿಗೆ ಹಣ ಹೊಂದಿಸಲು ಅವನ ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾರಿದರೂ ಸಾಲಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಲು ಹಣ ಸಾಲದೆ ಹೋಯಿತು. ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪನ ಸಾಲಗಾರರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬನಾದ ಸಾಹುಕಾರ ಬಸಪ್ಪ ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪನ ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಉಳಿದಿದ್ದ ಒಂದು ಎತ್ತು ಮತ್ತು ಒಂದು ಎಮ್ಮೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊಡೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಲು ಬಂದಾಗ ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪನ ಮಗ ಚಿಕ್ಕ ಸಿದ್ದನು ಅಳುತ್ತಾ ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬಾರದೆಂದು ಬೇಡಿಕೊಂಡನು. ಆದರೆ ಬಸಪ್ಪ ಅವನ ಮಾತಿಗೆ ಕಿವಿಗೊಡದೆ ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಹೊಡೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಿ ಮಾರಿ ಬಂದನು. ಚಿಕ್ಕ ಸಿದ್ದನು ಅಂದಿನಿಂದ ಬಸಪ್ಪನ ಮೇಲೆ ಸೇಡು ತೀರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅವಕಾಶಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಕಾಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದನು.

ಒಂದು ದಿನ ಬಸಪ್ಪನ ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮದುವೆಯ ಸಂಭ್ರಮ. ಬಸಪ್ಪನ ಮಗಳ ಮದುವೆಯ ದಿನ ಹತ್ತಿರ ಬಂದಂತೆ ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಭ್ರಮ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಮದುವೆಯ ಹಿಂದಿನ ದಿನ ಬಸಪ್ಪನ ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಡುಗೆಯವರು ಅಡುಗೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದರು. ಅಡುಗೆಯವರಿಗೆ ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡಲು ಬಸಪ್ಪನ ಮಗ ಮಂಜುನಾಥ ಅಡುಗೆ ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಓಡಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದನು. ಅಡುಗೆಯವರು ಅಡುಗೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಬಳಸಿದ ಪಾತ್ರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಮಂಜುನಾಥ ಹೊರಗೆ ತೊಳೆಯಲು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದನು. ಅಡುಗೆಯವರು ಅಡುಗೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಬಳಸಿದ ಪಾತ್ರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಮಂಜುನಾಥ ಹೊರಗೆ ತೊಳೆಯಲು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದನು. ಅಡುಗೆಯವರು ಅಡುಗೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಬಳಸಿದ ಪಾತ್ರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಮಂಜುನಾಥ ಹೊರಗೆ ತೊಳೆಯಲು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದನು.

ಮಂಜುನಾಥ ಪಾತ್ರೆಗಳನ್ನು ತೊಳೆಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾಗ ಚಿಕ್ಕ ಸಿದ್ದನು ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಬಂದು ಮಂಜುನಾಥನ ಜೊತೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಲು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಿದನು. ಮಂಜುನಾಥ ಚಿಕ್ಕ ಸಿದ್ದನಿಗೆ ಮದುವೆಯ ಸಂಭ್ರಮದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದನು. ಚಿಕ್ಕ ಸಿದ್ದನು ಮಂಜುನಾಥನಿಗೆ ಮದುವೆಯ ಸಂಭ್ರಮದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದನು. ಚಿಕ್ಕ ಸಿದ್ದನು ಮಂಜುನಾಥನಿಗೆ ಮದುವೆಯ ಸಂಭ್ರಮದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದನು.

ಮಂಜುನಾಥ ಪಾತ್ರೆಗಳನ್ನು ತೊಳೆಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾಗ ಚಿಕ್ಕ ಸಿದ್ದನು ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಬಂದು ಮಂಜುನಾಥನ ಜೊತೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಲು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಿದನು. ಮಂಜುನಾಥ ಚಿಕ್ಕ ಸಿದ್ದನಿಗೆ ಮದುವೆಯ ಸಂಭ್ರಮದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದನು. ಚಿಕ್ಕ ಸಿದ್ದನು ಮಂಜುನಾಥನಿಗೆ ಮದುವೆಯ ಸಂಭ್ರಮದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದನು. ಚಿಕ್ಕ ಸಿದ್ದನು ಮಂಜುನಾಥನಿಗೆ ಮದುವೆಯ ಸಂಭ್ರಮದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದನು.

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

18-12-1936

VI. Sl. 2.

[illegible]

[illegible] 1.466

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

18 12 36

VI 5|3.

1464

ಶ್ರೀ ಶಾಂಬೆಗೌಡರು ಮಹಜರ್ ದಲ್ಲಿಂದ್ದಿಂದ್ದಂತೆ ಬರೆಯಿಸಿದ್ದು
ಬರೆಯಿಸಿದ್ದಾಗಿ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದರು.

ಸಿದ್ದಿಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪಂದಿರ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪೆಟ್ಟಿಬ್ಬಗಳಕಲ್ಲಿನಾಡಿಗಳು ಮುಂದುವರಿಯುತ್ತಾಂತಾಂಶ. — ನಾವು ಮತ್ತೆ ದಪ್ಪ ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿ ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರು ಬೆರಕಿನಾಡಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿ- ಆಗ ಅಂತಿಷ್ಟಿನ್ನ ಸುಮಾರು ೧೦ ಕೆ.ಜಿ ತೂಕದ ಬಿದ್ದ- ಸಿಲಿಗೆಗಾಂದು ಬಿಳಿಸಿಲಿರಂಗದ ಇಳೆಚಿದ ಮಲಿಲಿಗಂದಿವ್ಯದ ವಲಿಗೆಲುಬನ್ನು ಕಿಲಕಾಲಿ ಮಾಡಿಬೇಕೆಂಗ ಯೋಚಿಸಿ- ನೆಲುರ್ಲು ಎನ್ನಿಲಾಯಿತು, ತೆಲಿಯಿಗೆ ತೆಳಿಗಿನ ಪದೇಚಿಂದರೆ- ತೆಲಿಯ ದಪ್ಪ ಮೂಳೆದಯವುಂಟಿ- ನಾವು ತೆಲಿಯ ಬೆರಕಿನಾಡಿದಪ್ಪಿ- ನೆಲುರ್ಲು ಎವರಿಗೂ- ನೀರಜ್ಞಾಂಲು ತೀಪ್ಪಯಿಂದಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಕೆಲಿಯಿ ತೀಪ್ಪಲುಬಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ನಿಂಧ್ಯ ವಿಂದಳಿಪ್ಪ ಕಿಲಿಯಲಿಪ್ಪ ಮುಂಡೆ ಬೇಡಿಯಂ. ನಾವು ತೆಲಿಯ ಬೆರಕಿನಾಡಿಗಳಿಂದ ತೆಲಿಯ ತೆಲಿಯ ಭಾಗದ ಮೂಳೆಯನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದು ಮೂಳೆಗಳಿಂದ ತೆಲಿಯ ಭಾಗಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದು ಮೂಳೆಗಳನ್ನು ನಾವು ಪಿತೂರಿ ಪುದಿಸಿಲ್ಲಿ ಬಿಳಿಸಿಲಿರಂಗದ ಇಳೆಚಿಕ್ಕೆ ಗಾಳಿ ಎನ್ನುಲಾಯಿತು ನಿಕಲ ತೀಪ್ಪಲುಬಲ್ಲಿಲು ತೆಲಿಯ ಗಿಂಡಲು ಆಯಿಷ್ಟೇ ತೆಲಿಯಾಲುಬುತೆ. ಮುಂದಿ ಮಲುಂಬಿದೆಯೆ ಎಂದಿನಿ ತೆಲಿಯಿಲ್ಲಿಂಬ್ರೆ ಕ್ಷಮಿಂಚಬ್ಬಲುಬೇಕೆ:

ತೆಲಿ: ೨೦ ದೆಸೆಂಬರ್ ಹದಿನೆಟ್ಟಿ —
ಗುರುವಾರ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ಮಿಲಿಟರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ }

ಸಹಿ/- W. Barclay, Captain
39th Regt.

ಇದಕ್ಕೆಲುಬು

18-12-36

VI. Sl. 4.

190

VI. Sl. 5.

191

Sd/ J. Vijaya Raghavalu Naidu.

Sd/ J.W.A. Calder

18.12.36

VI. Sl.7

ಕಲಬುರಗಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಕಚೇರಿ 193

ಆ.ಪ್ರ: ೨೭.

ಅಲಂಪುರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಲ್ಪಡ್ಡಿಯಿಂದಾಗಿ

ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಶಾಲುಪ್ಪಿನ ಸಗಟು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರಿಗೆ ಆಗುತ್ತಿರುವ ತೊಂದರೆಯನ್ನು
ತಪ್ಪಿಸುವ ಸಲುವಾಗಿ - ಪಟ್ಟಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಿದೆ. ———

ಕಾಲ್ಪಡ್ಡಿ ಪಟ್ಟಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಲೆಯಿಂದಿಗೆ ಚಿಲ್ಲರೆ ವರ್ತಕರಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಮಾರಲು
ಹೊಸ ಪಟ್ಟಣದ ತಹಸೀಲ್ದಾರರಿಗೆ ಪತ್ರ ಬರೆದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರಿಂದ
ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಗಸ್ತು ವಿಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಧಿಕೃತವಾಗಿರುವ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಕಾನ್ಸ್‌ಟೇಬಲ್‌ರು
೨೦ ಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಚೀಲಗಳನ್ನು ಸಾಗಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವ ಎತ್ತಿನ ಬಂಡಿಗಳನ್ನು
ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಪರವಾನಗಿಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಅಧಿಕೃತವಾಗಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆ
ಮಾಡಲು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದು ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಪ್ರತಿ ಎತ್ತಿನ ಬಂಡಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ಅಣೆ ಪಟ್ಟಿ
ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಕಾನ್ಸ್‌ಟೇಬಲ್‌ರು ಪಡೆಯಲು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದು ಅಲ್ಲದೆ
ಅಂತಹ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿ ತಿಂಗಳು ಮೊದಲನೆಯ ತಾರೀಖಿನಂದು ಕಛೇರಿಗೆ
ಕಳುಹಿಸಲು ಸೂಚನೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ———

ಆಂ: ಲಂಡಾಪ್ಟ್.
R.V. ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಪೆಕ್ಟರ್‌ಗಳಿಗೆ.

Signed/- A. Elliot,
Supt. Am. Dn

"ಪ್ರತಿ"
Sd/- A. Elliot,
Supt. Am. Dn.

ಪಟ್ಟಿ: ಕೆಳಗಿನಂತೆ
೨೦೧

ಅಲಂಪುರ ಪಟ್ಟಣ

ಈ ಪಟ್ಟಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಗಟು ವ್ಯಾಪಾರ ಮಾಡುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವರ್ತಕರುಗಳು ಈ
ಕೆಳಗಿನ ವಿವರಗಳುಳ್ಳ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿ ತಿಂಗಳು ೧ನೆಯ ತಾರೀಖಿನಂದು ಕಛೇರಿಗೆ
ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

Sd/- ಅಂಪಲಿಯ್ಯರ್
ಸ್ಟೇಷನ್.

೨೪-೧-1868
ಅಂಪಲಿಯ್ಯರ್
R.V.I ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಪೆಕ್ಟರ್‌ರವರಿಗೆ
ಅಲಂಪುರ ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಸ್ಟೇಷನ್‌ಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

"ಪ್ರತಿ"

18-12-36

VI. Sl. 8.

48

Subdt. Amt Dm.

28-4-1868

VII

145

1221

ಸುಬ್ಬಾರಾಯನ ಅಳಿಯನಿಗೂ ಅವಳ ತಂಗಿಯ ಮಗಳಿಗೂ ಮದುವೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ ಎಂದು -
ಅವಳು ಹೇಳಿದಳಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸಾಲಿಗ್ರಾಮ ಯಜಮಾನರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿ -
ಮಾಡಿಸಿದ್ದಾಯಿತು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆವು.

ಆಮೇಲೆ ಅವಳು ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಹೊತ್ತು ಕುಳಿತು ಮಾತನಾಡಿ ಹೊರಟು ಹೋದಳು.
ಅವಳು ಹೋದ ಮೇಲೆ ಸಾಲಿಗ್ರಾಮ ಯಜಮಾನರು ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಮಕ್ಕಳು
ಮೊಮ್ಮಕ್ಕಳು ಮತ್ತು ಇತರ ಬಂಧುಗಳು ಇಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಬಂದು ಸೇರಿದರು.
ಅವರೆಲ್ಲರೂ ಸೇರಿ ಒಂದು ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ಏರ್ಪಡಿಸಿ ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಬ್ಬಾರಾಯನ
ಮಗಳಿಗೂ ಅಳಿಯನಿಗೂ ಮತ್ತೊಮ್ಮೆ ಮದುವೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿದರು.

ಮದುವೆಯಾಗಿ ಸುಮಾರು ಹತ್ತು ವರ್ಷಗಳಾದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮಕ್ಕಳಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ.
ಆಗ ಅವರು ಒಂದು ಮಗುವನ್ನು ದತ್ತು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡರು. ಆ ಮಗುವಿಗೆ
ಸುಮಾರು ಒಂದು ವರ್ಷ ವಯಸ್ಸಾಗಿದ್ದಾಗ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮಕ್ಕಳಾದವು.
ಅವರಿಗೆ ಒಟ್ಟು ಮೂರು ಮಕ್ಕಳಾದವು. ಅವರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬಳು ಹೆಣ್ಣು ಮಗಳು.
ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಮದುವೆಯಾಗಿ ಮಕ್ಕಳಾಗಿವೆ. ಅವಳ ಗಂಡ ಸಾಲಿಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ
ಪ್ರಾಥಮಿಕ ಶಾಲೆಯೊಂದರಲ್ಲಿ ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯರಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರ ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ
ಒಬ್ಬಳು ಹೆಣ್ಣು ಮಗಳು ಮಾತ್ರ ಮದುವೆಯಾಗಿದ್ದಾಳೆ. ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಮಕ್ಕಳಾಗಿಲ್ಲ.
ಅವಳ ಗಂಡ ಸಾಲಿಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ.
ಅವರ ಮಗ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಓದುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾನೆ. ಅವನು ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರಿಂಗ್
ಕಲಿಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾನೆ. ಅವನಿಗೆ ಮದುವೆಯಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವನ ಹೆಂಡತಿ ಮಕ್ಕಳು
ಸಾಲಿಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವನ ಹೆಂಡತಿ ಸಾಲಿಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು
ಪ್ರಾಥಮಿಕ ಶಾಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯಿನಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದಾಳೆ. ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಇಬ್ಬರು
ಗಂಡು ಮಕ್ಕಳು. ಅವರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬ ಚಿಕ್ಕವನು ಇನ್ನೂ ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೋಗುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ.
ಅವನಿಗೆ ಐದು ವರ್ಷ ವಯಸ್ಸು. ಅವನು ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆಡಿಕೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತಾನೆ.
ಅವನಿಗೆ ಅಕ್ಷರಾಭ್ಯಾಸ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವನ ಅಣ್ಣ ಸಾಲಿಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ
ಒಂದನೆಯ ತರಗತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಓದುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾನೆ. ಅವನಿಗೆ ಏಳು ವರ್ಷ ವಯಸ್ಸು.
ಅವನು ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ಓದುತ್ತಾನೆ. ಅವನು ಮುಂದೆ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ಓದಿ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರ್
ಆಗಬೇಕೆಂದು ಅವನ ತಂದೆ ತಾಯಿಗಳ ಆಸೆ. ಅವನು ಸಹ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರ್
ಆಗಬೇಕೆಂದು ಆಸೆ ಪಡುತ್ತಾನೆ. ಅವನ ಅಜ್ಜ ಅಜ್ಜಿಯರು ಅವನಿಗೆ
ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ಓದಿಸಿ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರ್ ಮಾಡಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹಂಬಲಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ.
ಅವನು ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ಓದಿ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರ್ ಆಗಿ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಕೆಲಸ ಗಳಿಸಿ
ಹಣ ಸಂಪಾದಿಸಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸುಖ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಅವನ ಆಸೆ.

ಸುಬ್ಬಾರಾಯನ ಮಗಳು ಅಳಿಯ ಮೊಮ್ಮಕ್ಕಳು ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಬಂಧುಗಳು
ಸಾಲಿಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಖವಾಗಿ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರಿಗೆ ಯಾವ ಕಷ್ಟವೂ ಇಲ್ಲ.
ಅವರೆಲ್ಲರೂ ಸುಖವಾಗಿ ಇರಲಿ ಎಂದು ಹಾರೈಸೋಣ.

ಸಾಲಿಗ್ರಾಮದ ಯಜಮಾನರು ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಮಕ್ಕಳು ಮೊಮ್ಮಕ್ಕಳು ಮತ್ತು
ಬಂಧುಗಳು ಸಹ ಸುಖವಾಗಿ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರಿಗೆ ಯಾವ ಕಷ್ಟವೂ ಇಲ್ಲ.
ಅವರೆಲ್ಲರೂ ಸುಖವಾಗಿ ಇರಲಿ ಎಂದು ಹಾರೈಸೋಣ.

R-V. ಅಂದಿದ್ದೆ. ಈಗ ಅವರು ಇಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಬಂದು ಇನ್ನೆಲ್ಲೂ ಹೋಗಿಲ್ಲ.

"ದಶಲು"

18/12/36

VIII 1-2 196

"May it please Your Highness,

One of the cow-elephants belonging to my Jaghir was captured in August 1902 by the State authorities, and is now pending disposal. But for her escape from the Jaghir into the State pound her capture could not have been a possibility. I desire to submit to Your Highness that I do not stand in the way of Your Highness' disposal of the animal for charitable purposes; but I beg the liberty of adding that I shall want all claim over her in case Your Highness graciously decides that she be given away to the temple of Sri Venkataramana Swamy at Tirupathy, with reference to which, a traditional vein of generosity has been running in my family.

No apology to be tendered for this intrusion.".

Copy of letter No. 4148 dated the 3rd. July 1903 from the District Magistrate's office, Mysore.

"I have the honour to state that from the inquest reports on the death of one Chikkank Poria dead on the 30th ultimo, in the forest near the Billigiri Rangan Hills, it is stated that the deceased was killed by a rogue elephant in question and is in jungle It is stated that there are about 100 elephants roaming about the jungle. I request that you will kindly take steps to have the rogue elephant destroyed, as it would otherwise be positively dangerous to the lives of the passers by.

Copy of letter No. J.3 dated the 18th April 1903 to the Collector of Vizagapatam.

"Copies of advertisement regarding the sale of elephant Rama Chandra with letter to the Collector to whom papers in the case were forwarded for further, Yelamanchili Subbarayudu may be duly published and also to allow inspection of the elephant by any intending purchasers or application to him."

18/12/36

10 copies

197

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read--

1. G.O.No.785-7/Muz.288-24-17 date 17th October 1929, approving of the proposals of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, regarding the management of the affairs of the Sri Biligiri Rangaswami Temple in the Yelandur Jahgir, and calling for a report of the result of action taken thereon.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No.C.774/28-29 dated 31st July 1933, from the Muzrai Commissioner, submitting a report regarding the revenue from the Forest on the Biligiri Rangan Hills and also proposals for th future management of the Sri Biligiri Rangaswami Temple.

3. Correspondence ending with letter dated 18th December 1936 from t Jahgirdar of Yelandur expressing his views on the subject.

O R D E R No. 516 to /Muz.288(24)

Dated, Bangalore, 2.10.37

Government have had under consideration for some time, two questions connected with the affairs of the Sri Biligiri Rangaswami Temple in Yelandur

Jahgir viz., (1) whether the temple is entitled to the income from the forest on the Biligiri Rangaswami Hills and (ii) the measures to be taken for the efficient management of the affairs of the temple.

2. It appears from previous records in the matter, that the Forest on the Hills belongs to the Temple. A nirup was granted by Dewan Purnaiya in 1799-1800 by which the temple was endowed with the villages of Gowdahalli and Soligarahalli, the latter indicating the area of the Hills which was inhabited by Soligars. The value of the Jahgir in the sanad conferring the Yelandur Taluk as Jahgir to Dewan Purnaiya in December 1807 seems to have been fixed exclusive of these Inam villages endowed to the Temple. In the Jamabandi records of later years, the villages of Gowdahalli and Betta were treated as Sarva Inam of Sri Biligiri Rangaswami and in the Survey Settlement which was introduced into the Yelandur Taluk during 1896, the Biligiri Rangan Hills were treated as Sarvamanya to the Temple. It would appear that the income from the Forest-produce, including timber was being credited to the temple funds

till about 1870, the cost of establishment being debited to the Temple. This seems to have been discontinued after the assumption of the management of the Jahgir by Sir (then Mr) P.N.Krishnamurth about the year 1870.

3. It is thus clear that the Biligiri Rangan Hills have all along been an Inam endowed to the Sri Biligiri Rangaswami Temple on the Hills and did not form part of the Jahgir granted to Dewan Purnaiya and that the income from the forest has been enjoyed by the Jahgirdar only latterly. In consideration of these circumstances, Government are pleased to direct that the income from the Biligiri Rangan forest which was specially endowed for the temple should first be utilised for expenditure necessary for the proper performance of Pooja in, and maintenance of, the temple and that the surplus left after the needs of the temple are met, may be ~~allowed to be enjoyed~~ by the Jahgirdar.

4. The present Jahgirdar of Yelandur who was ~~given an opportunity~~ asked to express his views in the matter has urged ~~stating his reasons~~ that the grant to the temple did not include the Forest revenue of the hills ~~and that~~ the Jahgir ~~grant included~~ it and that it was enjoyed by

the ~~Jahgirdar~~ for many years. The reasons stated have all been fully examined before coming to the conclusion stated in the previous paragraph. It is in fact, in consideration of the ~~fact~~, that the Jahgirdar took the forest revenue of the hills himself for many years that the portion of the revenue not absolutely required for the Temple is now left to be ~~utilized~~ by him. This disposes of the first question.

5. As regards the second question viz., proper management of the temple, the Muzrai Commissioner reports that there have been complaints from time to time that the temple affairs are not being managed satisfactorily. The temple is reported to be in a neglected condition and in need of repairs. Urgent action seems to be called for, to improve the Hill road and the steps, and also to revise the scale of expenditure in the temple.

6. The income of the Institution under the several heads such as Land, Forest, Sevartha fees, Excise &c., is estimated at Rs.11,744/- per annum (Vide Appendix I). The income from the forest is taken as Rs.5,000/- in the statement, but it is likely to be more than this amount for some years. The Muzrai Commissioner has proposed a scale of expenditure which is equal to the estimated income including charges for Temple establishment, Forest Establishment,

Repairs and Improvements and Reserve. Government consider that the scale of expenditure proposed is susceptible of reduction and direct that it may be fixed at Rs.10,344-6-0 per annum as shown hereunder:--

	Per annum.		
1. Establishment (Appendix II).	3,114	0	0
2. Hetchukatle including cooly charges (Appendix III)	672	0	0
3. Nithyakatle (Appendix IV)	924	0	0
4. Cooly for carrying rice &c., other articles to the Hills.	200	0	0
5. Repairs and improvements.	1,500	0	0
6. Contribution to Muzrai Establishment Fund at 5%.	500	0	0
7. Assessment and Cesses on lands.	365	8	0
8. Potgi to Gowdahalli village officers.	115	12	0
9. Miras to temple servants.	800	0	0
10. Contingencies and other unforeseen expenditure.	317	2	0
11. Forest Establishment (Appendix V)	1,836	0	0
Total. Rs.	10,344	6	0

The provision of Rs.500/- for "reserve" proposed by the Muzraie Commissioner has been omitted as the income from the Forest has been taken at a low figure. In each year in which that income exceeds Rs.5,000/- the excess up to Rs.500/- will be credited to reserve for the temple.

5. The surplus in the estimated average income after meeting expenditure according to the scale now sanctioned

202

now sanctioned is Rs.1400 per annum. Thi
~~come to~~ be taken by the Jahgirdar, as also any income
the forests in excess of Rs.5000/-, subjec
contribution to reserve as ordered above.

12/7/37

General Secretary to G

13.7.37

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
The Comptroller to Government.
The Chief Conservator of Forests.
The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore Dis

Copy to Jahgirdar of Yelandur with a cove
letter.

Copy to L.S.G. and Revenue + G.A. Branches.

M.9.

Biligiri Rangan Hills in Yelandur Taluk -

Per annum

Per mensum

Ranks	Pay	Local allowance	Total
Forest Range officer	45	15	60 .. 720 0 0
Guard no:	8 0 0		8 0 0 96 0 0
Watchmen 12 at 7/- each	84		84 .. 1008 0 0
Bungalow Care taker on the Hills ~~1~~ at 7/-	7		84 .. Nil
Armed Contingent	1		1 .. 12 .. ..
Total	~~145~~ 138	~~15 0 0~~ 15	~~160~~ 153 ~~1920~~ 1836 .. ..

(Illegible)

Appendix. V

Statement showing the details of Establishment maintained in respect of Forest on Sri Biligiri Rangan Hills in Yelandur Taluk.

No.	Details.	Per mensem. Pay	Local allowance.	Total.	Per annum.
1.	Forest Range Officer	45	15	60	720
2.	Gaurd No.1.	8	--	8	96
3.	Watchmen 12 at 7/- each.	84	--	84	1008
4.	Fixed contingency	1	--	1	12
	TOTAL.	138	15	153	1836

Illegible

For General Forester

Government of his highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G O No. 506-10—Muz. 288-24-32, dated 28th September—2nd October 1937.

Forest Revenue of Biligiri Rangan Hills

Issues orders regarding the claim of Sri Biligiri Rangaswami Temple and the Jahgirdar of Yelandur to the —

Read—

Government Order No 7857—Muz 288-24-17 dated 17th October 1929, approving of the proposals of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, guiding the management of the affairs of the Sri Biligiri Rangaswami Temple in the Yelandur Jahgir and calling for a report of the result of action taken thereon

2. Correspondence ending with letter No C 774—28-29, dated 31st July 1933, from the Muzrai Commissioner, submitting a report regarding the revenue from the forest on the Biligiri Rangan Hills and also proposals for the future management of the Sri Biligiri Rangaswami Temple

3 Correspondence ending with letter dated 18th December 1936, from the Jahgirdar of Yelandur expressing his views on the subject.

ORDER NO. 506-10—MUZ. 288-24-32, DATED BANGALORE, THE 28TH SEPTEMBER—2ND OCTOBER 1937.

Government have had under consideration for some time, two questions connected with the affairs of the Sri Biligiri Rangaswami Temple in Yelandur Jahgir, *viz.*, (i) whether the temple is entitled to the income from the forest on the Biligiri Rangaswami Hills, and (ii) the measures to be taken for the efficient management of the affairs of the temple.

2. It appears from previous records in the matter that the forest on the hills belongs to the temple. A minup was granted by Dewan Purnaiya in 1799-1800 by which the temple was endowed with the villages of Gowdahalli and Soligarahalli, the latter indicating the area of the hill which was inhabited by Soligars. The value of the jahgir in the sanad conferring the Yelandur Taluk as jahgir to Dewan Purnaiya in December 1807 seems to have been fixed exclusive of these Inam villages endowed to the temple In the jamabandi records of later years, the villages of Gowdahalli and Beeta, were treated as Sarva Inam of Sri Biligiri Rangaswami and in the survey settlement which was introduced into the Yelandur Taluk in 1896, the Biligiri Rangan Hills were treated as Sarvamanya to the temple. It would appear that the income from the forest produce, including timber, was being credited to the temple funds till about 1870, the cost of establishment being debited to the temple This seems to have been discontinued after the assumption of the management of the Jahgir by Sir (then Mr.) P. N. Krishnamurthi about the year 1870.

3. It is thus clear that the Biligiri Rangan Hills have all along been an Inam endowed to the Sri Biligiri Rangaswami Temple on the hills and did not form part of the jahgir granted to Dewan Purnaiya and that the income from the forest has been enjoyed by the Jahgirdar only latterly. In consideration of these circumstances, Government are pleased to direct that the income from the Biligiri Rangan forest which was specially endowed for the temple should first be utilised for expenditure necessary for the proper performance of pooja in, and for the maintenance of the temple. and that any surplus left over after the needs of the temple are met, may be taken by the Jahgirdar.

4. The present Jahgirdar of Yelandur who was asked to express his views in the matter has urged that the grant to the temple did not include the forest revenue of the hills that this income was meant to go to the Jahgirdar, and that it was

actually enjoyed by him for many years. The reasons stated have all been fully examined by Government before coming to the conclusion stated in the previous paragraph. It is, in fact, in consideration of the circumstance that the Jahgirdar took the forest revenue of the hills himself for many years that the portion of the revenue not absolutely required for the temple is now left to him. This disposes of the first question.

5. As regards the second question, *viz.*, proper management of the temple, the Muzrai Commissioner reports that there have been complaints from time to time that the temple affairs are not being managed satisfactorily. The temple is reported to be in a neglected condition and in need of repairs. Urgent action seems to be called for, to improve the Hill road and the steps, and also to revise the scale of expenditure in the temple.

6. The income of the institution under the several heads such as land forest, sevartha fees, excise, etc., is estimated at Rs. 11,744 per annum (*Vide* Appendix I). The income from the forest is taken as Rs. 5,000 in the statement but it is likely to be more than this amount. The Muzrai Commissioner has proposed a scale of expenditure which is equal to the estimated income including charges for temple establishment, forest establishment, repairs and improvements and reserve. Government consider that the scale of expenditure proposed is susceptible of reduction and direct that it may be fixed at Rs. 10,344-6-0 per annum as shown hereunder :—

		Per annum. Rs.	a.	p.
1.	Establishment (Appendix II)	3,114	0	0
2.	Hetchukatle including cooly charges (Appendix III) ...	672	0	0
3.	Nithyakatle (Appendix IV)	924	0	0
4.	Cooly for carrying rice, etc., other articles to the Hills ...	200	0	0
5.	Repairs and improvements	1,500	0	0
6.	Contribution to Muzrai Establishment Fund at 5 per cent	500	0	0
7.	Assessment and cesses on lands	365	8	0
8.	Potgi to Gowdahalli village officers	115	12	0
9.	Miras to temple servants	800	0	0
10.	Contingencies and other unforeseen expenditure ...	317	2	0
11.	Forest establishment (Appendix V)	1,836	0	0
	Total ...	10,344	6	0

The provision of Rs. 500 for Reserve proposed by the Muzrai Commissioner has been omitted as the income from the forest has been taken at a low figure. In each year in which that income exceeds Rs. 5,000 the excess up to Rs. 500 will be credited to reserve for the temple.

7. The surplus in the estimated average income after meeting expenditure according to the scale now sanctioned is Rs. 1,400 per annum. This will be taken by the Jahgirdar, as also any income from the forests in excess of Rs. 5,000, subject to the contribution to reserve as ordered above.

Secretary to Government,
General Department.

To—The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
The Comptroller to Government.
The Chief Conservator of Forests in Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

Exd.—C. K.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX I.

Sanctioned Scale of Income and Expenditure of Sri Biligiri Rangaswami Temple, Yelandur Taluk.

Income						Expenditure					
Sl. No.	Items	Amount			Remarks	Sl. No.	Items	Amount			Remarks
	Income from Lands.	Rs	a.	p.				Rs.	a.	p.	
	Jamabandi of Gowdahalli Village, which is endowed as inam to the temple	1,675	0	0	This figure has been taken from the Jamabandi Goshwara of the village and is not liable to variation as Survey and Settlement has been introduced into the village.	1	Potgi to patels and shanbhogs of Gowdahalli	115	12	0	
						2	Local and other cesses due to Government on the revenue of Gowdahalli village.	255	0	0	
	Gutta from lands belonging to the temple.	313	8	0	This has been taken on the actual gutta that is now recovered and is not liable to much fluctuation.	3	Assessment and cesses on lands belonging to the temple.	100	0	0	
	Kandayam recovered from Soligarahalli and the other lands given out for cultivation on the hills.	95	14	0	This figure has been taken on the average for the past 3 years and also on the actual assessment fixed on the lands let out. But this is liable to variation as the occupants are Soligars who will be changing from place to place every now and then	4	Local and other cesses due on the Kandayam of Soligarahalli and other lands.	10	8	0	
						5	Minas due to temple servants and cost of provisions of private sevas	800	0	0	
	Income from Sevartha fees ..	3,000	0	0	This figure has been taken on the average for 3 years and is liable to fluctuation to a slight extent during bad years.	6	Establishment charges of the Forest Department as detailed in the Appendix V.	1,836	0	0	
	Right of selling cocoanuts, plantains and dhoopa, etc., and also collecting shop rent during the Jatra.	250	0	0	The average for 3 years works out to Rs 279 but only Rs. 250 is taken as this item is liable to a large variation.	7	Establishment charges of the temple as per scale proposed at Rs. 259-8-0 per month.	3,114	0	0	
						8	Nityakatle expenses, rice and other provisions as per scale sanctioned.	924	0	0	
	Receipts from Golaka and Padakanike, etc.	60	0	0	The average for 3 years is Rs. 69 but only Rs. 60 is taken.	9	Hetchukatle expenses (Rice and other provisions as per scale sanctioned)	600	0	0	
	Forest Revenue including the realisation from the sale of sandal.	5,000	0	0	The average for 3 years works out to Rs. 7,500 but only this amount is taken so that the income may not fall below this amount. This amount has been included as per orders.	10	Cash payments to temple servants on Hetchukatle sevas.	72	0	0	
						11	Cooly for carrying rice and other articles to the hill.	200	0	0	
	Excise Revenue from Inam Gowdahalli village.	150	0	0	This revenue is being utilised by the Jahgirdar but the temple has got the right to get this amount. So this has been included.	12	Contribution to the General Muzrai Establishment Fund at 5 per cent on the gross income.	500	0	0	
	Monthly cash grant of 30 varahas from the Jahgir to the temple as noted in despatch from the Governor-in-Council at Madras. The equivalent of it is Rs. 100 per month or Rs. 1,200 per year.	1,200	0	0	There is no record to show that the amount was ever paid to the temple from Jahagir funds, but as this item has been included as an item of expenditure in the memorandum annexed to the despatch this is a legal claim on the Jahgir Estate and has been included as an item of income.	13	Annual repairing and white washing, etc.	1,500	0	0	
						14	Contingencies and other unforeseen expenditure, such as purchase of fuel, pots, and dress allowance to Policemen, etc.	493	2	0	
							Total	10,944		0	

No. 506-10- Muz. 288-24-32, dated 28th September—2nd October 1937.

APPENDIX II.

Statement showing the existing and sanctioned scale, pay of establishment of Sri Biligiri Rangaswami Temple of Yelandur Taluk.

Sl. No.	Name of appointment	Existing pay	ಪಡಿ Rice per day	ಪಡಿ Rice In Seers ಪಡಿ	Seers per month	Pay now sanctioned	Remarks
1	Ayyanavara Archak...	1 15 0	೪	೦॥÷॥	೪೯।=॥	8 8 0	
2	Ammanavar Archak.	1 15 0	೪।	೦॥÷॥	೪೯।=॥	8 8 0	
3	Ayyanavara Paricharaka.	1 5 9	೩	೦=	೩೪III =	6 0 0	
4	Ammanavar do ..	1 5 9	೨॥	III≡	೨೯÷	5 8 0	
5	Sthanik	0 15 6	೨॥	III≡	೨೯÷	4 8 0	
6	Sthanik ..	0 7 9	೨	III	೨೩।	3 8 0	
7	Vedaparayana	1 13 1	೪॥	೦॥≡	೫೨।÷	8 0 0	
8	Vimana pradikshine.	1 3 4	೨	III	೨೩।	4 8 0	
9	Cook	1 15 0	೪	೦॥	೪೬॥	8 0 0	
10	Cook	1 15 0	೪	೦॥	೪೬॥	10 0 0	
11	Yagnopavita	0 9 8	೦	I=	೦೦॥=	2 8 0	
12	Sri tulasi	0 15 8				2 0 0	
13	Doddaparupathegar.	10 0 0				10 0 0	
14	Chickparupathegar	1 8 4	೦।	I≡॥	೦೪॥೨॥	3 0 0	This amount will be given as allowance to the Muzrai Gumasta of Chamarajanagar Taluk Office
15	Muzrai Gumasta	15 0 0	...		..	25 0 0	The post of Muzrai Gumasta in the Taluk Office is abolished and a peshkar on Rs. 25 is sanctioned
16	Nagadi Shanbhog	1 10 0	೨॥	III≡	೨೯÷	5 0 0	
17	Jinsi Shanbhog	0 14 0	೨॥	III≡	೨೯÷	4 0 0	
18	Sthala purana ..	1 11 11	೦	I=	೦೦॥=	3 0 0	
19	Bhagavatha parayana.	5 0 0		೦	೩೦	8 0 0	
20	Sanskrit patasala	6 0 0	....		..	.... ..	
21	Sangitha ...	4 0 0		.	...	.	Abolished.
22	Yajurveda parayana.	6 0 0	..	.. .	...	6 0 0	
23	Dhanvanthari japa .	1 11 1			..	..	Abolished
24	Rangole	0 3 10	೦	I=	೦೦॥=	2 0 0	
25	Mohari ...	2 9 0	೨॥	III≡	೨೯÷	6 0 0	
26	Do ..	2 9 0	೨॥	III≡	೨೯÷	6 0 0	
27	Sruthi .	0 15 6	೨॥	III≡	೨೯÷	4 0 0	
28	Dhavane .	0 12 7	೨॥	III≡	೨೯÷	4 0 0	
29	Jagate ...	0 8 3	೨	III	೨೩।	3 8 0	
30	Madle	0 15 6	೨	III	೨೩।	4 0 0	
31	Kaithala	0 8 3	೨	III	೨೩।	3 8 0	
32	Dholu	0 7 9	೨	III	೨೩।	3 8 0	
33	Natuva thala ..	0 13 6	೨	III	೨೩।	4 0 0	
34	Ayyanavaragudikaval	0 11 8	೨	III	೨೩।	4 0 0	
35	Ammanavara kaval	0 11 8	೨	III	೨೩।	4 0 0	
36	Ugranada Gol'a	0 11 11	೨	III	೨೩।	4 0 0	
37	Kattige	0 11 11	೨	III	೨೩।	4 0 0	
38	Thiruvarthi	0 8 9	೨	III	೨೩।	3 8 0	
39	Devadasi	0 3 10	೨	III	೨೩।	.	Abolished.
40	Do	0 7 9	೨	III	೨೩।	...	These have already been abolished.
41	Do	0 3 10	೨	III	೨೩।	... .	
42	Flowers (ಪೂವಾಡಗಿತ್ತಿ)	0 1 1	೬	III	೨೩।	3 0 0	
43	Flute ..	2 0 0	೦	I=	೦೦॥=	3 8 0	
44	Gumbarthi	0 3 10	೨	II	೨೩।	3 0 0	
45	Peon	1 0 0	....	..		40 0 0	Four peons on Rs. 10 each including the existing 2 peons.
46	Do	8 0 0		..			
47	Do	8 0 0	.. .	...	.	....	
48	Gangadhareswaraswami archak.	5 0 0	.	.		8 0 0	
49	Jois ...	2 8 0		...	...	2 8 0	
50	Watchmen .	0 11 8	೨	III	೨೩।	4 0 0	
	Total ...	... ...	....	....	..	259 8 0	Per month or Rs 3,111 per annum

ಯಳಂದೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಯಾದಾಸ್ತು ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿಳಿಗಿರಿ ರಂಗಸ್ವಾಮಿಯ

ಜಂಜರ್ ನಂಬರು		ಅಕ್ಕಿ	ಹೆಸರುಬೇಳೆ	ಉಪ್ಪು	ಉದ್ದಿನಬೇಳೆ	ತೊಗರಿ ಬೇಳೆ	ಸಾಸ್ತ	ಪಚ್ಚರಿಪ್ಪ	ಕೊಪ್ಪರಿಗೆ
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	ನಿತ್ಯಕಟ್ಟಳೆಗೆ ಸಾಲು ೭ಂದಕ್ಕೆ	....		.	....				....
2	ಶುಕ್ರವಾರಕಟ್ಟಳೆ ವಾರ ೫ಂಕ್ಕೆ ...	೪೫॥	೬।	೧॥÷	....		....	....	.
3	ಶನಿವಾರ ಕಟ್ಟಳೆ ವಾರ ೫ಂಕ್ಕೆ ..	೬೮॥	೬।	೨।=	೧೨॥	...	....	....	....
4	ಯುಗಾದಿ ಹಬ್ಬಕ್ಕೆ	೮॥	೨॥=	।÷	೧	॥	=	=	।
5	ಶ್ರೀರಾಮನವಮಿ	೪।=	೧"	।	....		...	....	....
6	ನರಸಿಂಹ ಜಯಂತಿ ಅಳ್ವಾರು ತಿರು ನಕ್ಷತ್ರ ಸಹಾ ...	೮॥	೧	॥	....	..	।	=	।
7	ಉಯ್ಯಾಲೆ ತಿರುನಾಳು ದಿನ ೩ಕ್ಕೆ .	೧೨।=	೨	೧	೩	।	।÷	।	।=
8	ಉಪಾಕರ್ಮ	೫।	।	=		..	=	÷	=
9	ಅಮ್ಮನವರ ನರ್ಧಂತಿ	೯।	।।।=	।=	೧॥	...	=	÷	=
10	ಪಂಗುನ್ಯುತ್ತರ	೨।	।	÷			....	....	....
11	ಗೋಕುಲಾಷ್ಟಮಿ 9 ದಿವಸಕ್ಕೆ	೨೭॥	೧॥	೨	೮	।=	....	....	।
12	ರಾಮಾನುಜಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ತಿರುನಕ್ಷತ್ರ	೪॥	.	।	....	....	=	÷	=
13	ವೇದಾಂತಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ತಿರುನಕ್ಷತ್ರ ...	೪"	...	।	....	.	=	÷	=
14	ಜೀಯರ ತಿರುನಕ್ಷತ್ರ	೩॥	....	॥	....	..	=	÷	=
15	ದೀಪಾವಳಿ ನಿತ್ಯಕಾರ್ತಿಕಕ್ಕೆ	೨೦	೧	॥	೧	॥	।	=	॥
16	ಕೃತ್ತಿಕೋತ್ಸವಕ್ಕೆ ...	೨೦	೧॥	।।।	೧	....	....	....	।
17	ಕೌಶಿಕ ದ್ವಾದಶಿ	೪॥	॥	॥		...	...	...	....
18	ಗ್ರಹಣಕ್ಕೆ	೪।।	॥	।=		...	....	....	....
19	ಧನುರ್ಮಾಸಕಟ್ಟಳೆಗೆ	೧೨೦	೧೫	೩।।।		....	....	....	....
20	ಕೊಠಾರೋತ್ಸವಕ್ಕೆ	೧೦೦	೫	೧೦	೧೦	....	೨॥	೧।	೫
21	ರಥಸಪ್ತಮಿ	೧೦	೧	॥	....	....	....	..	....
22	ಸೌದೆ ಪೊಡೆಯುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಲು 1ಕ್ಕೆ ...		.	೯		...	..	..	೩
23	ಸೇವಾರ್ಥದ ಗರುಡೋತ್ಸವಗಳಿಗೆ			....		....	....	....	....
24	ಸಾದಿಳ್ಳಾರು ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಾಲು 1ಕ್ಕೆ	....				...	....	....	....
25	ನವರಾತ್ರಿ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ದಿನ 10ಕ್ಕೆ ..	೮೧।।।	೬॥	೪	೫	೩।	॥	।	೧।
26	ಕೋಟಿ ತಿರುನಾಳುಬಗ್ಗೆ ದಿನ ೩ಕ್ಕೆ	೯।=	೩।।।	॥÷	೩	....	...	. .	....
27	ವಸಂತೋತ್ಸವಕ್ಕೆ	೫೧॥	೨॥	೧=	—	॥	=	÷	=
28	ತಿರುಪವಿತ್ರೋತ್ಸವಕ್ಕೆ ದಿನ ೬ಕ್ಕೆ	೬೨॥	೩।।।	೧॥=	೪	೧	।	=	।
29	ಮಕರ ಸಂಕ್ರಾಂತಿ ಹಬ್ಬದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ	೬೬=	೪।।	೬।=	೨।	೩॥	॥	।	೩॥=
30	ಸಂಕರಾಂತಿ ರಥೋತ್ಸವ ದಿನ ೬ಕ್ಕೆ ..	೨೦೦	೧೦	೧೦	೩	೫	೧।	॥=	೫
31	ಉತ್ತಾನದ್ವಾದಶಿ ಬಗ್ಗೆ	೨೦	।।।	೧॥		....	॥=	।÷	॥
32	ತೆಪ್ಪ ತಿರುನಾಳು	೧೬೫॥	೧೦	೧೫	೧॥	೧೪॥=	೨=	೧÷	೮
33	ಪ್ರಥಮ ರಥ ಚಲನ, ಮಹಾರಥೋತ್ಸವ ಸಹಾ	೬೦೦	೩೬	೨೫	೭	೧೫	೧॥	।।।	೯
	ಜುಮ್ಲಾ	೧೪೫೬÷	೧೭೧।।	೧೪೫=	೧೫೫।	೯೦।	೧೫।=	೧೦=	೪೨।।।

		ನವರಾತ್ರಿಗೆ	ಯುಗಾದಿ	ದೀಪಾವಳಿ	ಕೃತ್ತಿಕೋತ್ಸವ
34	ಶ್ರೀ ಗಂಗಾದರೇಶ್ವರಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ ನಿತ್ಯಕಟ್ಟಳೆ, ಹೆಚ್ಚುಕಟ್ಟಳೆ ಸಹಾ	0 4 8	0 4 8	0 9 4	0 9 4
35	ಸೋಮವಾರ ಕಟ್ಟಳೆಗೆ ವಾರ ೫ಂಕ್ಕೆ ...	೫೨	....	....	..
36	ಗಜೇಂದ್ರವರದ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಗೆ ೩೬೫ಕ್ಕೆ ...	೩೬೫	....	....	....

503-10—Muz. 288- 4-32, dated 28th September—2nd October 1937.

TLB.

ಪೇವನಾನೆದ ಜಿನ್ನಿ ಲವಾಜಮೆ ಸ್ಯೇಲುಪಟ್ಟಿ.

ಪುಷ್ಯ	ಆಶ್ಲೇ	ಮಘ	ಪೂರ್ವ ಫಲ್ಗುನಿ	ಉತ್ತರ ಫಲ್ಗುನಿ	ಹಸ್ತ	ಚಿತ್ತ	ಸ್ವಾತಿ	ವಿಶಾಖ	ಅನುರಾಧ	ಜ್ಯೇಷ್ಠ	ಮೂಲ	ಪೂರ್ವಾಷಾಢ	ಉತ್ತರಾಷಾಢ	ಶ್ರವಣ	ಧನಿಷ್ಠ	ಶತಭಿಷ
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
....	....	....	....	....	....	....	...	....	....	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
.	....		....	....	...	..	...	..	..	೨೫	೨೫	೩೫	೩೫	೩೫	೩೫	೩೫
....	...	..	....		...		..		..	೫೦	೫೦	೫೦	೫೦	೫೦	೫೦	೫೦
॥	೧೦	..	|||	೧॥		....	..	...	೨	೩	೩	೩	೩	೩	೩
..		...	....	೧		...		..		....		೩	೩	೩	೩	೩
೧	.		.	೧.		....	. ..	....	...	....	.	೩	೩	೩	೩	೩
೧॥	೧೦	....		.	..			....	.	.	....	೩	೩	೩	೩	೩
॥ =	. .		.	.	...	....	..	..	..	....		೩	೩	೩	೩	೩
೧ =	....	....		....	. .	. .		. ..	...	..	.	೩	೩	೩	೩	೩
...		....		...			....	...	.	....		೩	೩	೩	೩	೩
೧೦	೧೦	॥ =	....	..	....		..	..	....		...	೩	೩	೩	೩	೩
	.	....	....	=	..	...	....	...	....	॥	೧	೩	೩	೩	೩	೩
॥	..	..		=	....	....	...	. ..		=	೧	೩	೩	೩	೩	೩
॥ =		....	....	=	...	....		...	.	॥	೧	೩	೩	೩	೩	೩
೧	೧೦	....	॥	॥	..	..	..	.	....	೨	೪	೩	೩	೩	೩	೩
..	೧೫	....	....		..	....	.	..	...	೨	೪	೩	೩	೩	೩	೩
...	....	.	....		...	....	...			....	....	...	...	...	...	...
. .	.	..					.	...	...	೨	೨	೩	೩	೩	೩	೩
..	..				..	.	..	...	..	.	...	೩	೩	೩	೩	೩
೧೨॥	೧೫೦	೧೫	..	೫	...		....	...		....	೨೦	೩	೩	೩	೩	೩
...	.	.	....	.	.	...	.		..	..		೪	೪	೪	೪	೪
.	೩೦೦	೨೪	....			.		.	...	....	..	೪	೪	೪	೪	೪
	....		..	...	....	..	....	.	..	....	..	...	...	...	...	...
೧	೧೫	....	೩	.		..	..	..	..	೨	೪	೪	೪	೪	೪	೪
			....	೩		..	....	..		..		೪	೪	೪	೪	೪
		..	..	....		೧೧॥		...	.	೧	೨	೪	೪	೪	೪	೪
..	.	....	.	.	....	೧೭			....	೨	೪	೪	೪	೪	೪	೪
೧	೧೫೦	೧೪॥	|||	೧॥	..	.	....	೩ಖಂಡಗ	೫	೧೦	೪	೪	೪	೪	೪
೩	೩೦೦	೧೫	....	॥	೫	೧೩॥	.	.	.	೫।	೧೫। ।	೪	೪	೪	೪	೪
೨॥	೧೦			।	....		...	...	..	.	೩	೪	೪	೪	೪	೪
೨॥	೩೭೫	೩೬	....	೧		೧೧	....	. ..	....	೩	೧೬	೪	೪	೪	೪	೪
೪	೫೬೦	೩೫	....	೭॥	೨೫	೬೨॥	....	....	೩ಖಂಡಗ	೧೨	೩೨	೪	೪	೪	೪	೪
೪೫ =	೧೯೧೫	೧೪೦ =	೫	೨೩॥ =	೩೦	೧೨೯॥	..	...	೬ಖಂಡಗ	...	....					
	ಸಂಕ್ರಾಂತಿ		ಶಿವರಾತ್ರಿ			ತಲಬು										
....	0 2 4		0 11 7		....	60 0 0		....	...	..	....	...				
....	....		....		...	....		....	೬॥	೬॥	೫೬॥	....				
....	....		....		...	...		....	...	....	....	....				

ಯಳಂದೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಯಾದಾಸ್ತು ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿಳಿಗಿರಿ ರಂಗ

ಜಂಜರ್ ನಂಬರು		ಹಂಬುಬ್ಬಿ	ಸುಣ್ಣಿನಕಾಯಿ	ಹುಳ್ಳಿಹಣ್ಣು	ಜಪ್ತಿ	ಒಳ್ಳೆಣ್ಣೆ	ಕೊಯಿಣ್ಣೆ	ಬೆಲ್ಲ	ಕಿಚ್ಚಡಿ
		22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
1	ನಿತ್ಯಕಟ್ಟಳೆಗೆ ಸಾಲು ಒಂದಕ್ಕೆ	.	....	.	.	....	....	..	....
2	ಶುಕ್ರವಾರ ಕಟ್ಟಳೆ ವಾರ ೫೦ಕ್ಕ ..	..	...	..	।ಶೇ೬।।।	೧ ಶೆ		।ಶೆ೨॥	ಶೆ೩=
3	ಶನಿವಾರ ಕಟ್ಟಳೆ ವಾರ ೫೦ಕ್ಕೆ				।।।ಶೆ೧।	೩।ಶೆ೪।=	೧।।।೫೫	।।।ಶೆ೬॥	ಶೆ೬
4	ಯುಗಾದಿ ಹಬ್ಬಕ್ಕ		ಶೆ೧	ಶೆ೫	ಶ೧।।।	।ಶೆ೦	ಶೆ೬॥	ಶೆ೫।	ಶೆ॥
5	ಶ್ರೀರಾಮನವಮಿ ..	..		..	ಶೆ॥	ಶೆ।	ಶೆ೨॥	ಶೆಳ	....
6	ನರಸಿಂಹ ಜಯಂತಿ, ಆಳ್ವಾರು ತಿರುನಕ್ಷತ್ರ ಸಹಾ		ಶೆ೧	ಶೆ೫	ಶ॥	।ಶೆ೦	ಶೆ೨॥	ಶೆಳ	ಶೆ।
7	ಉಯ್ಯಾಲೆ ತಿರುನಾಳು ದಿನ ೩ಕ್ಕೆ	ಶೆ।	ಶೆ೧।।।	।ಶೆ೦	ಶೆ೧॥	॥ಶೆ೦	ಶೆ೫	ಶೆ೫	ಶೆ೧
8	ಉಪಾಕರ್ಮ	...	ಶೆ॥	ಶೆ೨	ಶೆ॥=	ಶೆ೩	..	ಶೆ೧।	..
9	ಅಮ್ಮನವರ ವರ್ಧಂತಿ ..	....	ಶೆ॥	ಶೆ೩॥	ಶೆ॥=	ಶೆಳ	ಶೆ೧॥	ಶೆ೬॥=	ಶೆ।
10	ಪಂಗುನ್ಯುತ್ತರ	...	...		ಶೆ।=	ಶೆ೧।	ಶೆ॥	ಶೆ।=	ಶ=
11	ಗೋಕುಲಾಷ್ಟಮಿ 9 ದಿವಸಕ್ಕೆ		ಶೆ೧	ಶೆ೫	ಶೆ೨॥	॥ಶೆ೦	ಶೆ೫	।ಶೆ೦	ಶೆ೧
12	ರಾಮಾನುಜಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ತಿರುನಕ್ಷತ್ರ	....	ಶ॥	ಶೆ೩	ಶೆಷಬೆಣ್ಣೆ ಶೆ=	ಶೆ೫	ಶೆ೧॥	ಶೆ॥=	ಶೆ=
13	ವೇದಾಂತಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ತಿರುನಕ್ಷತ್ರ ..	....	ಶೆ॥	ಶೆ೩	ಶೆ।	ಶೆ೫	ಶೆ೧॥	ಶೆ॥=	ಶೆ=
14	ಜೀಯರ ತಿರುನಕ್ಷತ್ರ	....	ಶೆ=	ಶೆ೧॥	ಶೆ।	ಶೆಳ	..	ಶೆ॥=	ಶೆ=
15	ದೀಪಾವಳಿ ನಿತ್ಯಕಾರ್ತಿಕಕ್ಕೆ	ಶೆ॥	ಶೆ೨॥	ಶೆ೫	ಶೆ೨॥	।।।ಶೆ೫	ಶೆ೬	।ಶೆ೬॥	ಶೆ॥
16	ಕೃತಿ ಕೋತ್ಸವಕ್ಕೆ ...	ಶೆ।	ಶೆ॥	ಶೆ೫	ಶೆ೩	೧।ಶೆ೦	॥ಶೆ೫	ಶೆ೫	ಶೆ।।।
17	ಕೌಶಿಕ ದ್ವಾದಶಿ	ಶೆ—॥	..	..	ಶೆ೧॥	ಶೆ।	ಶೆ೧॥	ಶೆ।	
18	ಗ್ರಹಣಕ್ಕ	.		ಶೆ೩	ಶೆ೧	ಶೆಳ	ಶೆ೩	ಶೆ೧।	ಶೆ೧
19	ಧನುರ್ಮಾಸಕಟ್ಟಳೆಗೆ ..			..	।ಶೆ೨॥	ಶೆ೬॥	।ಶೆ೫	....	...
20	ಕೋರಾರೋತ್ಸವಕ್ಕ ..	ಶೆ೧।	॥ಶೆ೦	....	೧೧ಶೆ೦	೨॥ಶೆ೦	೩೫೦	೨॥ಶೆ೦	..
21	ರಥಸಪ್ತಮಿ			..	ಶೆ೧	ಶೆ॥	ಶೆ೨॥	ಶೆ೨	....
22	ಸ್ಥಿದೆ ಪೊಡೆಯುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಲು 1ಕ್ಕ	...	ಶೆ೧ಳ	॥ಶೆಳ			...	...	...
23	ಸೇವಾರ್ಥದ ಗರುಡೋತ್ಸವಗಳಿಗೆ	....	.	.	...	.	೨॥ಶೆ೦		.
24	ಸಾದಿಲ್ವಾರು ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಾಲು ೧ಕ್ಕೆ ..			....	....	..	..	..	...
25	ನವರಾತ್ರಿ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ದಿನ ೧೦ಕ್ಕೆ .	.	ಶೆ೫	।ಶೆ೫	ಶೆ೬॥	॥ಶೆ೦	॥ಶೆ೫	॥ಶೆ೦	ಶೆ೧
26	ಕೋಟಿ ತಿರುನಾಳು ಬಗ್ಗೆ ದಿನ ೩ಕ್ಕೆ	....	ಶೆ।=	.	ಶೆ।।	ಶೆ೬॥	ಶೆ೬	ಶೆ೬	..
27	ವಸಂತೋತ್ಸವಕ್ಕ .	.	ಶೆ॥	ಶೆಳ	ಶೆ೯।।।	।ಶೆ೨	ಶೆಳ	ಶೆ೬॥	ಶೆ॥
28	ತಿರುಪವಿತ್ರೋತ್ಸವಕ್ಕೆ ದಿನ ೬ಕ್ಕೆ	.	ಶೆ೧	ಶೆ೫	।ಶೆಳ	।ಶೆ೫	ಶಳ॥	।ಶೆ೦	ಶೆ॥
29	ಮಕರ ಸಂಕ್ರಾಂತಿ ಹಬ್ಬದ ಬಗ್ಗ	.	ಶೆ೨೦	॥ಶೆ೫	ಶೆ೫	।।।ಶೆ೨॥	೧।ಶೆ೦	।ಶೆ೬॥	ಶೆ॥
30	ಸಂಕರಾಂತಿ ರಥೋತ್ಸವದಿನ ೬ಕ್ಕೆ ...	...	೧ಶೆ೦	೧॥ಶೆ೫	॥ಶೆ೦	೧॥ಶೆ೨॥	೨॥ಶೆ೦	॥ಶೆ೬॥	ಶೆ೧
31	ಉತ್ಥಾನ ದ್ವಾದಶಿ ಬಗ್ಗೆ		ಶೆ೨॥	।ಶೆ೦	ಶೆ॥	॥ಶೆ೦	।ಶೆ೦	ಶೆ೨	....
32	ತೆಪ್ಪತಿರುನಾಳು ..		೧।ಶೆ೨	೨ಶ೦	॥ಶ೦	।।।ಶೆ೦	೧ಶೆ೦	।।।ಶೆ೦	ಶೆ॥
33	ಪ್ರಥಮ ರಥ ಚಲನ, ಮಹಾರಥೋತ್ಸವ ಸಹಾ	....	೧॥ಶೆ೦	೨॥ಶೆ೦	೧॥ಶೆ೦	೨।ಶೆ೦	೭ಶಳ	೨ಶೆ೦	ಶೆಳ।
	ಜುಮ್ಲಾ ...		....	.			...	..	....
34	ಶ್ರೀ ಗಂಗಾಧರೇಶ್ವರಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ ನಿತ್ಯಕಟ್ಟಳೆ, ಹೆಚ್ಚುಕಟ್ಟಳೆ ಸಹಾ	....	....	...	....	....	....	...	....
35	ಸೋಮವಾರ ಕಟ್ಟಳೆಗೆ ವಾರ ೫೦ಕ್ಕೆ	....	....	ಶೆ೬॥	॥ಶೆ೬	.	೧।ಶೆ೨	ಶೆ೬॥	....
36	ಗಜೇಂದ್ರವರದ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ೫೦ಕ್ಕೆ	....	.. .	.....	.....	....	....	...	....

III—*contd.*

KATLE—*contd.*

ಪ್ರೇಷಸ್ಥಾನದ ಜಿನ್ನಿ ಲವಾಜಮೆ ಸ್ಕೇಲುಪಟ್ಟಿ.

ಅಡಿಕೆ	ಹುಂಡಿ	ಜೀರಿಗೆ	ಮೆಣಸು	ಕಪ್ಪೂರ	ನಾಂಪ್ಯಾಣಿ	ಯಾಲಕ್ಕಿ	ಅರಗು	ಹುಂಕಮು	ಹೆಸರು	ಪಚ್ಚಕರ್ಪೂರ	ಪರಿಮಳ ದ್ರವ್ಯ	ಜಾಜಿಕಾಯಿ
30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42
....	..	....	....	..		....	....	....	..	....	...	....
ಕೆಷ =	.. .	....	..	ಕೆ೧ ತೊ೧	ಕೆ‖ತೊ‖	....	....	।ಕೆ೨‖	...	. .	....	।ಕೆ೨‖
ಕೆ।.	....	.. .	..	ಕಷ‖—	ಕೆ೧ತೊ೧	....	....	.. .	....	....	.. .	‖ತೊಱ।
ಕೆ‖	....	ಕೆಷ	ಕೆ =	÷	ತೊ೧	ತೊ೧	....	....	....	. ..	....	ಕೆ೧
ಕೆ।	....	....	ಕೆ =	ತೊ‖	ತೊ೧	....	...	..	....	....	....	.. .
ಕೆ‖	....	ಕೆ = ೧	ಕೆ।	ತೊ೧	ತೊ೧	ತೊ೧	..	....	....	....	....	ಕೆ‖
ಕೆ೧	....	ಕೆ।	ಕೆ।	ಕೆ =	ಕೆ÷	ಕೆ÷	....	....	....	..	ಕೆ =	ಕೆ೧
ಕೆ =	....	÷‖	÷‖	..	....	ತೊ।	....	....	....	.	....	....
ಕೆ।	....	ಕ =	ಕ =	ತೊ‖	ತೊ‖	ತೊ‖	....	....	....	....	...	ಕೆ‖
ಕೆ =	....	..	..	ತೊ‖	ತೊ‖	....	....	....	....	....	....	ಕೆ।
ಕೆ‖	ಕೆ =	ಕೆ। =	ಕೆ =	ಕೆ =	ಕೆ÷	ಕೆ÷	....	..	....	....	....	ಕೆ೧।
ಕೆ =	....	ಕೆ÷‖	ಕೆ÷‖	ತೊ।।	ತೊ‖	....	....	....	....	.. .	...	ಕೆ।
ಕೆ =	....	ಕೆ÷‖	ಕೆ÷‖	ತೊ।।	ತೊ‖	....	....	.	....	....	..	ಕೆ।
ಕೆ =	....	ಕೆ÷	ಕೆ÷‖	ತೊ।।	ತೊ‖		....	.	....	...	....	ಕೆ।
ಕೆ‖	....	ಕೆ÷	ಕೆ =	ಕೆ =	ಕೆ÷	ಕೆ—	...	....	....	....	..	ಕೆ೧
ಕೆ।।		...		ಕೆ =	ಕೆ÷	ಕೆ÷	. .	....	....	...	....	ಕೆ೧
ಕೆ।	....	....	. .	ತೊ‖	ತೊ।		....	....	..	....	..	....
ಕೆ =	....	...	...	ಕ÷	ತೊ೧	ತೊ‖	....	....	....	....	...	ಕೆ೧‖
. ..	. .	ಕೆ‖ =	ಕೆ‖ =	.		....	....	....	....	.	....	....
ಕೆಱ।	....	. ..	.	ಕೆ೧।	ಕೆ‖ =	....	....	...	...	...	....	....
ಕೆ।	...	....		ಕೆ÷	ತೊ‖	....	...	....	.. .		....	....
....	....	....	.	.	...	....	....	....	....	....	...	.
....	....	....	..	.			....	..		....	....	.. .
. ..	..	..	.		.	....	....	....	....	....	.. .	.
ಕೆ೨‖	....	ಕೆ‖ =	ಕೆ‖ =	ಕೆ।	ಕೆ ≡	ಕೆ÷	....	....	.. .	...	....	ಕೆ೧
ಕೆ। =	....	....	ಕೆ।	÷	ತೊ।।।	ತೊ।।।		....	....	....	...	....
ಕೆ೧	...	ಕ÷‖	ಕೆ÷‖	ಕೆ =	ತೊ೨	ತೊ‖	....	. ..	.. .	...	....	ಕೆ೧
ಕೆ೧।	....	ತೊ೧	ಕೆ÷‖	ಕೆ =	ಕೆ =	ತೊ‖	....	....	...	...	...	ಕೆ೧
ಕೆ೧‖	....	ಕೆ। =	ಕೆ‖ =	ಕೆ।	ಕೆ =	ಕೆ÷	ಕೆ।	ಕೆ।	...	....	....	ಕೆ೧
ಕೆಱ	.. .	ಕೆ೧	ಕೆ೧‖	ಕೆ‖	ಕೆ।	ಕೆ =	ಕೆ।	ಕೆ	..	. ..	ಕೆ =	ಕೆ೧।
ಕೆ‖	....	ಕೆ। =	ಕೆ‖	ಕೆ =	ಕೆ÷	....		.	....	....	...	....
ಕೆ೨‖	....	ಕೆ೧। =	ಕೆ೨ =	ಕೆ। =	ಕೆ।	ಕೆ =	...	....	..	...	ಕೆ =	ಕೆ೧
।ಕೆಷ	...	ಕೆ೧	ಕೆ೨	ಕೆ೧।	ಕೆ೧	ಕೆ।	ಕೆ।	ಕೆ।	ತೊ೧	ತೊ‖	ಕ।	ಹ್ಞ
....	....		....	..		....	...	...	.	...	..	....
....	....	....	....	...	....	....	....	....	....	....	...	....
....	....	ಕೆ೧÷‖	ಕೆ‖ತೊ೧	....	....	....	....	.. .	....	ಕೆಱ‖	ಕೆಲ‖	....
....	....	ಕೆ೧÷‖	ಕೆ‖ತೊ೧	...:	,	....	....	...	....	....	....	....

No. 506-10—Muz. 288-24-32, dated 28th September—2nd October

LIST

ಯಳಂದೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಯಾಡಾಸ್ತು ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿಳಿಗಿರಿ ರಂಗಸ್ವಾ

ಜಂಜರ್ ನಂಬರ್		ಶೀಗೇಕಾಯಿ	ಇಂಗು	ಕಬ್ಬಿಣ	ತೆಂಗಿನಕಾಯಿ	ಯಳ್ಳಿನೀರು	ಬಾಳೆಹಣ್ಣು	ವಿಳ್ಯೆದೆಲೆ	ಮಡಾಡಿಗಳು
		43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50
1	ನಿತ್ಯಕಟ್ಟಳೆಗೆ ಸಾಲು ಒಂದಕ್ಕೆ ..	....	....	....	..	....	....	..	.....
2	ಶುಕ್ರವಾರ ಕಟ್ಟಳೆ ವಾರ ಒಂಕ್ಕೆ .	೬೬।	.	.	೧೦೦	೧೫೦	೫೦೦	೭೫೦	.....
3	ಶನಿವಾರ ಕಟ್ಟಳೆ ವಾರ ಒಂಕ್ಕೆ .	೬೨॥	....	....	೧೦೦	೨೫೦	೧೦೦೦	೨೫೦೦	....
4	ಯುಗಾದಿ ಹಬ್ಬಕ್ಕೆ ...	೬೧	....	....	೧೦	೧೫	೨೫	೧೦೦	....
5	ಶ್ರೀರಾಮನವಮಿ	....	....	....	೪	....	....	೧೦೦	....
6	ನರಸಿಂಹ ಜಯಂತಿ, ಆಳ್ವಾರು ತಿರು ನಕ್ಷತ್ರ ಸಹಾ ..	೬॥	....	....	೧೦	೧೦	೨೦	೧೫೦	....
7	ಉಯ್ಯಾಲೆ ತಿರುನಾಳು ದಿನ ೩ಕ್ಕೆ .	೬೧	೭೦೧	....	೫	೧೫	೩೦	೩೫೦	.
8	ಉಪಾಕರ್ಮ	....	೭೦॥	....	೩	....	....	೫೦	....
9	ಅಮ್ಮನವರ ವರ್ಧಂತಿ ...	೬॥	೭೦॥	....	೧೨	೧೦	೧೦	೧೦೦	...
10	ಪಂಗುನ್ಯುತ್ತರ	೬।	...	...	೨	೩	೧೦	೫೦	....
11	ಗೋಕುಲಾಷ್ಟಮಿ 9 ದಿವಸಕ್ಕೆ	೬೧	೭೦೨	....	೩೪	೨೦	೫೦	೨೦೦	.
					೬ ಮಜ್ಜೆ ಕಾಯಿ				
12	ರಾಮಾನುಜಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ತಿರುನಕ್ಷತ್ರ ...	೬।	....	....	೪	೩	೧೦	೫೦	...
13	ವೇದಾಂತಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ತಿರುನಕ್ಷತ್ರ	೬।	....	....	೪	೩	೧೦	೫೦	....
14	ಜೀಯರ ತಿರುನಕ್ಷತ್ರ	೬।	....	....	೩	೨	೫	೫೦	....
15	ದೀಪಾವಳಿ ನಿತ್ಯಕಾರ್ತಿಕಕ್ಕೆ	೬೧	....	....	೨೫	೧೫	೨೫	೨೦೦	....
16	ಕೃತ್ತಿಕೋತ್ಸವಕ್ಕೆ	೧	....	..	೨೦	೧೫	೫೦	೨೦೦	೪
17	ಕೌಶಿಕ ದ್ವಾದಶಿ	...'	..	...	೩	....	....	೫೦	. ..
18	ಗ್ರಹಣಕ್ಕೆ ...	೬೧॥	...	....	೬	೨೦	೩೦	೧೦೦	....
19	ದನುರ್ಮಾಸಕಟ್ಟಳೆಗೆ			....	..	.	....	....	೬
20	ಕೊಠಾರೋತ್ಸವಕ್ಕೆ .. .	....	....	. ..	೧೦೦	೨೦೦	೨೦೦	೨೨೦೦	೨೮
21	ರಥಸಪ್ತಮಿ	....	....	....	೪	....	....	೧೦೦	....
22	ಸೌದೆ ಪೊಡೆಯುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಲು 1ಕ್ಕೆ....	....	. .	. .	...	.	...	....	೧೮
23	ಸೇವಾರ್ಥದ ಗರುಡೋತ್ಸವಗಳಿಗೆ	....	....	....	.. .	....	....	....	....
24	ಸಾದಿರ್ವಾರು ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಾಲು 1ಕ್ಕೆ		....	....	೬೮	೧೦	೧೦೦	೧೦೦೦	೨
25	ನವರಾತ್ರೆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ದಿನ 10ಕ್ಕೆ ..	೬೧	..	....	೨೧	. ..	....	೧೫೦	....
26	ಕೋಟಿ ತಿರುನಾಳು ಬಗ್ಗೆ ದಿನ ೩	....		....	೪೦	೧೦	೨೦	೨೬೦	೨
27	ವಸಂತೋತ್ಸವಕ್ಕೆ .	೬೧।।। =	. ..	. .	೩೦	೧೦	೩೦	೩೬೫	೨
28	ತಿರುಪವಿತ್ರೋತ್ಸವಕ್ಕೆ ದಿನ ೬ಕ್ಕೆ ...	೬೧	.	....	೪೦	೨೫	೫೦	೫೦೦	೧೦
29	ಮಕರ ಸಂಕ್ರಾಂತಿ ಹಬ್ಬದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ	೬೨॥	...	೫	೧೦೦	೨೫	೧೦೦	೧೦೦೦	೧೦
30	ಸಂಕರಾಂತಿ ರಥೋತ್ಸವ ದಿನ ೬ಕ್ಕೆ ..	೬೨॥	. ..	....	೫	....	....	....	....
31	ಉತ್ತಾನ ದ್ವಾದಶಿ ಬಗ್ಗೆ	..		....	೫	....	....	೩೦೦	೨
32	ತೆಪ್ಪ ತಿರುನಾಳು	೬೧।।।	. .		೭೫	೩೨	೩೦	೧೦೦೦	೫
33	ಪ್ರಥಮ ರಥ ಚಲನ, ಮಹಾರಥೋತ್ಸವ ಸಹಾ	೬೫	೬ =	।।।೬೦	೨೫೦	೭೭	೨೦೦	೪೦೦೦	೩೦
	ಜುಮ್ಲಾ	....	....	....	...	....	....	.	....
34	ಶ್ರೀ ಗುಂಗಾಧರೇಶ್ವರಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ ನಿತ್ಯಕಟ್ಟಳೆ, ಹೆಚ್ಚುಕಟ್ಟಳೆ ಸಹಾ	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....
35	ಸೋಮವಾರಕಟ್ಟಳೆಗೆ ವಾರ ೫ಕ್ಕೆ	....	೧೫೬	೧೦೪	೬೨೪	೧೦೪೦	....	....	....
36	ಗಜೇಂದ್ರವರದ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಗೆ ೩೬ಕ್ಕೆ....	....	....	...	....	....	....	....	....

220

No. 506-10—Muz. 238-24-32, dated 28th September—2nd October 1937.

concld

KATHE—*concld.*

ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಜಿನ್ನಿ ಲವಾಜಮೆ ಸ್ಕೇಲುಪಟ್ಟಿ.

ನಾಡಿಬ್ಬಾಳ	ಸೇಂಬಿಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳು	ಡಪ್ಪಡರ	ಹಗ್ಗ	ಹರಿ	ಕುಂಬಾರ್ನಾಮಾನ	ಪೇದರ ನಾಮಾನ	ಶಿವಮೆಯೆಜ್ಜೆ	ನಗದು ಪೊಜುಂಗು	ಜಾತಾ
51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60
....	...	....	....	.	....	...	....	...	
...	..	....	...	. .	....	...	....	....	
....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	.	
....	...	....	....	....	....	. .	....	0 4 0	
....	....	...	....	....	....	....	....	...	
...	....	....	....	...	..	....	....	....	
....	....	....	....	....	. .		....	0 4 0	
....	....	...	...	....	....	....	....	0 4 8	
....	....	....	....	....	....	....	...	....	
....	....	....	..	. .	....	. .	....	.	
. .	....	....	....	....	..	....	....	. ..	
....	..	. .	....	....	....	....	....	....	Rs. 20-5-0. Savings from the Dhanvantri Japa
....	....	....	....	...	..	.. .	....	. .	
. ..	....	...	....	....	....	....	...	.. .	
....	....	....	...	....	....	. ..	....	0 8 0	
....	....	....	. .	....	....	. ..	....	0 8 0	ಪಾಗು
. .	....	....	....	....	..		....	0 4 0	2 0 8
....	...	....	....	.. .	....	...	. .	....	
....	.. .	....	....	....			.	....	
...	....	....	....	...	....	...	....	20 0 0	ಹೂವು, ಗಂದ, ವಗ್ಗೈರೆ 5 0 0 ಕೊಠಾರ ಕಟ್ಟುವುದು 15 0 0
...	....	..	....	....	...	....	....	....	
....		....	....	...	....	....	...	....	
....	....	....	....	. .	....	....	..	1 8 0	ಕಾಗದ ದನ್ನು ೧೦೦
....	..	.	....	....		...	.. .	3 4 0	
...	....	..	...	....		.	...	...	
....	।ಗ	.	.	....		...	.	1 15 11	ಯಜ್ಞೋಪವೀತ ನವಧಾನ್ಯ ಜ ಜೊತೆ ॥÷
....	೩।		....	. ..	...	. .		2 3 11	೧ಜ ॥—
....	॥	..	೨ಜ	೨ಜ	೩೦೦	೩೧		0 4 0	ಅಟ್ಟುಪ್ಪ ಜ
....	೧	೧	ನೂಲು೧೦	೧೦	. .	೪	3 0 0	11 6 3	।ಜ ೧।।।= ೮
....	....	....	....		....	...	. .	....	
....		....	...	....	...	....	...	....	
....	।	....	....	....	...	....	.. .	3 13 3	॥÷ ೧೦
...	೧	೧	ನೂಲು ೮	೫೦	೭೦೦	೫೦	।೨೦	46 12 6	ಜೌಕ ೧ಜ
							6 0 0		
....	....	....	....	....	...			....	
..	....	....	....	....	...	...	...	....	
....	...	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	
....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	

APP

Statement showing Nityakatle expenditure in the

ನಂ.		ಅಕ್ಕಿ	ತೊಗರಿ ಬೇಳೆ		ಉಪ್ಪು		ಹೆಸರು ಬೇಳೆ		ಹಾಲು	
1	Biligiri Rangaswami Temple ...	56	=	೦–೦–೪	=	೦–೦–೩	=	೦–೦–೫	ಕೆ. ೧	೦–೦–೪
2	Gangadhareswara-swami Temple for one month	36	ಕ. ೨	೦–೫–೪	ಕೆ. ೦	೦–೦–೬	....	....	....	....
3	Gajendraswami for one month ...	31	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....
	Anjaneyaswami Temple	35	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....
	ಸ್ತಪನ ಮಾತ್ರ ಪಡಿ ...	29	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....
	Total....	187	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....

ನಂ.		ಅಡಿಕೆ		ಕೊಂಬಿ		ಜೀರಿಗೆ		ಮೆಣಸು	
1	Biligiri Rangaswami Temple ..	=	೦–೦–೩	ತೊ. ೦	೦–೦–೨	ಕೆ. ೧	೦–೦–೩	ಕೆ. ೧	೦–೦–೩
2	Gangadhareswara-swami Temple for one month	....	....	.	....	....	....	....	....
3	Gajendraswami for one month	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....
	Anjaneyaswami Temple	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....
	ಸ್ತಪನ ಮಾತ್ರ ಪಡಿ ...	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....
	Total	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....

IV.

Sri Biligiri Rangaswami Temple Yelandur Taluk.

ಮೊಸರು		ಅರಿಶಿನ		ಹುಳೀ ಹಣ್ಣು		ತುಪ್ಪ		ಎಣ್ಣೆ		ಬೆಲಾ		ಸಕ್ಕರೆ
ಶೆ. ೧	೦-೦-೪	÷॥	೦-೦-೨	=	೦-೦-೨	=	೦-೧-೬	೬	= ೦-೧೨-೦	=	೦-೦-೬	= ೦-೦-೩
...	....	ಶೆ. ೧	೦-೨-೦	....	.. .	....	....	ಶೆ. ೭॥	೦-೧೫-೦	....	....	....
....	..	...	....	....	....	....		ಶೆ. ೨	೦-೪-೦	....	....	....
....	....	...	. ..	....	....	....	....	.	....	....	....	... .
. .	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	..	....	. ....
....	...	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	.	...	....

ಕರ್ಪೂರ		ಸಾಂಬ್ರಾಣಿ		ತೆಂಗಿನಕಾಯಿ		ಎಳನೀರು		ಬಾಳೇ ಹಣ್ಣು		ವೀಳೆದೆಲೆ		ಪುಡ್ಡು		ಹಂಜಿ ಬತ್ತಿ
ತೂ. ೧	೦-೦-೪	ತೊ ॥	೦-೦-೨	೧	೦-೧-೦	೨	೦-೧-೪	೧೦	೦-೧-೦	೨೫	೦-೦-೨	ಶೆ. ೧	೦-೦-೬	೦-೦-೩
ಶೆ. ೧	೦-೬-೦	ಶೆ. ೧	೦-೨-೬	....	....	....	....	....						Total Rs. 1-6-2
=	೦-೩-೦	=	೦-೧-೩	..	....	....	....	....	=					Total Rs. 1-15-4
														0-8-3

Daily Nivedana.

ಪಕ್ಷೀರಾನ್ನ		¼ ಸೇರು
ದಧ್ಯಾನ್ನ		¼ ,,
ಚಿನ್ನ ತಳಿಗೆ	....	1¼ ,,
ದೋಸೆ	...	1¼ ,,
Total	....	3 seers.

Total . 2-7-7

APPENDIX V.

Statement showing the details of establishment maintained in respect of the forest on the Biligiri Rangan Hills in Yelandur Taluk.

No.	Details	Per mensem		Total	Per annum
		Pay	Local allowance		
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Forest Range Officer ...	45	15	60	720
2	Guard No. 1	8	...	8	96
3	Watchmen 12 at Rs. 7 each ...	84	...	84	1,008
4	Fixed contingency	1	...	1	12
	Total ...	138	15	153	1,836

WD 605—GPB 480—16 11-37

SUBJECT ..... Rent

Section Mes

File No. 288-24

Serial No. 33.

No. 5141 Mes 1 & 0.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

To

Nagaraja Rao Purnaiya Bahadur,
Jahgirdar of Yelandur,
Purna-Phasal, Race Course Road,
Bangalore.

Dear Sir.

With reference to Correspondence ending with your letter No 57 dated 18.12.1936, I am directed to forward herewith for your information a copy of Govt order No 506-10 Mes 288-24-32 dated 1st & 2nd October 1937 issuing orders regarding the claim of Sri Biligiri Rangaswami temple and Jahgirdar to the Forest Reserve of the Biligiri Rangan Hills

Yours Sincerely

for Secretary to Government

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193

Date of issue 2-10-37

Signed by M S K Venkataramana Iyer

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

WD 0521—GPB—30,000—12-3-37

SUBJECT ..... 225 .....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. 515 - Secret. 50 Volume

forwarding

To The Superintendent

Govt Printing

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Sir.

I am directed to forward ~~herewith~~ ~~for being printed~~ a copy of the Govt order No 506-10/MJ288 24-32 dated the 1st October 1937, together with five additional Appendices II ~~III~~ and ~~IV~~ which are sent ~~in duplicate~~ in original may be kindly returned to this office. ~~and~~ to enable us to be supplied to the Municipal Branch with 150 printed copies thereof the Order, as per details mentioned in the covering sheet of the Order, proofs of the Govt Order and of the Appendices may be sent

Date of issue 2-10-37

Signed by S. K. Venkataramana

Ayr

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

Copies are struck off Thereafter -

11/01

For Earl Secy's copies

1/10

Copy forwarded with compliments ~~Copy~~ to the H.C for information and necessary action.

Secy. to Govt.,
Gen. dept.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, ...27th..October.....193 7

GENL & REV. SECRETARY
20 OCT 1937
MUZRAI BRANCH

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
.....General.....*Department,*

GENERAL SECRETARY
28 OCT. 1937

Sir,

With reference to G.O.No.506-10/Muz.288-24-32 dated 9/2-10-1937 regarding the revenue from the forest on the ligiri Rangan Hills, I have the honor to request that the cords sent with letter No.C.774/28-29 dated 31st July 1933 v be kindly returned, for taking further action in the matte

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. V. Anantaraman.

Muzrai Commissioner.

Section Mercy

File No. 288-24

Serial No. 35

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 638 Encs four statements and a sealed trunk.

To The M.C in Charge

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 523/37.8 dated 28.10.37 regarding the release from the forest on the Bibigiri Range Hills, I am directed to state that out of the 9 statements referred to in para 2 of the Mercy Camp dated 31.7.1937, five statements are retained here and the same are interpreted with the Govt ~~dated 2.10.37~~ Annexures I to V. The other four statements are herewith returned, as desired, along with a trunk containing certain articles of the detenues

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 5-11-37

Signed by S. K. Venkataramana Iyer

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

receipt of the same would kindly
be acknowledged

Thanking

Yours
[illegible]
30/10

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

230

No. 638 Encs four Statements Bangalore, Dated, 1st November 1937.
Mis 288-24-38 - and one sealed trunk

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.523/37-8 dated 26th October 1937 regarding the revenue from the forest on the Biligiri Rangan Hills, I am directed to state that out of the 9 statements referred to in para 2 of your office letter dated 31st July 1938, five statements are retained here. The other four statements are herewith returned, as desired, along with a trunk containing certain original records of the Yelandur Taluk office on the subject. The receipt of the same may kindly be acknowledged.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

General Secretary to Govt.

231

(Camp) Nanjangud,
Dated, 26th January 1938.

From

Nagaraja Rao Purnaiya, Esq., B
Jaghirdar of Yelandur,
(Camp) Nanjangud.



To

B.T. Kesaviengar, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to Government Order No.506-10 Muz.288-24-32 dated 2nd October 1937 declaring among other things that "The Biligiri Rangan Hills have all along been an inam endowed to the Sri Biligiri Rangaswami Temple", I have the honour to submit the following representation to Government.

The above order in the Muzrai Department at one stroke, deprives me of 35½ square miles out of 102 square miles of my Jaghir. The finding is contrary to the sanads, the admitted facts as to possession, and usage, and the clean trend of evidence. The arguments I submitted and the facts on which they were based have apparently not been considered and they receive passing mention only to be casually dismissed. My earnest prayer to be heard before orders were passed was ignored and I was denied an opppртúnity of stating and explaining my case. This decision depriving me of an important part of my Jaghir has been taken when Government are in administration of my Jaghir, and I am at a disadvantage to protect my own interest

I have also been dispossessed arbitrarily and without

232

without the point having been so much as raised, of the management of the temple, which has been in my family even since the Jaghir was granted.

Both these matters are of vital moment to me, and I most humbly pray that Government will reconsider the case after giving me an opportunity of submitting my representations in person or by an accredited representative and of meeting the Muzrai Department's arguments before Government proceed to a decision.

Meanwhile, the authorities concerned are taking definite action in pursuance of the decision to separate the affairs of the Forests and Temple from the Jaghir administration and to assume summary and adverse control of the former. The authorities are (1) making changes in the temple staff, (2) separating out the Forest accounts, (3) cutting down valuable timber trees in the Forest without reference to me, (4) shooting and appropriating game without my knowledge. The last two are an infringement of well established rights enjoyed by my forbears ever since the Jaghir was granted and borne out by a mass of correspondence both with Government Officers and with guests and sportsmen of high and even historical distinction.

These acts not only seriously injure my interests permanently, but cause me great personal pain and humiliation. I respectfully pray that these acts may be stayed till a final decision is arrived at by Government on my representation. I humbly appeal to Government, as administrators of my Jaghir on my behalf, to protect my interests and secure me justice.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Nagaraja Rao Purnaiya

26-1-1938

Jaghirdar of Yelandur.

B.R

Encl: 1.



I Member mk. Compts

(Camp) Nanjangud, Dated, 26th January 193

233

My Dear Sir,

27-1-38

I beg respectfully to enclose copy of a representation I have submitted to Government to-day praying for a reconsideration of the Government Order depriving the Jaghir of the Biligiri Rangan Hills Forest, and of the management of the Sri Biligiri Ranga Swami Temple. I had begged for a hearing and this was denied me, and I have been practically deprived of a large and important part of my Jaghir without even an opportunity of representing my case. I feel confident that if only I am given a chance of submitting my case I shall be able to convince Government of the justice of my cause. I throw myself on your kindness and sense of justice, and beg you to call up the papers for reconsideration, and to give me a full and fair hearing before coming to a decision.

facts as to possession, and usage, and the clean evidence

With respectful regard.

I remain,

Yours sincerely,
Nagaraja Rao
26-1-1938

Amin-ul-mulk

Sir Mirza M. Ismail, K.C.I.E., O.B.E.,

Dewan Of Mysore,

"Carlton House",

BANGALORE.

(Camp) Nanjangud,
Dated, 26th January 1938.

From

Nagaraja Rao Purnaiya, Esq., B.A.,
Jaghirdar of Yelandur,
(Camp) Nanjangud.

To

B.T. Kesaviengar, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to Government Order No.506-10 Muz.288-24-32 dated 2nd October 1937 declaring among other things that "The Biligiri Rangan Hills have all along been an inam endowed to the Sri Biligiri Rangaswami Temple", I have the honour to submit the following representation to Government.

The above order in the Muzrai Department at one stroke, deprives me of 35½ square miles out of 102 square miles of my Jaghir. The finding is contrary to the sanads, the admitted facts as to possession, and usage, and the clean trend of evidence. The arguments I submitted and the facts on which they were based have apparently not been considered and they receive passing mention only to be casually dismissed. My earnest prayer to be heard before orders were passed was ignored and I was denied an opportunity of stating and explaining my case. This decision depriving me of an important part of my Jaghir has been taken when Government are in administration of my Jaghir, and I am at a disadvantage to protect my own interests

I have also been dispossessed arbitrarily and without

285

without the point having been so much as raised, of the management of the temple, which has been in my family even since the Jaghir was granted.

Both these matters are of vital moment to me, and I most humbly pray that Government will reconsider the case after giving me an opportunity of submitting my representations in person or by an accredited representative and of meeting the Muzrai Department's arguments before Government proceed to a decision.

Meanwhile, the authorities concerned are taking definite action in pursuance of the decision to separate the affairs of the Forests and Temple from the Jaghir administration and to assume summary and adverse control of the former. The authorities are (1) making changes in the temple staff, (2) separating out the Forest accounts, (3) cutting down valuable timber trees in the Forest without reference to me, (4) shooting and appropriating game without my knowledge. The last two are an infringement of well established rights enjoyed by my forbears ever since the Jaghir was granted and borne out by a mass of correspondence both with Government Officers and with guests and sportsmen of high and even historical distinction.

These acts not only seriously injure my interests permanently, but cause me great personal pain and humiliation. I respectfully pray that these acts may be stayed till a final decision is arrived at by Government on my representation. I humbly appeal to Government, as administrators of my Jaghir on my behalf, to protect my interests and secure me justice.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Nagaraja Rao Purnaiya

26-1-1938

Jaghirdar of Yelandur.

No. 1233/Mzg.288-24

To

Nagaraja Rao Purnaiya, Esq., B.A.,
Jahagirdar of Yelandur,
"Purna Prasada" Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.4819 dated 26th January 1938 addressed to the Dewan requesting reconsideration of the orders passed by Government in their order No.506-10/Muz.288-24-32 dated 28th September/2nd October 1937 regarding the claims of Sri Biligiri Rangaswami temple and yourself to the Forest Revenue of the Biligiri Ranga Hills, I am directed to state that the enquiry into this case has been going on for more than twelve years and that you were given all the opportunity necessary to state your case and that orders were issued after full consideration of the case urged by you. I am to express regret that in the above circumstances Government see no reason to re-open the matter.

Yours faithfully,

General Secretary to Govt.

4.3.38